# Negative Declaration for the Countywide Sitting Element and Summary Plan

July 1995



# PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 14, Division 6, Article 6, Sections 15070 and 15071, and pursuant to the procedures for the preparation and processing of State of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documents, this *Negative Declaration* for the project described below is submitted for public review.

#### TITLE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Preparation and adoption of the Countywide Siting Element and Summary Plan of the Yolo County Integrated Waste Management Plan. The project consists of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan prepared, in part, to meet the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939, Sher). AB 939 was enacted to establish mandated local integrated waste management programs in California, and required that local jurisdictions reduce waste going to landfills by 25 percent by 1995 and 50 percent by 2000. AB 939 redefined both objectives and planning responsibilities for local jurisdictions in order to meet the waste diversion goals. Each county is required to prepare and adopt a Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CIWMP), comprised of a Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE), Household Hazardous Waste Element (HHWE), and Non-Disposal Facility Element (NDFE) for each jurisdiction, and a Countywide Siting Element and Summary Plan.

#### Siting Element

The Siting Element was developed to meet the statutory requirements outlined in Public Resources Code (PRC), Division 30, Part 2, Chapter 4, Section 41700 et seq. (see Exhibit A); and CCR, Title 14, Division 7, Chapter 9, Sections 18755 through 18756.7.

The Siting Element accomplishes the following five tasks:

- Identifies solid waste disposal goals and objectives for Yolo County, including the siting, operation, and management of disposal facilities; control of hazardous wastes; public review and input; regional planning; and conservation of disposal capacity;
- Quantifies the remaining permitted disposal capacity in Yolo County;
- Identifies minimum exclusionary siting criteria from federal and state sources and introduces potential avoidance and discretionary criteria that can be used in future disposal facility siting efforts;

- Identifies areas of Yolo County that tentatively conform with the minimum exclusionary siting criteria; and,
- Identifies strategies for Yolo County to maintain long-term disposal capacity, including local adoption and approval of the Siting Element, ongoing use of existing permitted facilities, planning for future new landfill siting, ongoing dialogue with neighboring jurisdictions including the University of California at Davis on regional solid waste issues, and consideration of expanded waste reduction and recovery.

Because Yolo County has 15 years minimum permitted landfill capacity with the Yolo County Central Landfill and University of California Davis Landfill, there are no new or expanded landfill sites reserved or planned in the Siting Element.

## Summary Plan

The Summary Plan was developed to meet the statutory requirements outlined in PRC, Division 30, Part 2, Chapter 5, Section 41751 (see Exhibit A); and CCR, Title 14, Division 7, Chapter 9, Sections 18757 through 18758. The primary purpose of the Summary Plan is to summarize previously selected waste reduction and recovery programs of Yolo County and the four incorporated cities, and to consider additional opportunities for cooperative implementation of waste reduction programs to create cost efficiencies. The Summary Plan was developed using information prepared for the unincorporated county and four cities, including their SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs.

The Summary Plan accomplishes the following three key tasks:

- Describes the goals and policies for coordinating countywide diversion, marketing, and other waste management programs;
- Identifies the key local agencies involved in CIWMP administration, and documents the baseline solid waste management environment; and,
- Summarizes selected SRRE, HHWE, and NDFE programs/facilities for each jurisdiction, identifies programs for countywide cooperation, and summarizes costs and funding sources for countywide programs.

There are no new programs or facilities identified in the Summary Plan outside of those already selected in the jurisdictions' SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs.

Upon completion and local adoption, the *Siting Element* and *Summary Plan* will be incorporated into the Yolo County CIWMP and submitted to the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) for final approval. Upon CIWMB approval, the CIWMP becomes the guidance document for solid waste management planning for Yolo County.

#### PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

# Source Reduction and Recycling Elements and Household Hazardous Waste Elements

#### City of Davis

On September 16, 1992, the *Environmental Checklist* and *Initial Study* was adopted by the Davis City Council for the *City of Davis Source Reduction and Recycling Element*.

On September 16, 1992, the Environmental Checklist and Initial Study was adopted by the Davis City Council for the City of Davis Household Hazardous Waste Element.

#### City of West Sacramento

On July 15, 1993, the Negative Declaration was adopted by the West Sacramento City Council for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element and Household Hazardous Waste Element.

## City of Winters

On February 16, 1993, the Environmental Checklist Form and Evaluation of Environmental Impact was adopted by the Winters City Council for the City of Winters Source Reduction and Recycling Element.

## City of Woodland

On June 2, 1992, the Negative Declaration was adopted by the Woodland City Council for the City of Winters Source Reduction and Recycling Element and Household Hazardous Waste Element.

#### **Yolo County**

On April 6, 1993, the Negative Declaration was adopted by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors for the Yolo County Source Reduction and Recycling Element and Household Hazardous Waste Element.

#### Permitted Solid Waste Facilities

Yolo County Central Landfill

In October 1992, an Environmental Impact Report for the Yolo County Central Landfill, State Clearinghouse #91123015, was certified by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors. Subsequently, in March 1995, a Negative Declaration, State Clearinghouse #94103016, was certified by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors. The landfill operates under Solid Waste Facilities Permit (SWFP) No. 57-AA-0001, concurred by the CIWMB on July 15, 1993.

University of California Davis Landfill

In August 1994, an Environmental Impact Report for the University of California Davis Landfill expansion, State Clearinghouse #93081104, was certified by the University of California at Davis Office of Planning and Budget. A supplemental EIR was subsequently submitted April 1995. The landfill operates under SWFP No. 57-AA-0004, concurred by the CIWMB on July 5, 1995.

**Esparto Convenience Center** 

In December 1990, a Negative Declaration for the Esparto Convenience Center, State Clearinghouse #91012030 was certified by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors. The Center operates under SWFP No. 57-AA-0002.

#### LOCATION OF PROJECT

The project location is the incorporated and unincorporated portions of Yolo County. The four incorporated cities in Yolo County are Davis, West Sacramento, Winters, and Woodland. Exhibit B, Yolo County Location Map shows the location of Yolo County in relationship to the other California counties. Yolo County is bordered by Sacramento and Sutter Counties to the east, Napa County to the west, Colusa and Lake Counties to the north, and Solano County to the south.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING**

Eastern and central Yolo County is predominated by the alluvial floodplain of the Sacramento River. Land use is primarily agriculture and peri-urban. A significant geographic feature is the Yolo Bypass, designed to carry the Sacramento River flood waters away from urbanized areas of the eastern part of the county. The first rise of the Coast Range (maximum elevation of 3,046 feet) lies on the western edge of the county. Land uses in the western county are primarily open space and grazing. The total area of Yolo County is 1,035 square miles. In 1990, the total population of Yolo County was 141,092.

#### PROJECT PROPONENT

The project proponent is the Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation, Division of Integrated Waste Management.

#### DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

This CEQA document is solely for the Siting Element and Summary Plan and does not address the potential environmental effects of the various programs and facilities that may be developed as a result of implementing the Siting Element and Summary Plan. Future solid waste management programs and facilities would be subject to further environmental quality analysis. The CEQA analysis of these future programs and facilities would be on a case-by-case basis, as information regarding specific siting, operation, and management of facilities and programs become known.

This project will not have a significant environmental effect for the following reasons:

- 1. It will not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.
- 2. It will not have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals.
- 3. It will not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.
- 4. It will not have environmental effects which will cause adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

As a result thereof, the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report is not required, pursuant to the State of California Environmental Quality Act.

#### MITIGATION MEASURES

This project will not have a significant environmental effect, thus no mitigation measures are proposed.

#### PREPARER'S NAME

The Initial Study/County of Yolo Environmental Checklist (see Exhibit C) was prepared by:

JULIA R. LEUNG CONSULTING ENGINEER Julia R. Leung, P.E. 2248 Banbury Circle Roseville, California 95661-5147 (916) 784-9549.

The Initial Study/County of Yolo Environmental Checklist was prepared in support of this Negative Declaration. For further information, contact Ms. Tamara Bowcutt, Assistant Director, Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation, Division of Integrated Waste Management at (916) 757-5577.

#### **EXHIBITS**

- A Siting Element Statutory Requirements Summary Plan Statutory Requirements
- B Yolo County Location Map
- C Initial Study/County of Yolo Environmental Checklist

## EXHIBIT A

# SITING ELEMENT STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

CHAPTER 4. COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENTS (Chapter 4 as added by AB 939 (Sher), State, 1989, c. 1095)

ARTICLE 1. ELEMENT PREPARATION (Article 1 as added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095)

41700. Each county shall prepare a countywide siting element which provides a description of the areas to be used for development of adequate transformation or disposal capacity concurrent and consistent with the development and implementation of the county and city source reduction and recycling elements adopted pursuant to this part.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095.

41701. Each countywide sitting element and revision thereto, shall include, but is not limited to, all of the following:

(a) A statement of goals and policies for the environmentally safe transformation or disposal of solid waste which cannot be reduced, recycled, or composted.

(b) An estimate of the total transformation or dispossi capacity in cubic yards that will be needed for a 15-year period to safely handle solid wastes generated with the county which cannot be reduced, recycled, or composted.

(c) The remaining combined capacity of existing solid waste transformation or disposal facilities existing at the time of the preparation of the siting element, or revision thereto, in cubic yards and years.

(d) The identification of an area or areas for the location of new solid waste transformation or disposal facilities or the expansion of existing facilities which are consistent with the applicable city or county general plan if the county determines that existing capacity will be exhausted within 15 years or additional capacity is

Added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095, and emended by AB 1820 (Sher), State. 1990, c. 145.

- 41702. An area is consistent with the city or county general plan if all of the following requirements are men
- (a) The city or county adopted a general plan which complies with the requirements of Article 5 (commencing with Section 65300) of Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code.

(b) The area reserved for a new solid waste facility or the expansion of an existing solid waste facility is located in, or coextensive with, a land use area designated or authorized for solid waste facilities in the applica-

ble city or county general plan.

(c) The land use authorized in the applicable city or county general plan adjacent to or near the area reserved for the establishment of new solid waste transformation or disposal of solid waste or expansion of existing facilities is compatible with the establishment or expansion of the solid waste facility.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095.

41703. If the county determines that existing capacity will be exhausted within 15 years or additional capacity is desired and that there is no area available for the location of a new solid waste transformation or disposal facility or the expansion of an existing solid waste transformation or disposal facility which is consistent with any applicable city or county general plan, the siting element shall include a specific strategy for the transformation or disposal of solid waste in excess of remaining capacity.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), State. 1989, c. 1095.

41704. Except as provided in subdivision (a) of Section 41710, any area or areas identified for the location of a new solid waste transformation or disposal facility shall be located in, coextensive with, or adjacent to, 2 land use area authorized for a solid waste transformation or disposal facility in the applicable city or county generai pian.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095.

#### ARTICLE 2. TENTATIVE RESERVATIONS (Article 2 as added by AB 939 (Sher), Statz. 1989, c. 1095)

41710. (a) A county may tentatively reserve an area or areas for the location of a new solid waste transformation or disposal facility or the expansion of an existing transformation or disposal facility even though that reservation of the area or areas is not consistent with the applicable city or county general plan. A reserved area in a countywide sitting element is tentative until it is made consistent with the applicable city or county general plan. (b) If a county has tentatively identified a site expansion or a potential site for a new solid waste transformation or disposal facility in its countywide siting element, that tentative site identification may be deemed a tentative area for the purposes of Sections 41711 and 41712.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095.

41711. An area tentatively reserved for the establishment or expansion of a solid waste transformation or disposal facility shall be removed from the countywide siting element if a city or county fails or has failed to make the finding that the area is consistent with the general plan or has made a finding that the area should not be used for the location of a solid waste transformation or disposal facility.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095.

- 41712. The removal of a tentatively reserved area from the countywide siting element, pursuant to Section 41711, shall be accomplished by either one of the following methods:
- (a) The county shall remove the area at the time of the next revision of the siting element.
- (b) The local agency having jurisdiction over the area shall request the county to remove the designation at the time of the next revision of the siting element.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095.

#### ARTICLE 3. GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY (Article 3 no added by AB 939 (Sheet), State. 1989, c. 1095)

41720. The countywide siting element submitted to the board, shall include a resolution from each affected city or the county stating that any areas identified for the location of a new or expanded solid waste transformation or disposal facility pursuant to Section 41701 is consistent with the applicable general plan.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095.

#### ARTICLE 4. LOCAL AGENCY APPROVAL (Article 4 as added by AB 939 (Sher), Sext. 1989, c. 1095)

41721. The countywide siting element shall be approved by the county and by a majority of the cities within the county which contain a majority of the population of the incorporated area of the county except in those counties which have only two cities, in which case the element is subject to approval of the city which contains the majority of the population of the incorporated area of the county. Each city shall act upon the countywide siting element within 90 days after receipt of the siting element. If a city fails to act upon the siting element within 90 days after receiving the siting element, the city shall be deemed to have approved the siting element as submitted.

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095, and amended by AB 3001 (Cortese), Stats. 1992, c. 1291.

41721.5. (a) Any amendments to the countywide siting element shall be approved by the county and by a majority of the cities within the county which contain a majority of the population of the incorporated area of the county except in those counties which have only two cities, in which case the amendment is subject to approval of the city which contains the majority of the population of the incorporated area of the county.

(b) Any person or public agency proposing the development of a solid waste disposal or transformation facility may initiate an amendment to the countywide siting element by submitting a site identification and description to the county board of supervisors. (c) The county shall submit the site identification and description to the cities within the county within 20 days after the site identification and description is submitted to the county board of supervisors. Each city shall act upon the proposed amendment within 90 days after receipt of the proposed amendment. If a city fails to act upon the proposed amendment within 90 days after receiving the amendment, the city shall be deemed to have approved the proposed amendment as submitted.

(d) If the county or a city disapproves the proposed amendment, the county or city shall mail notice of its decision by first-class mail to the person or public agency proposing the amendment within 10 days of the disapproval, stating its reasons for the disapproval.

(e) No county or city shall disapprove a proposed amendment unless it determines, based on substantial evidence in the record, that the amendment would cause one or more significant adverse impacts within its boundaries from the proposed project.


(f) Within 45 days after the date of disapproval by the county or a city of a proposed amendment, or a decision by the board not to concur in the issuance, modification, or revision of a solid waste facilities permit pursuant to Section 44009, any person may file with the superior court a writ of mandate for review of the disapproval or the decision. The evidence before the court

shall consist of the record before the county or city which disapproved the proposed amendment or the record before the board in its determination not to concur in issuance, modification, or revision of the solid waste facilities permit. Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure shall govern the proceedings conducted pursuant to this subdivision.

As added by AB 3001 (Cortess), Stats. 1992, c. 1291.

41751. The countywide integrated waste management plan shall include a summary of significant waste management problems facing the county or city and county. The plan shall provide an overview of the specific steps that will be taken by local agencies, acting independently and in concert, to achieve the purposes of this division. The plan shall contain a statement of the goals and objectives set forth by the countywide task force created pursuant to Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 40900).

As added by AB 939 (Sher), Stats. 1989, c. 1095.



**Yolo County Location Map** 

# EXHIBIT C COUNTY OF YOLO ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

BACKGROUND	
Name of Applicant	Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation Division of Integrated Waste Management
Address and Phone Number of Applicant	600 A Street, Room 158  Davis, California 95616  (916) 757-5577
Date Checklist Submitted	July 17, 1995
Agency Requiring Checklist	Yolo County Department of Public Works Division of Integrated Waste Management
Name of Proposal, if applicable	Countywide Siting Element and Summary Plan of the Yolo County Integrated Waste Management Plan

(Explai	ONMENTAL IMPACTS nations of all answers are listed below.) S = NOT SIGNIFICANT G = SIGNIFICANT				
	·	YES (SIG)	МАҮВЕ	YES (NS)	NO
1. Ear	th. Will the proposal result in:				
a.	Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geological substructures?				√
b.	Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?				✓
c.	Change in topography or ground surface relief features?				√
d.	The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?				✓
e.	Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?				<b>V</b>
f.	Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?				√
g.	Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure or similar hazards?				√

			YES (SIG)	МАҮВЕ	YES (NS)	NO
2.	Air.	Will the proposal result in:			<u>-</u>	,
	a.	Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?				√
	b.	The creation of objectionable odors?				√
	c.	Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?				√
3.	Wat	er. Will the proposal result in:				,
,	a.	Changes in currents, or the course of direction of water movements?				√
	b.	Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface runoff?				√
	c.	Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?				<b>V</b>
	d.	Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?				√
	e.	Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?				√
	f.	Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?				√
	g.	Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?				√
	h.	Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?				√
	i.	Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?				√
4.	Plar	nt Life. Will the proposal result in:				
	a.	Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and the aquatic plants)?			:	√
/ W	b.	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?				√
	c.	Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?				√
	d.	Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?				1

		YES (SIG)	МАҮВЕ	YES (NS)	NO
5.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:				
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, or insects)?			•	√
	<ul> <li>Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?</li> </ul>				√
	c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?				√
	d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?				<b>√</b>
6.	Noise. Will the proposal result in:				
	a. Increases in existing noise levels?			•	<b>V</b>
	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?				√
7.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?				1
8.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?				1
9.	Natural Resources: Will the proposal result in:			*** .	
	a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?				1
10.	Risk of Upset. Will the proposal involve:				
	a. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?				√
11.	<b>Population.</b> Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density or growth rate of the human population of an area?				1
12.	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?				√
13.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:				•
	a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?				1
	b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?				1

		YES (SIG)	МАҮВЕ	YES (NS)	NO
c. Su	bstantial impact upon existing transportation systems?				√
	erations to present patterns of circulation or movement of ople and/or goods?				√
e. Alt	erations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?				√
	rease in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or destrians?				1
` a need	Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in for new or altered governmental services in any of the ng areas:				
a. Fir	e protection?				√
b. Po	lice protection?			, 	√
c. Sc	hools?			_	√
d. Pa	rks or other recreational facilities?				✓
e. Ma	nintenance of public facilities, including roads?				√
f. Ot	her governmental services?				√
15. Energy	. Will the proposal result in:				
a. Us	e of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			<b>.</b>	√
	bstantial increase in demand upon existing sources or ergy, or require the development of new sources of energy?				√
	s. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or ntial alterations to the following utilities:		,		
a. Po	wer or natural gas?				√
b. Co	mmunications systems?				√
c. Wa	ater?		•		<b>√</b>
d. Se	wer or septic tanks?				√
e. Sto	orm water drainage?				√
f. So	lid waste and disposal?				√
17. Human	Health. Will the proposal result in:				
	eation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (cluding mental health)?		3		√
b. Ex	posure of people to potential health hazards?				V

A STATE OF THE STA

The second second

		YES (SIG)	МАҮВЕ	YES (NS)	NO
sce	sthetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any enic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public w?				√
	creation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality quantity of existing recreational opportunities?				<b>V</b>
20. Cul	tural Resources.				
a.	Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archaeological site?				<b>V</b>
b.	Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object?				√
c.	Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?				√
d.	Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?				√
21. Ma	ndatory Findings of Significance.			. 4.8.4	
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				√
b.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)				1
c.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant).				√
d.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				√

II. DETERMINATION	
On the basis of this initial evaluation:	PLEASE CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX
I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	√
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	
I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENT IMPACT REPORT is required.	

Date: 7 17 95

Signature

(For County of Yolo)

# NOTICE OF COMPLETION

See NOTE below

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 916/445-0613

SCH# \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: Countywide Sitin	ng Element and Summary Plan of the Yo	lo County Integrated Waste Management	Plan
Lead Agency: Yolo County De	pt of Public Wks and Trans Div of Integ	rated Waste Contact Person: Ms. Tam	ara Bowcutt
	Room 158		W
City: <u>Davis</u> , <u>California</u>	Zip: <u>95616</u>	County: Yolo County	
Project Location			444000000000000000000000000000000000000
County: Yolo County	City/Nearest Community	: Davis, West Sacramento, Winters, Wo	oodland
Cross Streets: N/A	·	Total Acres: 103	
		Twp: Range:	
Airports: _	Railways:	Schools:	
Document Type	######################################		
CEQA: NOP Early Cons	Supplement/subsequent EIR (Prior SCH No.) Other	EA	Joint Document Final Document Other
Local Action Type		**************************************	
General Plan Update General Plan Amendment General Plan Element Community Plan	Specific Plan Master Plan Planned Unit Development Site Plan	Rezone Prezone Use Permit Land Division (Subdivision, Parcel Map, Tract Map, etc.)	Annexation Redevelopment Coastal Permit X Other AB 939-County Integrated Waste Management Plan Elements
Office: Sq.Ft. Commercial: Sq.Ft. Industrial: Sq.Ft.	Acres AcresEmployees AcresEmployees AcresEmployees	Mining: Power: Waste Treatment: Hazardous Waste:	Type MGD Type Watts Type Watts Type Type and Summary Plan of the Yolo Ianagement Plan
Project Issues Discussed in Do	cument		
X Aesthetic/Visual X Agricultural Land X Air Quality X Archeological/Historical Coastal Zone Drainage/Absorption Economic/Jobs X Fiscal	X Flood Plain/Flooding Forest Land/Fire Hazard X Geologic/Seismic X Minerals X Noise X Population/Housing Balance X Public Services/Facilities X Recreation/Parks	X Schools/Universities Septic Systems Sewer Capacity Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grading X Solid Waste Toxic/Hazardous X Traffic/Circulation Vegetation	X Water Quality X Water Supply/Groundwater X Wetland/Riparian X Wildlife Growth Inducing X Land Use Cumulative Effects Other
Present Land Use/Zoning/Gen N/A-Countywide planning docu			

Preparation and Adoption of Countywide Siting Element and Summary Plan of the Yolo County Integrated Waste Management Plan

Reviewing Agencies Checklist			KEY:
Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead agency)			S = Document sent by lead agency X = Document sent by SCH  ✓ = Suggested distribution
Starting Date Ending Date	8/31/95		
Signature Date	7/17/95		
Resources Agency Boating & Waterways Coastal Commission Coastal Conservancy Colorado River Board X. Fish & Game X. Forestry Office of Historic Preservation X. Parks & Recreation Reclamation S.F. Bay Conservation & Development Commission X. Water Resources (DWR) Business, Transportation & Housing Aeronautics California Highway Patrol CALTRANS District # X. Department of Transportation Planning (headquarters) Housing & Community Development Food & Agriculture Health & Welfare X. Health Services General Services General Services OLA (Schools)  Lead Agency (Complete if applicable): Yolo County Dept. of Public Works and Transportation - Div. of Integrated Waste Management 600 A Street, Room 158 Davis, CA 95616	Date Review  Date to Age  Date to SC	Gene OLA Envi X Air I X APC X Calif SWR SWR SWR SWR X Regio Yout Corre Inde Ener Nativ Publi Santa State Othe  Vse Only:  ved at SCH v Starts concies H	e & Consumer Services (Schools) ronmental Affairs Resources Board D/AQMD fornia Waste Management Board (CB: Clean Water Grants (CB: Delta Unit (CB: Water Quality (CB: Water Rights fonal WQCB#
Applicant: Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation, Division of Integrated Waste Management Address: 600 A Street, Room 158 City/State: Davis, California 95616 Phone: (916) 757-5577			

į

ŧ

		y . (* 1) 20 - (* 1)		
Ĵ				
	State State Control of the State of the Stat			

