HOUSE-SENATE COMPARISON OF KEY PROVISIONS

The House- and Senate-passed health reform bills are based on the plan set out by President Obama in his campaign and shaped during the legislative process. As a result, they have substantial similarities that will greatly facilitate the final step of developing an agreement on a bill for the President's signature. Both bills:

- Provide a comprehensive set of "early deliverables," starting in 2010, which include (1) initial insurance reforms and consumer protections, (2) a new insurance pool to make coverage available to individuals with pre-existing conditions or chronic illnesses who can't get coverage today, and (3) disclosure, review and justification of insurance rate increases. Both bills also contain additional early investments in community health centers and the workforce, which are essential both to ensure access when the coverage reforms are implemented go into place and to begin to improve both personal and community health and wellness immediately. Additional Medicare improvements, including beginning to close the donut hole, also begin in 2010.
- ➤ Improve insurance coverage by implementing major <u>coverage reforms</u> (2013 in House bill, 2014 in Senate) and <u>providing</u> financial assistance to lower- and middle-income families and small businesses. Those provisions include:
 - Insurance reforms, minimum benefit standards, and creation of a new health insurance marketplace called an "exchange" where health plans compete based on price and quality for individual and small employer business.
 - Increases in Medicaid eligibility levels for those with the lowest income, and new funding for critical safety net services through community health centers.
 - Sliding scale financial credits to ensure affordable premiums and cost-sharing assistance for households with income above new Medicaid income levels but below 400 percent of the federal poverty level.
 - Individual responsibility to purchase insurance within this new framework.
 - Employer responsibility to offer coverage or provide financial contributions to help pay for coverage.
- Improve Medicare coverage for prescription drugs and preventive services, and implement major Medicare delivery system and payment reforms to make Medicare more efficient and restrain future spending growth. Both bills institute numerous long-term reforms that experts have called for to enhance quality and value for Medicare beneficiaries and the entire health care system.
- ➤ Provide <u>revenues</u> that, coupled with the program savings above, meet the commitment of the President, the Speaker and the leaders of the House and Senate that the bill be fully paid for. In fact, both bills actually <u>reduce the deficit by more than \$100</u> billion over the first 10 years, and are projected to yield savings in the second 10 years.

These similarities provide a strong platform for discussions to lead to a final agreement. However, especially on a topic as historic and sweeping as health reform, there are differences between the chambers that will need to be resolved. A brief look at some of the top-line differences follows. Additional and more detailed information will be available as it is developed.

Prepared by Tri-Committee House staff; budget and coverage data from the Congressional Budget Office or the Joint Committee on Taxation.

Page 1 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE SENATE					
COVERAGE						
Exchange subsidy levels	The House provides sliding scale affordability credits, the Senate tax credits, for those above the new Medicaid income levels but with income less than 400% of the federal poverty level (FPL). (400% of the poverty level in 2009 is \$43,320 for an individual and \$88,200 for a family of four.) Those credits help make premiums more affordable and reduce the cost-sharing and maximum out-of-pocket spending by income level. The credits provide greater support at lower income levels and phase out at 400% of the FPL. Key differences include: • The House provides much greater financial support for premiums and for reduced cost-sharing for families with income at or below 300 percent of the FPL. • The Senate provides greater financial support for premiums for families with income between 300-400 percent of the FPL, and similar protections for cost-sharing at those income levels.					
	Attachment A provides a more detailed comparison; a					
Payments and reductions in uninsured	Affordability credits, 2013-2019: \$602 billion	Tax credits, 2014-2019: \$436 billion				
	Reduction in uninsured, 2019: 36 million Reduction in uninsured, 2019: 31 million					
Employer financial	Employers that do not offer qualified coverage pay Employers that do not offer any coverage pay					
requirements (for	an 8% payroll tax on wages for all employees	employee if even one employee receives a tax credit in the				
employers above the small employer exception thresholds)	(including full-time, part-time and temporary).	Exchange. (This flat dollar amount equals about 1.5% of payroll for a firm with an average payroll of \$50,000.) The requirement applies only to full-time workers (30 hours or more a week).				
		Employers that do offer some coverage pay a penalty for employees who go to Exchange and get tax credits where the employee share of the employer premium is more than 9.8% of income and/or the employer does not offer minimal coverage. The penalty is \$3,000 per employee going into Exchange and getting a credit, but with a maximum penalty of \$750 times the number of full-time employees in workforce.				
		Employers also pay a penalty if they have a waiting period for coverage.				
Total employer payment in lieu of providing coverage: \$135 billion Total employer payment in lieu of providing \$28 billion						

Page 2 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE	SENATE		
Employer contribution and benefit standards	Employers are required to meet financial contribution level (72.5% of premium for individuals; 65% for families), benefit standards, and consumer protection standards. Contributions can be made on a pro rata basis for employees who work less than full-time.	No affirmative requirement, but employers pay a penalty (see above) if employer contributions don't make the insurance affordable to full-time employees and employees then seek exchange coverage, and if they don't meet some benefit standards. In addition, employees with an employer offer that is deemed "unaffordable," i.e. their share of premiums fall between 8 percent and 9.8 percent of their income, can convert their employer contribution into a "free choice voucher," which can be used to shop in the Exchange.		
Employer grandfather provisions	Provides five-year grace period for employers offering coverage to meet some of the requirements.	Permanently grandfathers existing employer plans offering any level of coverage. With few exceptions, these plans are not required to adopt insurance reforms or quality standards.		
Minimum benefit standard	Sets minimum standard of 70% of actuarial value (AV) – which means that, on average, the plan covers about 70 % of expected costs and the individual covers 30 % percent.	Sets minimum standard of 60% AV, which results in a lower premium than under the House bill because of reduced benefits (e.g., plan covers less).		
Insurance reforms, plan standards	No "Young Invincibles" policy	Creates a high-deductible "Young Invincibles" policy for young adults (<age \$5,950="" (indexed="" 30),="" an="" deductible="" initial="" over="" td="" time).<="" with=""></age>		
	Sets age rating band at 2:1, meaning that rates can vary by no more than 2-1 between oldest and youngest adults. No tobacco rating.	Sets age rating band at 3:1. Sets tobacco rating of 1.5:1, meaning that rates can be increased by 50% for smokers. Subsidies do not cover the tobacco rate-up difference. (This disproportionately affects lower-income individuals both because of more prevalent tobacco use and the subsidy policy.)		
	Contains numerous consumer protections, including requiring adequate network providers, transparency and plan disclosure provisions, standard rules for the coordination and subrogation of benefits and a clear grievance and appeals process.	Requires all insurers to implement internal claims denial appeals and States to make available external appeals processes.		

Page 3 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE	SENATE		
Exchanges	National Exchange with State option to operate Exchange if it meets the federal standards. Health plan bidding based on local market areas.	State Exchange with federal back-up. Health plan bidding based on local market areas.		
	Combines individual and small group markets into one insurance pool and one Exchange.	Maintains separate insurance pools for individual and small group market, and separate individual and small group Exchanges in each State. Permits States to set up additional Exchanges within the State.		
		States can also apply for a block grant to provide health insurance for families with income below 200% of the federal poverty level rather than permitting those individuals to qualify for their federal tax credits and coverage in the Exchange.		
Public option	National public option administered by HHS and using negotiated rates to pay providers.	No public option.		
Office of Personnel	National exchange has responsibility for overseeing	In lieu of a public option, Office of Personnel		
Management plans	plan availability and has authority to negotiate with budding plans; no provision for OPM involvement.	Management has obligation to make sure that there are two multi-State qualified plans (at least one of which is non-profit) in each State Exchange.		
Individual mandate	Uninsured contribute 2.5% of income above filing	Uninsured contribute fixed dollar amounts per person		
requirement	threshold (e.g., ~\$20k), capped at the amount of the average premium.	coupled with income-related contribution: phases up from \$95 in 2014 to \$495 in 2015 to \$750 in 2016; 50% for children; \$2,250 family max; or, if higher than these flat dollar amounts, a contribution phasing up to 2 percent of income in 2016, capped at the the average premium level.		
	Exemption for those for whom the contribution would constitute financial hardship.	Hardship exemption.		
Immigration/ undocumented	No affordability credits for undocumented	No affordability credits for undocumented		
	May purchase Exchange-regulated product with own funds	May <i>not</i> purchase Exchange-regulated product with own funds		
	(Additional information being developed for review)	(Additional information being developed for review)		

Page 4 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE	SENATE		
Abortion	Stupak amendment	Nelson amendment		
	(Additional information being developed for	(Additional information being developed for review)		
	review)			
Start date for coverage,	January 1, 2013	January 1, 2014		
exchange				
MISCELLANEOUS				
Anti-trust exemption for insurers	Repeals anti-trust exemption	Retains anti-trust exemption		
Comparative Effectiveness	New Center at Agency for Health Care Research	New private non-profit entity governed by private-public		
Research	and Quality with independent public-private	board		
	advisory commission			
MEDICAID/CHIP	1.	11 11 11		
Medicaid expansion and financing for new eligibles	Medicaid coverage extended to 150% of FPL	Medicaid coverage extended to 133% of FPL		
	100% federal match first two years, then 91%	100% federal match first two years, then 32.3 percentage		
	federal match for all States	point increase in each State's regular federal match		
		(matching will vary from 82.3% to 95% among the States)		
		States responsible for expansions at regular match		
Medicaid access: primary	Phase Medicaid primary care payments up to	No increase in Medicaid payment rates.		
care payments	Medicare levels to improve and protect access (\$57 billion cost)			
CHIP	Sunsets CHIP block grant at the end of 2013;	Extends CHIP block grant with additional funding		
	children entitled to Medicaid or affordability credits	through 2015; assumes Congress will reauthorize and		
	in exchange	provide additional funding at that time.		
Territories	Provides \$14.3 billion total: \$9.3 b for Medicaid,	Provides \$5.3 billion for Medicaid; no option or funding		
	\$5 b for Exchange	for the Exchange		
Disproportionate Share	Total cuts of \$20 billion in Medicare and Medicaid	Total cuts of \$43 billion in Medicare and Medicaid DSH		
Hospital (DSH) Payments	DSH payments	payments		
	Medicare: \$10 billion	Medicare: \$24.4 billion		
	Medicaid: \$10 billion	Medicaid: \$18.5 billion		
MEDICARE				
Medicare physician	Permanent fix in sustainable growth rate (SGR)	No provision.		
payments (SGR)	formula for physicians in HR 3961, companion bill			
	to health reform. (\$209 billion cost)			

Page 5 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE	SENATE		
Medicare commission	No provision.	New Independent Payment Advisory Board (IPAB) with		
		fast track authority to implement Medicare payment		
		changes with limited options for Congressional		
		intervention or amendments. (\$28 billion in savings due		
		to savings target/trigger)		
		Board also has authority to make recommendations		
		related to total system costs, but no fast track authority to		
		make changes beyond Medicare.		
Medicare Advantage	Policy: Eliminates MA overpayments by phasing	Policy: Does not eliminate overpayments; establishes		
	down payments over three years to ultimately	new competitive bidding approach under which private		
	achieve parity with traditional Medicare payment	MA plans will continue to be paid more than Medicare		
	levels in the community. (\$154 billion savings)	levels in some communities, less than Medicare in others.		
		(\$118 billion savings)		
	Coding adjustment: \$15.5 billion savings	Coding adjustment: \$1.9 billion savings		
	Total MA savings \$170 billion	Total MA savings \$120 billion		
	Quality bonus: Establishes bonus program with	Quality bonus: Establishes relatively weaker standards for		
	strong standards for quality performance and low-	bonus allocation, spreading money widely among most		
	cost areas	plans.		
Geographic differences/	Two IOM studies with fast-track implementation;	Implements "value modifier" to physician payments		
value-based purchasing	value-based purchasing can be tested through new	beginning in 2015 and to all payments to physicians in		
	Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation.	2017.		
		Increases payments for physician practice expenses in		
		low-cost areas.		
		Implements value-based purchasing for hospitals.		
		Requires plans to be developed for other providers		
Donut hole/rebates	Phases-out donut hole by 2019, financed with	Adopts PhRMA discount; implements one-time, one-year		
	reinstated duals rebates and PhRMA discount	\$500 reduction in donut hole in 2010 only		

Page 6 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE	SENATE			
Income-related premiums	No change to Part B premium policy	Suspends indexing of threshold for income-related part B premium (\$25 billion savings/premium revenue – increases premiums for beneficiaries)			
	No income-related part D premium	Institutes income-related part D premium and suspends indexing of the threshold (\$11 billion savings/premium revenue - increases premiums for beneficiaries)			
Low income subsidy (LIS) for Medicare part D and for Medicare Savings programs	Improves administrative processes for low-income subsidy programs.	Improves administrative processes for subsidy programs			
(MSP)	Enhances eligibility through clarified asset test for LIS and MSP, and other part D improvements for those with modest incomes. (\$11.8 billon Medicare cost)	No change in eligibility standards			
340B	Expands entities eligible for section 340B discounts; no expansion to inpatient drugs; no exceptions to group purchasing exclusion	Expands entities eligible for 340B; expands to inpatient drugs; new exceptions to group purchasing exclusion			
Medicare hospital readmissions policy	Starting 2012, holds hospital and post-acute providers accountable for preventable hospital readmissions; applies to <u>all</u> hospitals, including critical access hospitals (CAH).	Starting 2013, holds only selected hospitals accountable for preventable hospital readmissions. Exceptions for certain rural hospitals, including Critical Access Hospitals.			
	Provides transitional care funding.	No transitional care funding for hospitals (only to community organizations)			
Medicare graduate medical	Redistributes 90% of unused residency slots for	Redistributes 65% of unused residency slots, with			
education (GME) policy	primary care training in urban and rural areas	virtually all of the redistributed slots going to rural hospitals. Exempts most rural teaching hospitals from having unused residency slots redistributed.			
PUBLIC HEALTH/WORKFORCE					
Mandatory appropriation	Total: \$34 billion over 5 years Public health/wellness: \$16.9 b over 5 CHCs: \$12.0 b Workforce: \$5.0 b	Total: \$25 billion over 5-10 years Public health/wellness: \$15 b over 10 CHCs: \$8.5 b over 5 Workforce/National Health Service Corps: \$1.5 b over 5			
	Numerous other authorizations	Numerous other authorizations			

Page 7 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE	SENATE		
REVENUE				
Total revenue	\$564.5 B	\$460.3 B		
Primary revenue sources				
5.4% surcharge on income in excess of \$500,000 (\$1 million for joint returns)	\$460.5B (effective 2011)	No provision.		
40% excise tax on group health coverage in excess of \$8,500/23,000	No provision.	\$148.9B (effective 2013)		
Additional 0.9% Medicare HI payroll tax on wages in excess of \$200,000 (single)/\$250,000 (joint return)	No provision.	\$86.8B (effective 2013)		
Health industry fees				
Impose annual \$2.3B fee on manufacturers and importers of branded drugs (allocated based on proportional market share)	No provision.	\$22.2B (effective 2010)		
Medical devices	\$20.0B (effective 2013; structured as 2.5% excise tax)	\$19.2B (effective 2011; structured as \$2B industry fee based on market share through 2017; \$3B industry fee for 2018 and later)		
Impose annual fee on health insurance providers (allocated based on proportional share of total health insurance premiums); excludes self-insured plans	No provision.	\$59.6B (effective 2011; \$2B industry fee in 2011; \$4B in 2012; \$7B in 2013; \$9B in 2014 – 2016; \$10B for 2017 and later)		
Fee on insured and self insured plans for comparative effectiveness research (effective 2013)	\$2.0B	\$2.6B		
Miscellaneous health- related revenue provisions				

Page 8 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE	SENATE
Raise 7.5% AGI floor on	No provision.	\$15.2B (effective 2013)
medical expenses deduction		
to 10%		
10% excise tax on indoor	No provision.	\$2.7B (effective July 1, 2010)
tanning services		
Limit reimbursement of	\$5.0B	\$5.0B
over-the counter		
medications from HSAs,		
FSAs, and MSAs (effective		
2011)		
Limit Health FSAs to 2,500	\$13.3B (effective 2013)	\$13.3B (effective 2011; includes interaction with tax on
(indexed to CPI-U)		high cost plans)
Increase penalties on	\$1.3B	\$1.3B
nonqualified distributions		
from HSAs (effective 2011)		
Eliminate deduction for	\$2.2B (effective 2013)	\$5.4B (effective 2011)
expenses allocable to		
Medicare Part D subsidy		
500K deduction limitation	No provision.	\$0.6B
on remuneration to		
employees, officers, and		
directors of health		
insurance providers		
(effective 2013)		40.45
Modification of section 833	No provision.	\$0.4B
treatment of certain health		
organizations (effective		
2010)		
Tax compliance provisions		
unrelated to health care		
sector	0.17.17	017.17
Corporate information	\$17.1B	\$17.1B
reporting (effective 2012)		

Page 9 12/29/2009

ISSUE	HOUSE	SENATE
Repeal implementation of	\$6.0B	No provision.
world wide interest		
allocation (effective date of		
enactment)		
Limit treaty benefits for	\$7.5B	No provision.
certain deductible payments		
(effective date of		
enactment)		
Codify economic substance	\$5.7B	No provision.
doctrine and impose		
penalties for underpayments		
(effective date of		
enactment)		
Exclusion of unprocessed	\$23.9B	No provision.
fuels from cellulosic		
producer credit (effective		
date of enactment)		

Page 10 12/29/2009

Illustrative Comparison of Premiums, AVs and Maximum Out-of-Pocket (OOP) levels

INC	COME			HOUSE	Senate		
		Maximum		OOP Cap in 2013	Maximum		OOP Cap
		Premium as		dollars (indiv/family)	Premium as		Projected HSA levels in
		% of Income	AVs		% of Income	AVs	2013 (indiv/family)**
<100 -	133% FPL*	1.5%	97	\$500/\$1,000	2%	90	\$2,050/\$4,100
133% -	150% FPL*	1.5 - 3%	97	\$500/\$1,000	4.0 - 4.6%	90	\$2,050/\$4,100
150% -	200% FPL	3% - 5.5%	93	\$1,000/\$2,000	4.6 - 6.3%	80	\$2,050/\$4,100
200% -	250% FPL	5.5% - 8%	85	\$2,000/\$4,000	6.3 - 8.1%	70	\$3,075/\$6,150
250% -	300% FPL	8% - 10%	78	\$4,000/\$8,000	8.1 - 9.8%	70	\$3,075/\$6,150
300% -	350% FPL	10% -11%	72	\$4,500/\$9,000	9.8%	70	\$4,100/\$8,200
350% -	400% FPL	11% -12%	70	\$5,000/\$10,000	9.8%	70	\$4,100/\$8,200
Above 400)% FPL		70	\$5,000/\$10,000		60	6,150/\$12,300

^{*}Under House bill, those under 150% FPL enroll in Medicaid unless they are not eligible for Medicaid; under Senate bill same rules for those under 133%

Note: Additional detail on impact of differences is being developed.

Page 11 12/29/2009

^{**}The Senate Bill out-of-pocket caps are specified as a percent of the applicable Health Savings Account limits. <u>The Senate numbers</u> are JCT projections for 2013; HSA levels for 2014 (Senate implementation date) are projected to be \$6,200/\$12,300.