EBA WASTECHNOLOGIES

CITY OF WINTERS
HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT

DECEMBER 1992

ENGINEERS & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

CITY OF WINTERS HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT

DECEMBER 1992

91-263



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SE	CTION			PAGE
1	Intro	duction		1
2	Goals	and Objectives		5
3	Ēxisti	ng Conditions		7
4	Evalu	ation of Alternatives		9
	4.1	Recyclable HHW Alternatives		9
	4.2	Collection Alternatives		10
	4.3	Monitoring Alternatives		29
5 .	Select	tion of Programs		32
	5.1	Selected Collection Programs		32
	5.2	Selected Monitoring Program		37
6	Progi	ram Implementation		38
7	Moni	itoring and Evaluation		41
8	Education and Public Information			
	8.1	Objectives		43
	8.2	Existing Programs		44
	8.3	Preferred Alternatives		44
	8.4	Program Implementation		48
٠	8.5	Monitoring and Evaluation		49

y	Funding		
	9.1	Funding Sources	
	9.2	Cost Estimates for Selected Programs	
10 Response to Comments			
	10.1	State's Comments	
		APPENDICES	
Ap	pendix	A	
Apı	pendix	B	

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

Household hazardous waste (HHW) is waste that results from products purchased by the general public for household use that may pose a hazard to human health or the environment. Examples include paints, solvents, cleaners, bleaches, pesticides, used motor oil, batteries, chemicals for pool and hobby use, and similar products with toxic properties. The statutory definition of HHW from the California Code of Regulations follows (Title 14, Chapter 9, Section 18720):

"Household hazardous wastes" are those wastes resulting from products purchased by the general public for household use which, because of their quantity, concentration, or physical, chemical, or infectious characteristics, may pose a substantial known or potential hazard to human health or the environment when improperly treated, disposed, or otherwise managed.

Improper disposal of HHW such as pouring it down the drain, pouring it on the ground, or throwing it in the garbage can result in disruption of wastewater systems, damage to environmentally sensitive groundwater, or injury to sanitation workers. For these reasons the State of California is requiring communities to inform citizens of the problems these products pose and to provide them with an opportunity for their proper disposal.

If there were only a few households disposing of HHW, it would not be much of a problem. But there are over 11 million households in the State of California, and the total accumulation of HHW is significant. Without reducing the amount of HHW in the waste stream, the concentration of toxic constituents would increase because of the reduction of other solid waste. Additionally, municipal landfills (Class III) are prohibited from accepting any form of hazardous waste. Much the way sewer systems and sanitary landfills were introduced to address health needs of other eras, household hazardous waste management programs are addressing the needs of today.

California State law has been actively addressing these issues. Assembly Bill 939 (AB 939) addressed reduction of solid wastes entering State landfills and required a Household Hazardous Waste Component within the Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE). Because of the significance of HHW beyond its small percentage of the total waste stream, AB 2707 elevated that component to a separate Household Hazardous Waste Element (HHWE). Other recent legislation has allowed "small quantity commercial source" participation in HHW collection programs (AB 2641), and AB 2597 has encouraged the collection of recyclable HHW. These latter three bills all took effect January 1, 1991.

This Household Hazardous Waste Element is being prepared under the proposed regulations required by AB 2707. The California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) has issued

draft regulations for Title 14, Chapter 9, Articles 6.3 and 7 of the California Code of Regulations, pertaining to the preparation of HHWE's. These regulations are subject to change prior to final approval, which could result in changes to this HHWE.

The City should be aware of the following statutory requirements and criteria of AB 2707 (Section 41802 of the Public Resources Code):

- 1. The CIWMB (the Board) must approve or disapprove the City's HHWE within 120 days of receiving it.
- 2. The Board shall not disapprove the HHWE if the City preparing the element demonstrates that the following requirements will be complied with:
 - a. The City will use feasible methods to properly reduce, collect, recycle, treat, and dispose of HHW generated within its jurisdiction.
 - b. The City will devote reasonable expenditures to safe reduction, collection, recycling, treatment, and disposal of HHW.
 - c. The City will make all reasonable efforts to inform the public of, and encourage participation in, the HHW program.
 - d. The HHW collection program is available for use by all households within the jurisdiction of the City.
- 3. Not less frequently than every two years, the Board shall review the City's HHWE, and if the Board finds that the City has failed to implement the HHWE, the Board shall issue an Order of Compliance with a specific schedule for compliance (Section 41825 of the Public Resources Code)

The focus of AB 2707 and the format of this HHWE deal with the solution of the HHW problem from a local and regional perspective. The City of Winters feels that this HHWE is also an appropriate forum to point out the possibilities for solutions implemented through higher levels of government involvement. Specifically, funding support for HHW programs could be facilitated through the imposition of advance disposal or recycling fees paid at the point-of-purchase on products that should not be disposed of in the municipal waste stream. It makes more economic sense for these costs to be born by the consumer of the product rather than by the taxpayer and society as a whole. An alternate approach might involve regulating the toxicity of products when less toxic alternatives are available, although the advance disposal fee could use market forces to achieve the same objectives by giving less toxic products a competitive advantage through exemption from the fee. In order for such an advance disposal fee to work it would have to be implemented on a state or federal level to keep consumers from taking their

business to the adjacent community. An example of successful State involvement in the management of HHW are California's statutes encouraging the recycling of lead-acid batteries, used motor oil and other recyclable HHW through both public and private channels.

Programs that involve the handling, storage, transportation, and disposal of hazardous waste expose the operator of the program to legal liability for polluting the environment. The U. S. District Court for the Central District of California ruled on December 4, 1990 that municipalities are not exempt from Superfund liability for contamination caused by HHW originating from their jurisdictions just because HHW is excluded from regulation by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). This ruling is based on a suit against 29 municipalities in Los Angeles County that were sued for their contribution to the contamination of a landfill based on the fact that their garbage included HHW. It is likely that the existence of a HHW management program to divert the HHW from the waste stream will reduce a jurisdiction's potential liability in similar circumstances.

This case also opens the possibility that the City could be a liable party if the Class I (hazardous waste) landfill that received the HHW from their HHW management program were to become a Superfund site. This is mentioned to emphasize the importance for a jurisdiction to understand hazardous waste management laws such as RCRA and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA or Superfund), and to understand the implications of responsibility these laws have for the City as a generator of hazardous waste. It is important that manifesting procedures are followed closely to confirm that any disposed of HHW arrives at its intended destination.

For purposes of monitoring and evaluation it is important that each community categorize its HHW in a similar fashion. The CIWMB has chosen the following categories for record keeping purposes:

- Flammable Flammable wastes include fuels, oils, solvents, thinners, aerosols, paints, solvent-based polishes, solvent-based adhesives, etc.
- Pesticides Pesticides are poisons and include insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, rodenticides, etc.
- Corrosives Corrosives are acids and bases and include ammonia-based cleaners, caustic sodas, oven cleaners, drain openers, lye, and acids, both oxidizing and non-oxidizing.
- Oxidizers Oxidizers include bleach, peroxides, pool chlorine, etc., but do not include oxidizing acids.
- Miscellaneous Miscellaneous includes batteries (lead-acid and dry cell) and mercury.

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) is in the process of streamlining the permit process for HHW collection alternatives by implementing permit-by-rule (PBR). PBR would substitute the issuance of a permit with a procedure in which the operator of a collection program would maintain complete documentation of compliance with appropriate regulations. An application would still be submitted to the DTSC prior to implementation, and DTSC would have a specified period of time to approve or deny the application, or require more information.

SECTION 2

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

It is the purpose of this HHWE to identify, evaluate, and select a household hazardous waste management program for the City of Winters that will:

- Divert HHW from the municipal waste stream with a collection program that is convenient for area residents and will ensure the safe recycling or disposal of the collected waste.
- Minimize the amount of collected waste sent to hazardous waste (Class I) landfills through reuse, recycling, treatment, or incineration programs (with emphasis on reuse or recycling whenever possible).
- Reduce the amount of HHW generated by residents (source reduction) by encouraging the use of less toxic or nontoxic alternatives to toxic household products.
- Maximize the success of the program through an educational program that keeps the public informed of the need for the proper use and disposal of household toxic products, and of their disposal options, including the option of using up the product or giving it away to someone who can use it.
- Minimize the City's legal liability for environmental pollution by managing a legally sound HHW program in a competent and responsible manner. Proper monitoring and evaluation of the program is crucial in this regard.

Program objectives are identified for the short-term planning period and the medium-term planning period. The short-term planning period runs from January 1, 1991 to January 1, 1995. The medium-term planning period runs from January 1, 1995 to January 1, 2000.

Short-Term Planning Period

The above goals will be met by meeting the following objectives during the short-term planning period:

- Increase the level of participation by City residents in the County's periodic HHW collections.
- Increase local options for the collection of recyclable HHW.

- Implement a public education program that encourages the use of nontoxic or less toxic alternatives to toxic household products, and includes a component for the public school system to ensure long-term results.
- Implement a monitoring program that maximizes the safety and integrity of all collection programs available to City residents.

Medium-Term Planning Period

The objectives for the medium-term planning period will include the following:

- Increase the percentage of first-time participants in the County's collection events and decrease the proportion of repeat participants as a measure of success of source reduction programs. Fiscal year 1992-93 will serve as a benchmark for comparison.
- Refine collection programs implemented during short-term planning period.
- Continue educational and monitoring programs implemented during the short-term planning period.

SECTION 3

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Residents of the City of Winters have the option of participating in periodic collection events that have been held in Yolo County on a regular basis since 1985. During the fiscal year 1990-91, four events co-sponsored by the Yolo County Department of Public Works were available to all County residents. One of these collection events took place in West Sacramento, two in the nearby City of Davis, and another in the City of Woodland. Of the HHW collected by the County at the 4 one-day HHW collection events during fiscal year 1990-91, 20 percent was used motor oil, 20 percent was latex paint, 14 percent was oil-based paint, 13 percent was lead-acid batteries, 8 percent was bulk solvents, 2 percent was aerosols, and 24 percent consisted of other types of HHW that were lab packed.

Winters residents also have an ongoing option to drop off used motor oil and spent lead-acid batteries at the Yolo County Central Landfill, about two miles north of Davis. One automotive garage in Winters accepts used motor oil from do-it-yourselfers (Barbasa's Auto, 400 Railroad Ave).

The latex paint, used motor oil, and spent lead-acid batteries that are collected are recycled. Oil-based paint and solvents are incinerated in a fuel-blending program, and aerosols are incinerated out of state. The remaining wastes are landfilled in a Class I landfill.

Residents of the City are kept informed of upcoming collection events through a public information program implemented by the County. Paid advertisements are purchased in local newspapers, including the weekly Winters Express, and are sometimes accompanied by a news article. Bilingual flyers are distributed to all the students in County schools, at the landfill and transfer station, are available at fire stations and government offices, and are sometimes distributed by grocery stores, the League of Women Voters, and waste haulers. Source reduction is addressed by distribution of "Household Hazardous Waste Wheels" or the "Household Hazardous Products" brochure from the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) at County HHW collection events. Participants at the HHW collection events are requested to fill out a survey that is helpful for monitoring and evaluation of the HHW program. The Yolo County Department of Public Works has recently instituted the publication of "Garbage Talk," a quarterly newsletter that addresses HHW, recycling, and other solid waste issues.

There are approximately 1,639 households in the City of Winters. Based on the Waste Generation Study, approximately 13 tons of HHW are disposed of annually from residential sources in the City. The annual disposal rate for the residential and commercial sectors combined is approximately 16 tons. The latter figure represents 0.3 percent of the municipal waste stream. Less than 1 ton of HHW was dropped off by Winters residents at periodic drop-

off events sponsored by the County during fiscal year 1990-91. Used motor oil and lead-acid batteries were also dropped off at the Yolo County Central Landfill for recycling, but since no records were kept regarding the residency of participants in that program, an accurate estimate of City participation cannot be made.

There are several ways that HHW can be illegally disposed of, including disposal in the waste stream, pouring down storm drains and sinks, or pouring in streambeds or roadside. The only quantifiable estimate of illegal HHW disposal is the amount disposed of in the municipal solid waste stream, or 16 tons per year by residents of the Unincorporated Area according to the Waste Generation Study.

A hazardous waste exclusion program is in effect at the Yolo County Central Landfill where the City of Winters' refuse is disposed. Signs are posted at the entrance to the landfill indicating acceptable and unacceptable wastes. A load-checking program randomly selects at least five loads per week for thorough inspection at the working face. All of the landfill's clients are subject to inspection, and trucks may be selected at any hour or day that the landfill is open. When hazardous waste is discovered that can be traced to its generator, the generator is notified to retrieve it. If the type of waste is unknown, the County Department of Environmental Health is contacted for identification. If the generator cannot be identified, the County stores the HW at the landfill in a roll-off container modified for HW storage prior to proper recycling or disposal. HHW that is discovered is disposed of through the County's HHW collection program.

In most cases, when the generator of the waste is identified and the infraction appears to be a result of carelessness, the generator is given a warning and provided with educational material. If the dumping is determined to be either malicious or intentional, the offender is prosecuted. A recent example of this involved a trucking company that attempted to dump ten 5-gallon containers of formaldehyde at the landfill. An employee had warned the company that this was illegal, but an attempt was made to conceal the chemical with other debris. The landfill was notified by the employee, the waste was discovered during unloading, and the trucking company was prosecuted by the District Attorney's office. A hazardous waste management firm was called to properly dispose of the formaldehyde.

Another aspect of the landfill's hazardous waste exclusion program is predisposal evaluation. In this case a client will have potential waste analyzed prior to disposal to determine its toxicity. Soils and sludges of questionable appropriateness are regularly analyzed prior to disposal, and clients that drop these off on an ongoing basis must have samples analyzed frequently enough to represent a valid statistical sample.

SECTION 4

EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES

The purpose of this section is to provide a decision-making apparatus for designing an integrated HHW management program. For each component needed for a successful program, a series of workable alternatives are presented to facilitate selection by the City. Each alternative is evaluated by a series of criteria such as its effectiveness, ease of implementation and cost, etc. For the most part, the basis for these evaluations are similar programs operated in other parts of the state. This section is divided into subsections corresponding to those components needed for a successful program, which are listed below. Education and public information preferred alternatives are found in Section 8.3.

- Recyclable HHW alternatives
- Collection alternatives
- Monitoring alternatives

4.1 RECYCLABLE HHW ALTERNATIVES

The California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) recognizes the importance of recycling in diverting solid waste from the landfill; instead of being a waste, it becomes a raw material. The recycling of HHW has the added advantage of removing hazardous wastes from the waste stream and the environment. To facilitate the collection of recyclable HHW, the State of California has enacted legislation (AB 2597), that eliminates the need for Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) permits for HHW collection programs that target specific categories of recyclable HHW. Those categories include:

- Latex paint
- Used motor oil
- Used antifreeze
- Spent lead-acid batteries
- Small household batteries

In order to qualify for the permit exemptions, no other HHW may be received and handled other than those listed above, and all HHW collected must be transported to a recycling facility. For this reason, these will be the only types of recyclable HHW to be considered for the collection alternatives listed below that focus on recyclable HHW. It is also recommended that small household batteries not be included in any program for recyclables that the City of Winters plans to undertake. There is no proven and available recycling technology for the majority of household batteries, including the alkaline types; therefore, their collection could jeopardize the status of the program.

Criteria for evaluating the above recyclable HHW alternatives will be considered in the first four collection alternatives for recyclable HHW that follow.

4.2 COLLECTION ALTERNATIVES

Household hazardous waste collection can be handled on different jurisdictional levels; municipal, regional, or county-wide. Planning on a lower jurisdictional level means more local control. Convenience for the public is also increased due to shorter travel distances and more familiarity with drop-off sites. Planning on a more local level also requires less coordination of government agencies, which means programs can be developed with shorter lead times; however, hazardous waste management can be an expensive service to provide. Involvement of higher jurisdictional levels may be necessary to provide required fiscal resources and some economy of scale. Participation within a larger geographic area can be helpful from the standpoint of public education by making the subject more interesting to mainstream media.

This HHWE considers nine HHW collection alternatives for diverting hazardous waste from the waste stream. The alternatives are listed in the order of appropriateness for immediate implementation. This usually corresponds to a more local level of planning and control. Those alternatives that would require more extensive planning and coordination are listed towards the end of the list. The nine collection alternatives follow:

- Curbside collection of used motor oil
- Point-of-purchase collection of recyclable HHW
- Periodic collection days for recyclable HHW
- Expanded collection of recyclable HHW
- Temporary HHW collection facility
- Permanent HHW collection facility
- Small quantity commercial source participation
- A mobile facility visiting temporary collection sites
- Door-to-door HHW collection

Laws regulating transportation of HHW to collection events should be noted at this time. California residents are allowed to transport up to 50 pounds or 5 gallons of HHW without a hazardous waste manifest, as long as they are the generator of the waste and it is being transported for recycling or proper disposal. Exceptions to this law include some items considered to be recyclable HHW. Up to 20 gallons of used motor oil can be transported at one time, providing the maximum container size is 5 gallons. Transportation of ten or fewer leadacid batteries is unregulated.

Collection Alternative 1. Curbside Collection of Used Motor Oil

This collection alternative consists of adding used motor oil to the materials collected by a curbside recycling program. Residents would leave their used motor oil from do-it-yourself automotive maintenance projects at the curb with their other recyclables on pickup days. Containers for the used oil could either be supplied by the participant or the operator of the program. In some jurisdictions participants supply their own well-sealed plastic containers, which are recycled at the consolidating site. Other programs supply containers when requested. When a full container is picked up, an empty container is left in its place. Curbside collection of used oil has proven to be a cost-effective means of diverting HHW from the waste stream for communities with a curbside recycling programs.

This alternative may be adaptable for collection of other recyclable HHW as well. The primary concern for the operator would be the utilization of suitable containers to protect the public and their pets from the toxic constituents of the collected materials. The increased toxicity associated with nonrecyclable HHW such as oxidizers, pesticides, and corrosives would make their collection overly hazardous. Storage and disposal considerations also exceed those of recyclable HHW.

Effectiveness

The ongoing regular collection schedule of a curbside collection program adds to the effectiveness of this alternative. Used motor oil usually makes up the majority of HHW collected by communities with both periodic drop-off days (Collection Alternative 5) and curbside collection of used motor oil.

Hazards

Hazards exist in the handling of used motor oil and other recyclable HHW. Spilled oil should be treated as a hazardous waste. Hazards can be minimized by the use of the proper containers. Brief external exposure to human skin is usually not harmful if washed with soap and water.

Ability to Accommodate Change

This collection alternative is able to accommodate changing conditions because used motor oil is a small percentage of the total amount of recyclables that are collected.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

Implementation of this alternative would result in less used motor oil entering the waste stream.

Ability to be Implemented

As an additional material to be added to an existing curbside program, this alternative could be implemented within six months.

*

Need for Facilities

For an existing curbside program, a storage tank with secondary containment would be necessary at the material sorting facility. Program administrators or operators may decide that uniform containers would minimize hazards or facilitate implementation, in which case suitable containers would be distributed to those who requested them.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans and Ordinances

Section 252.50.15 of the Health and Safety Code exempts curbside collection of used motor oil from the requirements of hazardous waste storage.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

Liability would be assumed by the franchising agency or hauler.

Costs

A 1,000-gallon double-walled steel storage tank costs about \$5,000. Containers would cost about \$1.00 each.

Market Availability

A market exists for used motor oil, and its value fluctuates with market conditions. Sometimes municipal recycling programs are paid for the oil collected and sometimes must pay to have it taken away. Favorable terms are sometimes dependent on the operator accepting responsibility for proper disposal of a contaminated load. In general, addition of used motor oil to a residential recycling program is revenue neutral.

Collection Alternative 2. Point-of-Purchase Drop-Off of Recyclable HHW

There are a number of variations of this alternative, depending on the material being collected and on whether the participation of the retailer is mandatory or voluntary. Two specific examples follow, but other variations would also be possible. In one example, service station operators would be required to accept used motor oil from the public for a minimum charge. The service stations would also be required to submit a plan for the proper handling, storage, and recycling of the collected oil. In the other example, purchasers of latex paint would be able to return their leftover paint for recycling to the store at which it was purchased. This example is based on a proposed state law, and participation by the retailer would be voluntary. Participation could result in a competitive advantage for a participating retailer, encouraging other retailers to follow suit.

Another variation of this alternative is an existing condition throughout the State of California. The State requires retailers of new lead-acid batteries to accept spent batteries as trade-ins.

Effectiveness

With proper education, consumers have shown a willingness to participate in recycling programs. Collection of recyclable HHW has proven to be an efficient means of diverting HHW from the waste stream. Familiar locations and the regular hours that retail outlets are open should make this a convenient alternative for the public, and to help make it an effective collection alternative.

Hazards

Hazards exist in the public's handling and transportation of even small quantities of HHW. Educational materials should emphasize the need for safe handling and transportation procedures. Retail outlets would be handling the same materials that they handle on a daily basis, so hazardous conditions in the stores should not increase.

Ability to Accommodate Change

Used oil collection at a service station would be utilizing facilities and techniques already in use. Changes in amounts of oil being collected would be dealt with by increased collection by oil recyclers. Retailer collection of latex paint for recycling would involve more of a change in current operating procedures. The retailer might be overwhelmed by increasing amounts of paint brought in for recycling, either by insufficient storage space or inadequate recycling capacity.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

This alternative would result in a reduction of HHW entering the waste stream.

Ability to be Implemented

This alternative could be implemented during the short-term planning period. Implementation time could be less than a year.

Need for Facilities

This alternative would utilize existing facilities.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans and Ordinances

The ability for paint retailers to accept leftover latex paint may be dependent on legislation exempting the retailers from hazardous waste storage regulations. Such a variance exists for used oil recycling programs.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

Institutional barriers to implementation are not apparent at this time.

Costs

Businesses involved in implementing this alternative would be expected to charge for the services offered.

Market Availability

Market availability is the responsibility of the participating retailers with this alternative. Markets exist for recyclable HHW and are examined in more detail in subsequent collection alternatives for recyclable HHW (Collection Alternatives 3 and 4).

Collection Alternative 3. Periodic Drop-Off Days for Recyclable HHW

On a well-publicized date, residents are urged to bring their recyclable HHW such as used motor oil, latex paint, and spent lead-acid batteries to a temporary location where it is collected by City employees, community volunteers, and employees of the recycling companies involved. At the end of the day, the collected HHW is removed by the recyclers. For promotional purposes, this event could be referred to as a "Roundup for Recyclables," or "Bop Drop" (batteries, oil, paint).

Effectiveness

In terms of the gross weight of HHW collected, this is a moderately effective means of collection. The primary limitation to its effectiveness is its periodic nature. Used motor oil, spent lead-acid batteries, and latex paint often make up a large majority of all HHW collected at HHW drop-off events held in other parts of the state. Disposal options are not provided for more toxic wastes, such as pesticides and corrosives, that would remain a potential hazard in area households.

The effectiveness of this alternative depends on the frequency of events and the level of public awareness. Regularly scheduled events held at predictable times have more potential to for lasting impact than single day "media events."

Hazards

Hazards exist in the handling and transportation of even small quantities of HHW. For recyclable HHW, lead-acid batteries represent the biggest hazard to the handler due to the possibility of an acid spill. Educational materials should emphasize the safe handling and transportation of HHW to the collection site. Relative to other types of HHW, this type of program presents a low level of hazard because of the relatively low toxicity of the wastes collected.

Lead-acid batteries that are cracked or missing caps are a particular hazard. They should only be handled with rubber gloves, and should be stored in a double thickness plastic bag. Recycled latex paint may have a higher concentration of mercury than the latest formulations. For that reason it is appropriate for exterior use only.

Ability to Accommodate Change

Periodic drop-off days are readily able to accommodate change because of their inherent flexibility. No permanent facilities or staffing are necessary, and changes in markets could be accommodated by adding to or deleting the types of waste collected.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

A slight increase in empty paint cans disposed of in the landfill might occur. A reduction of lead-acid batteries, latex paint, and used motor oil from the municipal waste stream would result.

Ability to be Implemented

This alternative can be implemented in the short-term planning period, within a few months.

Need for Facilities

No permanent facilities are needed. A large open paved area with good potential for efficient traffic flow will be needed to conduct the event.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances

The latex paint that arrives in gallon containers must be "bulked," or transferred to 55-gallon drums for transport to the recycler. The empty cans must be allowed to dry before they can be deposited in the local landfill, which may present a conflict with the Yolo/Solano Air Pollution Control District (APCD). Since the major latex paint recycler requires the municipality to accept the return of the recycled paint collected, local policy requiring the purchase of recycled latex paint for municipal projects could facilitate the implementation of this alternative.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

Liability would be assumed by the implementing agency or the recyclers.

Costs

A one day event should cost the County about \$3,500. This figure is based on a price estimate by a contractor who would supply 6 laborers for 9 hours at \$35 per worker-hour, \$2,000; and an estimated 450 gallons of paint collected (1.5 gallons of paint for each of the approximately 300 participating households that typically participate in Yolo County events), at \$3.00 per gallon for recycling and transportation, \$1,350; and miscellaneous expenses, \$150.

Market Availability

The market for spent lead-acid batteries and used motor oil is well established. Spent lead-acid batteries are worth about \$1.00 each. The value of used motor oil fluctuates with market conditions; sometimes municipal recycling programs are paid for the oil that is collected and sometimes must pay to have it taken away. Favorable terms are sometimes dependent on accepting liability for proper disposal of a contaminated load (as a hazardous waste at great expense).

At least three companies in California are involved in recycling latex paint and willing to expand their involvement (Appendix A). One company is a HHW management firm that recycles latex paint that is collected at events where they are the contractor. They then market the paint themselves. The other two companies recycle paint collected by HHW collection programs and usually require the sponsor to accept the return of the recycled paint. Local paint manufacturing

companies should be contacted to see if they would be interested in participating in this emerging field. Recycling costs are generally less than \$3.00 per gallon, but transportation, container, and dilution policies can all affect the final cost. If the recycler only accepts the best collected paint for recycling, then the unacceptable paint must be disposed of as a hazardous waste. This can either increase the cost of the program or jeopardize the program's permit-free status accorded by AB 2597, since not all the material collected is recycled.

Markets exist in California for the recycling of silver oxide, and to a lesser extent, mercuric oxide button style batteries. Differentiation of different types of button style batteries can only be determined by minute markings on the back, which makes separation difficult. Rechargeable nickel cadmium (nicad) batteries are recycled in Europe, but nicads are often built into the appliance and are difficult to remove. The other types of household batteries have no recycling value at this time, other than that of scrap metal in the Far East, where eventual environmental liabilities are uncertain.

Collection Alternative 4. Expanded Collection of Recyclable HHW

Winters residents may currently drop off used motor oil and lead-acid batteries at the Yolo County Central Landfill at any hour the landfill is open. This alternative calls for adding used antifreeze to the list of recyclable HHW that is accepted at the landfill during operating hours. Additionally, leftover latex paint would be accepted by appointment during limited hours that would allow for material monitoring by trained staff. This alternative would require an increase in facilities and staff time. A storage tank for the anti-freeze and a storage shed for the latex paint would have to be added to the current waste oil storage tank and battery storage shed.

Additional staff time would be necessary to monitor the latex paint. The monitoring would be necessary to confirm that it is latex paint and not oil-based paint. By inadvertently accepting oil-based paint the landfill would be jeopardizing its permit-free status accorded by AB 2597 and be subjected to the higher costs of hazardous waste disposal rather than recycling. If oil-based paint were brought in by mistake, the client would be informed of the schedule of HHW collections and asked to bring it back at one of those times. Staff time would not be used for the consolidation, or bulking, of the latex paint from its original, small containers into 55-gallon drums for transportation to the paint recycler. Instead, consolidation would be done during the next HHW collection event by the hazardous waste contractor. The collection events would be at the same location as latex paint collection and storage; the YCCL.

Effectiveness

In terms of the gross weight of HHW collected, this is an effective means of collection. Used motor oil, spent lead-acid batteries, and latex paint often make up a majority of all HHW collected by HHW collection programs held in other parts of the state. The fact that it is an ongoing program with a regular schedule of collection adds to its effectiveness.

Hazards

Hazards exist in the handling and transportation of even small quantities of HHW. For recyclable HHW, lead-acid batteries represent the biggest hazard to the handler due to the possibility of an acid spill. Educational materials should emphasize the safe handling and transportation of HHW to the collection site. Relative to other types of HHW, this type of program presents a low level of hazard because of the relatively low toxicity of the wastes collected. However, disposal options are not provided for more toxic HHW, such as pesticides and corrosives, that would remain a potential hazard in area homes.

Lead-acid batteries that are cracked or missing caps are a particular hazard. They should only be handled with rubber gloves, and should be stored in a double thickness plastic bag. Recycled latex paint may have a higher concentration of mercury than the latest formulations. For that reason it is appropriate for exterior use only.

Ability to Accommodate Change

This alternative is a bit less flexible because of its need for permanent storage facilities. A staffing commitment on a regular basis would also be needed, but the hours of collection could be altered to accommodate changes in public demand or budgetary constraints.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

A slight increase in empty paint cans disposed of in the landfill might occur. Reduction of lead-acid batteries, latex paint, used motor oil, and antifreeze from the municipal waste stream would result.

Ability to be Implemented

Additional materials could be added to existing the program in the short-term planning period.

Need for Facilities

A storage tank that meets state and local code would be necessary for the antifreeze. A double-walled polyethylene tank of 100- to 200-gallons would be ideal, but a weather-protected 55-gallon drum in a secondary containment vessel my be adequate on an interim basis. A storage shed is necessary to provide security and secondary containment for the paint. Sufficient paved area for access by the public and the recyclers' collection trucks must also be available.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances

The latex paint that arrives in gallon containers may have to be "bulked," or transferred to 55-gallon drums for transport to the recycler. The empty cans must be allowed to dry before they can be deposited in the local landfill or be shipped for recycling, and this may present a conflict with the Yolo/Solano Air Pollution Control District (APCD). A local policy requiring the purchase of recycled latex paint for municipal projects could facilitate the implementation of this alternative.

W

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

Liability would be assumed by the implementing agency or vendor. The increased costs involved could create opposition by taxpayers or agencies.

Costs

Capital costs would be about \$4,000. Facility needs include one prefabricated steel storage shed, \$3,000; and one 210-gallon tank for antifreeze, \$700.

Operating costs of this alternative are estimated to be between \$9,000 and \$14,000 per year. Labor cost estimates are based on 5 employee-hours a week. Using a base pay rate of \$13.00 per hour including benefits, this amounts to \$3,500 per year. Administrative costs are estimated to be \$1,200, based on one week's compensation per year for the Waste Reduction/Recycling Coordinator (\$29 per hour including benefits and overhead). Paint processing and other recycling costs are estimated to be between \$4,000 and \$9,000 per year. The former figure is arrived at by assuming that approximately as much latex paint will be collected by this program as the amount collected by the County sponsored collection events during fiscal year 1990-91. The latter figure assumes that half as much latex paint will be dropped off as used motor oil at the recycling center at the landfill during fiscal year 1990-91.

Market Availability

Markets are available for recyclable HHW and are covered in detail under Market Availability for Collection Alternative 3. The Yolo County hierarchy of HHW waste disposal requires that all recyclable HHW be recycled.

Collection Alternative 5. Temporary HHW Collection Facility

The Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation has been sponsoring periodic collection events since 1985. While these types of events are included in draft PBR regulations for temporary household hazardous waste collection facilities (THHWCF), this alternative examines the selection of a dedicated location and regular schedule for county-wide HHW collection events. All types of HHW would continue to be collected, and a licensed hazardous waste management firm would be retained to provide the necessary level of expertise and trained personnel (Appendix A). Collected HHW would not be stored at the site, but would be removed for recycling, destruction, or disposal at the end of each event along with load-check hazardous waste from the County's hazardous waste exclusion program.

The site for this temporary HHW collection facility would be at a proposed recycling storage facility at the Yolo County Central Landfill (YCCL). This is envisioned as a 60- by 70-foot enclosed steel structure with a concrete floor that could also be used as a temporary HHW collection facility. Unloading HHW from participants' cars, sorting, bulking, and lab packing would take place in designated, covered areas. Full drums would be segregated from other activities for storage prior to loading into trucks.

It is likely that seven HHW collection events per year will be scheduled. This would allow collection during consecutive months during spring and fall, when participation at HHW collection events is typically high. During the rest of the year there would be a maximum of two months between collection events. Permit-by-rule regulations permit a site to be utilized for up to two days collection once per calendar month.

Members of the public would drive to the facility at the YCCL, and after they filling out a short questionnaire, their wastes would be removed by trained personnel. Manifests would be completed during consolidation and lab packing to identify the contents of the drums in case of an emergency during transport, and to create a "paper trail" to ensure the safe disposition of the wastes. Draft regulations drawn up by the DTSC limit the use of one site to two consecutive days of collection once per calendar month.

The vendor contracted to run the collection events will also manage hazardous wastes pulled from the waste stream and stored as a part of the County's hazardous waste exclusion program. This load-check waste will be consolidated, lab packed, and shipped by the hazardous waste management firm concurrently with the HHW collection events.

Effectiveness

This alternative would provide the public with an increased number of regularly scheduled opportunities to drop off their HHW. The increased scheduling convenience should improve program effectiveness. This alternative provides the public with the opportunity to dispose of their HHW safely, to keep it out of the landfill, and to reduce the risks of long-term storage and improper disposal of HHW.

Hazards

The types of HHW handled at these events are more hazardous than those handled in the collection days for recyclables. When these materials are handled correctly by a licensed hazardous waste management firm, the hazard to the public is very low. The public's maximum exposure to hazard is during handling and transportation to the collection site. Publicity materials should emphasize safe handling and transportation procedures. The removal of the more toxic wastes from the waste stream, and their removal from homes, will result in a net reduction of hazards.

Ability to Accommodate Change

Since the structure considered for this alternative would have another primary use, HHW collection activities could be moved to a permanent facility built as a part of a future material recovery facility (MRF) at another location in the future.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

A reduction of hazardous wastes in the municipal waste stream would result.

Ability to be Implemented

This alternative could be implemented in about a year, well within the short-term planning period.

Need for Facilities

A permanent facility is not required by this alternative, but the recycling storage facility that is planned for the landfill would offer weather protection for participants and staff. The recycling storage facility is envisioned as a 60- by 70-foot enclosed steel structure with a concrete floor that could also be used as a temporary HHW collection facility. Unloading HHW from participants' cars, sorting, bulking, and lab packing would take place in designated, covered areas. Full drums would be segregated from other activities for storage prior to loading into trucks.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances

Draft permit-by-rule (PBR) regulations have been developed by the DTSC to facilitate implementation of temporary HHW collection facilities. The program operator will submit a permit-by-rule notification form to the DTSC at least 45 days prior to collection events. The County's General Plan permits additional land uses at the landfill that are not harmful to the continued operation of the landfill. Such additional uses require a Conditional Land Use Permit from the County.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

The County's Hazardous Waste Management Plan acknowledges the need for a HHW management program. This alternative represents an improvement over existing conditions in Yolo County and is therefore unlikely to encounter institutional resistance. This alternative is more expensive to implement than the current program which might create some opposition, however.

Costs ...

The County's cost to operate four HHW collection events for all County residents during the same period was \$112,000. The City of Davis operated three Davis-residents-only events during fiscal year 1990-91 for approximately \$55,000. Both figures include contract costs and administrative expenses. The base cost for the County to operate seven collection events could therefore be assumed to be about \$167,000. If the Davis events were open to all County residents, participation and costs could be assumed to be about 10 percent higher. Approximately 10 percent as much load-checking hazardous waste was collected in 1991 as HHW at county-sponsored events. To cover increased participation because all events will be open all County residents and to cover the disposal of load check wastes, the basic cost should be increased by about 10 percent to \$184,000.

Market Availability

Markets are available for recyclable HHW, and the Yolo County hierarchy of HHW disposal - requires that all recyclable HHW be recycled.

Collection Alternative 6. Permanent HHW Collection Facility

Yolo County's Hazardous Waste Management Plan recommends that the County begin the process of establishing a permanent HHW collection facility (PHHWCF). A PHHWCF consists of a permanent facility open during regularly scheduled hours that provides residents of the community the opportunity to drop-off their HHW. It also provides the operator with facilities to safely store the collected HHW. This storage capability offers the opportunity to maximize disposal options and thereby increase operating efficiency. Its permanent nature means that once the public becomes familiar with its existence, they know that it can be used again in the future. Yolo County is considering siting a MRF at the landfill during the medium-term planning period. A permanent HHW collection facility would likely to be included as a part of the facility. A permanent facility could be used as a "hub" in conjunction with other collection alternatives as a part of a "hub and satellite" system.

The California Code of Regulations Section 18751.3 requires that new and existing multi-use solid waste and hazardous waste facilities be considered as possible locations for a permanent HHW collection facility. Siting a permanent HHW facility at a solid waste facility could offer several advantages to the community in siting a HHW facility. One is that the County General Plan allows compatible land use at the landfill and acknowledges the possible issuance of a Conditional Use Permit for a HHW facility. Another advantage is that by using a site that is already marked for waste management, opposition to the siting process should be reduced. And finally, by providing the public with a multi-use facility, residents could drop off HHW on the same trip with other cleanup debris, thereby increasing convenience.

This alternative would involve the securing of the necessary state and local permits to allow the collection and the temporary storage of collected HHW at the site of a proposed MRF located at the Yolo County Central Landfill. Facilities would have to provide for the safe storage of hazardous waste. Utilization of modular hazardous waste storage sheds would add flexibility to siting options. Being able to store collected HHW on-site would increase the flexibility of the program. Need for a contractor would then be limited to transportation and disposal of the collected wastes and offer the County the possibility of increased operating efficiency.

Effectiveness

A permanent HHW facility is an effective means of diverting HHW from the waste stream. Maximizing convenience to the public encourages repeat visits, which in turn maximizes effectiveness. Participation levels and effectiveness tends to decrease as travel distance increases.

Hazards

This type of facility is designed for maximum safety, which minimizes on-site hazardous conditions. This alternative is an effective means of reducing improperly disposed of HHW, which means a net reduction of hazard for the community. The public's maximum exposure to hazard is during handling and transportation to the collection site. Publicity materials should emphasize safe handling and transportation procedures.

Ability to Accommodate Change

By varying staffing levels and hours of operation, an operator can efficiently meet public demand. A permanent facility offers flexibility in its ability to act as a storage facility for other collection alternatives (Alternatives 7, 8, and 9).

The possibility exists that once the high levels of HHW currently stored in area homes are collected and public habits are altered to use less toxic products, a permanent facility would lose its effectiveness. If this were prove to be the case, the program could switch its emphasis from residentially generated HHW to small quantity commercially generated waste (Collection Alternative 7).

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

A marked reduction in HHW entering the waste stream would result from implementing this alternative. If the facility is located at a transfer station or material recovery facility, HHW that is discovered through a hazardous waste exclusion program can be diverted to the HHW facility.

Ability to be Implemented

Minimum implementation would be about three years, but because of the need for permits, facilities, and multi-jurisdictional coordination, this alternative would be considered for the medium-term planning period.

Need for Facilities

To safely and legally store all types of HHW, a permanent facility is necessary. The facility would have to have separate bays to provide for the segregation of incompatible chemical groups and other considerations that will be specified in the permit-by-rule regulations for permanent HHW facilities that the DTSC will be drafting. There must be sufficient area surrounding the facility for the smooth flow of traffic.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances

Section 15 page 15-5 of County's Hazardous Waste Management Plan recommends that Yolo County begin the process of establishing a permanent HHW collection facility. Permit-by-rule regulations will ultimately determine the level of state permitting required. A County Conditional Use Permit would be required, as well as compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Under some circumstances this type of facility could encounter local opposition and/or require an Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

EBA Wastechnologies
HHWEWINT\ December 1992

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

Yolo County may require insurance coverages in excess of State mandates. These insurance coverages address workers compensation, comprehensive general liability, automobile liability, and environmental impairment liability. Permit-by-rule minimum insurance requirements are not likely to differ from current insurance requirements for a permanent HHW facility which require \$1,000,000 liability coverage per incident and \$2,000,000 aggregate coverage per year.

Costs

Capital costs to retrofit an existing 2,400-square-foot steel building in San Francisco were approximately \$300,000 in 1988. The operating budget to serve 7,500 participating households was \$560,000 in 1989, for a cost of about \$75 per participating household. A 2,800-square-foot facility with a 160-drum capacity designed to serve Yuba and Sutter Counties cost in excess of \$300,000 in 1990, with an operating budget of \$176,000 per year. While costs are substantial, operating costs compare favorably to a few HHW collection days per year. Storage capabilities permit efficient bulking and lab packing, which are helpful in controlling disposal costs.

The needs of Yolo County could be fulfilled with a smaller facility than those mentioned above. It could be operated for an estimated \$250,000 to \$300,000 per year. Capital costs amortized over 20 years would be \$29,500 per year for a \$200,000 facility with \$50,000 development and CEQA compliance costs at 10 percent interest. Staffing would be about \$90,000 per year for a chemist/manager and a technician and \$120,000 to 180,000 per year operating and disposal costs.

Market Availability

Storage capabilities of a permanent facility allow for maximizing potential of market conditions. Markets are available for recyclable HHW, and the Yolo County hierarchy of HHW disposal requires that all recyclable HHW be recycled.

Collection Alternative 7. Small Quantity Commercial Source Participation

As defined by AB 2641, a "small quantity commercial source" is a business (commercial or agricultural) that generates 220 pounds a month or less of hazardous waste (HW). Although they are exempt from some regulations, they still must test, properly store, treat, and manifest their waste. Rather than sustain the expense of dealing with a licensed hazardous waste management firm, many of these businesses are improperly disposing of their waste.

This alternative could be incorporated into a periodic drop-off program, or be an extension of a permanent or "limited" HHW facility. If incorporated into a periodic program, a separate collection date should be dedicated to these sources for reasons explained below under Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances. Incorporated as part of a permanent facility, this alternative provides a means to increase the facility's usefulness. In either case,

it could offer the jurisdiction an opportunity to divert large quantities of hazardous waste from the waste stream with little or no additional cost through the use of a fee structure.

In the past, participation by small businesses in community HHW programs was expressly forbidden by law. The recent passage of AB 2641 now gives a municipality the option of accepting such hazardous wastes. If the program operator were to accept wastes from small businesses, the operator should charge a fee, since waste disposal is a business expense for the participant. But a relatively low fee and increased convenience for the business would help encourage compliance.

The possibility exists for commercial participation to overwhelm a program intended for residential use. A limiting factor for that problem is the state law that limits unmanifested transportation of hazardous waste to 5 gallons of a liquid or 50 pounds of a solid (note exceptions near the bottom of page 9). By limiting the amount of waste that can be brought to this collection program at one time, the program's capacity is not overextended. This limitation also makes the program most advantageous for the very small quantity sources for whom a commercial alternative would be prohibitively expensive.

Effectiveness

In other community HHW programs that have accepted commercial wastes, the number of participants has been small compared to the number of households, but the amount of waste collected has been substantial. The large amount of waste collected indicates that the program can be very effective in removing toxics from the waste stream. This is an alternative that could be added at a later date if program goals are not being met.

Hazards

More hazardous waste would be handled than in other alternatives, resulting in an increase in hazards. This would be less applicable if a permanent facility were utilized. By separating collection of residential and commercial wastes, potential exposure to the public would be minimized. As in other drop-off events, handling and transportation to the collection site is an area that requires particular caution. Also, the reduction of improperly disposed wastes would represent a net reduction of hazard.

Ability to Accommodate Change

Because this option is basically an administrative variation of other alternatives, it could be altered or cancelled without major consequences.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

This alternative should further reduce hazardous waste from entering the waste stream.

Ability to be Implemented

If this alternative is part of a drop-off program, it could be implemented during the short-term planning period. If the alternative is incorporated into a permanent HHW facility program, it would likely be part of the medium-term planning period due to permitting and facility requirements.

Need for Facilities

A permanent facility is not necessary for this alternative to be implemented, but a permanent HHW facility would increase the safety and ease of implementation for this type of program.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances

Current interpretation of hazardous waste transportation laws indicates there may be a need to keep residential and commercial programs separate to prevent the combined waste stream from falling under jurisdiction of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). If a fee is charged for the commercial program, but not the HHW program, it makes administrative sense to keep the two programs separate. One way of doing that in a drop-off program would be to dedicate some of the drop-off days to businesses only.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

No institutional barriers to implementation are noted at this time.

Costs

A charge to the participants should be made per gallon of liquid waste and per pound of solid waste, so that the City does not sustain a net cost to run the program. For nonrecyclable wastes these costs might be in the neighborhood of \$2 to \$4 per pound, or \$10 to \$40 per gallon. Differing types of hazardous waste have differing disposal costs, which should be reflected in the fee schedule.

Market Availability

Market availability would have little effect on the success of this alternative. Markets are available for recyclable HHW.

Collection Alternative 8. Mobile Drop-Off Sites

The purpose of this alternative is to increase accessibility for the public by increasing the convenience of finding or getting to the collection sites. Mobile facilities visit several collection sites within a large geographic area on a rotating basis. Two basic variations of this alternative exist. In one variation the operator consolidates and lab packs the collected HHW at the end of the event for transportation to recycling or disposal facilities. The other variation uses a permanent facility as a hub to utilize the permanent facility's storage advantages. Mobile drop-off sites would require facilities that could be rotated from site to site. Riverside County's program is an example of this collection alternative.

Mobile facilities vary in their complexity. Riverside County uses a van conversion, a county pickup with a hydraulic lift, and a compartmentalized roll-off bin for temporary storage of HHW. Weather protection consists of sun shades only; the facility closes in the event of rain.

San Mateo County utilizes a 40-foot trailer to transport collection materials to the temporary sites and for overnight storage of collected HHW. King County, Washington, uses a large van and a mobile office. The van is used for storage of portable weather protection for two separate areas, 55-gallon drums, secondary containment, portable fencing, absorbent, and protective clothing. A portable electric generator, and portable toilets are also part of the facility. The mobile office is used for administrative purposes and for analyzing unknowns.

Effectiveness

By increasing accessibility to the public, the percentage of participating households should increase, thereby increasing effectiveness. The effectiveness could be affected by the final DTSC regulations involving mobile facilities. Draft DTSC regulations consider mobile facilities "temporary facilities" and limit the acceptance of HHW to two consecutive days at one time. Such a short collection period could limit the effectiveness of this alternative. DTSC is developing regulations specific to mobile facilities which would allow for longer periods of collection.

Hazards

A relatively short storage time limits the possibility of public exposure. The public's maximum exposure to hazard is during handling and transportation of HHW to the collection site. Publicity materials should emphasize safe handling and transportation procedures.

Ability to Accommodate Change

Being able to change collection sites makes this alternative flexible and open to changing conditions.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

Increased public participation should mean fewer hazardous wastes going into the waste stream.

Ability to be Implemented

This alternative requires a level of planning, procurement, and permitting that suggests a lead time in excess of a year. This suggests possible implementation during the short-term planning period.

Need for Facilities

Mobile HHW collection facilities would be necessary for this alternative. Riverside County uses a modified roll-off box that has been compartmentalized, explosion proofed, equipped with false floors with secondary containment sumps, and an external safety shower/eye-wash. A van conversion with a table and sink is used for administrative purposes and for testing unknowns, as well as for storage of protective clothing and equipment. A pickup with a hydraulic lift

(permitted for transporting hazardous waste) is used for moving 55-gallon drums at the drop-off site as well as occasionally picking up HHW from the homebound.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances No conflicts with local policy are noted at this time.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

No institutional barriers exist, but implementation would require multi-jurisdictional permitting, cooperation, and coordination.

Costs

Riverside County, with a population of approximately one million residents, is currently operating with an insufficient budget of \$450,000 per year. The vast majority of the budget is spent on disposal costs for the collected HHW. The cost of the modified second-hand roll-off bin was approximately \$25,000. The van conversion is a hand-me-down hazardous incident response vehicle, and the pickup is a county vehicle on loan. Three permanent employees are included in the budget, but other employees are "borrowed" from other departments to double or quadruple that number during collection days. The San Mateo program operates on a \$300,000 budget.

The cost of mobile facilities may ultimately depend on their definition. Regulations governing the operation of mobile HHW facilities are at present undefined in California. The Department of Toxic Substances Control is aware that mobile facilities are a unique category that require regulations dedicated specifically to them.

Higher costs for educating the public might be construed as being necessary to keep the public informed of the mobile facility's schedule. Any increase in those expenses would most likely be money well spent in keeping the issue of HHW disposal before the public eye.

Market Availability

Market availability would have little effect on this alternative. Markets are available for recyclable HHW collected.

Collection Alternative 9. Door-to-Door HHW Collection

This service would supplement other programs, and would be offered infrequently. Door-to-door collection would require trained hazardous waste personnel stopping at the homes of people who had phoned in their request for service in advance. It would require a DTSC approved vehicle with a crew of two who would remove the wastes from peoples homes and pack them for safe transportation to a consolidation site. Ideally, this consolidation site would be a permanent facility, but could be a HHW drop-off event or a mobile drop-off site. The City of Los Angeles is initiating a pilot program for this type of service, utilizing city employees and

city-owned vehicles. Private waste management firms are also investigating the possibility of offering this type of service. This program would be especially helpful to the homebound.

Effectiveness

Door-to-door collection is the only alternative that does not require the participant to drive to a remote site to drop off their HHW. Therefore, it would be capable of collecting HHW that would otherwise be left uncollected and would be effective in diverting hazardous waste from the waste stream.

Hazards

Regulatory requirements for the crew and vehicle would address most of the hazards associated with this type of service. Because the waste would be handled and transported to the consolidation site by professionals, transportation hazards would be reduced. Removal of hazardous substances from long-term storage in area homes would contribute to a net reduction of hazards.

Ability to Accommodate Change

The flexibility of a mobile drop-off program would makes it especially adaptable to changing conditions.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

There would be no consequences on the waste stream other than a net reduction of hazardous waste.

Ability to be Implemented

Door-to-door collection is an alternative that would be added to an ongoing drop-off program. Therefore, this alternative is not likely to be implemented until the medium-term planning period.

Need for Facilities

A DTSC approved vehicle would be necessary, as well as a specially trained crew. A permanent HHW facility would be the best "hub" for the system. But the service could be scheduled to coincide with a HHW drop-off event instead. In that case no permanent facilities would be necessary.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances

No conflicts with local policies are noted at this time.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

No institutional barriers to implementation are noted at this time.

Costs

The cost of this alternative could be substantial. It is estimated by one hazardous waste management firm that the two person crew could handle two households per hour, at a cost of \$150 to \$175 per household serviced. This cost would be in addition to the cost of operating a "hub" site that would be needed for consolidation and lab packing prior to disposal. Costs would be minimized if the personnel and storage capabilities of a permanent or mobile HHW facility were utilized to provide the service. A charge for this service could be considered, which could be waived under special circumstances.

Market Availability

Markets exist for recyclable HHW collected by this alternative.

4.3 MONITORING ALTERNATIVES

Criteria applicable to all monitoring alternatives will be addressed after the descriptions. The following monitoring alternatives will be considered for inclusion in the City's HHW management plan:

- Monitoring the waste stream for HHW
- Ongoing evaluation of HHW program
- Waste characterization study

Monitoring Alternative 1. Monitoring the Waste Stream for HHW

Monitoring the waste stream for HHW is an existing condition for the City of Winters. A hazardous waste exclusion program is in effect at the Yolo County Central Landfill, which includes client awareness and random load inspections at the working face. HHW could be kept from entering the waste stream through the implementation of curbside monitoring. Refuse collectors would have to be trained to recognize HHW, and if it were spotted prior to collection it would be left behind with instructions for proper disposal.

Monitoring Alternative 2. Ongoing Evaluation of HHW Program

Accurate records of the amounts of HHW collected by the collection program will have to be kept in order to evaluate the success of meeting program goals. Form CIWMB-303 (Appendix B) must be used when compiling this information. In addition to the categorization of HHW, this form includes the number of pounds or gallons collected, not just the number of drums shipped. From this information tons per year can be calculated, the unit of measurement used in the Waste Generation Study. Deficiencies in meeting the intended goals can result in modifications of the public education or collection components of the HHW management plan.

Monitoring Alternative 3. Waste Characterization Study

Another means of monitoring the effectiveness of the HHW management program would be to conduct a waste characterization study. A waste characterization study is similar to the waste generation study that provides the basis for the SRRE but is limited in scope to focus on specific areas, in this case HHW. Selected loads of refuse could be sampled to determine the proportion and type of HHW present. This information would indicate the effectiveness of a HHW program, and could be used to suggest specific areas in which improvement is needed.

4.4.1 Evaluation of Monitoring Alternatives

The following criteria address the alternatives described above:

Effectiveness

Monitoring the waste stream for HHW is a somewhat effective means of diverting HHW. If the generator can be identified and the HHW returned, a powerful lesson could be taught. However, identifying the generator of HHW in a commingled residential waste stream at the landfill is nearly impossible. The effectiveness is then limited to diverting the small quantities of HHW that are discovered and by demonstrating a commitment to excluding hazardous waste from the landfill.

A monitoring and evaluation program is necessary to see that goals are being met. Without one, the effectiveness of the program could not be quantified.

Categorizing HHW (as in form CIWMB-303) would increase the usefulness of a waste characterization study as a HHW monitoring tool.

Hazards

Some hazards exist for those conducting waste characterization studies and load-checking for hazardous waste. Hazards are minimized through proper training. Minimum hazards exist for workers who are trained in proper handling procedures for HHW.

Ability to Accommodate Change

These alternatives could be changed easily if conditions change.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

Monitoring the waste stream for HHW, proper evaluation of the HHW management program, or a waste characterization study would result in the reduction of hazardous waste entering the landfill.

Ability to be Implemented

These alternatives could be implemented in the short-term planning period and should continue through the medium-term planning period.

Need for Facilities

No new facilities are needed for these alternatives.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans and Ordinances No conflicts with local policies are noted at this time.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

No institutional barriers are noted at this time.

Costs

The additional cost of a monitoring program that included curbside monitoring for HHW would be equivalent to the cost of in-house training of the collector to recognize and handle HHW (\$1,000). The cost of evaluating the HHW program would be equal to about one week's salary for the program coordinator (\$1,000). A waste characterization study that examines HHW only would cost between \$6,000 and \$12,000.

Market Availability

Market availability has no effect on monitoring alternatives.

, ·

4

SELECTION OF PROGRAMS

This section of the HHWE will outline the alternatives selected to form an integrated HHW management program for the City of Winters, and explain why they were selected. The success of a collection plan for HHW depends on the simultaneous implementation of public education and monitoring plans to form an integrated program. Preferred education and public information alternatives and their implementation are discussed in Sections 8.3 and 8.4 of this HHWE. The selection of programs was based on the criteria for evaluation presented in the previous section.

Cost-effectiveness and improvement of existing conditions were of particular importance in the selection of a program for the short-term planning period. Selection of a program for the medium-term planning period was based on continuity with short-term programs and consistency with the County's Hazardous Waste Management Plan.

5.1 SELECTED COLLECTION PROGRAMS

The proposed collection programs for City residents will address both recyclable and nonrecyclable HHW on an ongoing basis. Programs for the collection of recyclable HHW at the Yolo County Central Landfill will be expanded. When the County changes its drop-off program to periodic collections at the landfill, The City of Winters will join that program. For the medium-term planning period, the City of Winters will elect to become a part of the County operated permanent facility planned for the Yolo County Central Landfill. This program is a combination of the following collection alternatives from Section 4 of this HHWE:

•	Collection alternative 3 & 4	Expanded collection of recyclable HHW
• .	Collection alternative 5	Temporary HHW collection facility

Collection alternative 6 Permanent HHW collection facility

Expanded Collection of Recyclable HHW

In addition to Winters residents' current opportunity to drop off used motor oil and spent lead-acid batteries at the YCCL, two of the collection alternatives evaluated in this element have been selected for implementation to improve the collection of recyclable HHW in Yolo County. Two alternatives of approximately the same estimated cost of implementation were chosen for implementation by the County to provide for maximum flexibility in meeting program goals and maintaining regulatory compliance. Collection Alternative 3, periodic collection days for recyclable HHW (bop-drops), was chosen for initial implementation at the YCCL, with a possible change to Collection Alternative 4, expanded collection of HHW at the landfill to include latex paint. In either case, storage facilities for used antifreeze will be added to the

recycling center at the YCCL for the public to drop off used antifreeze during the landfill's operating hours.

Bop-drops were chosen as a complement to the next selected alternative, a temporary HHW collection facility (THHWCF). The County was considering implementing the maximum number of collection events allowed under THHWCF permit-by-rule regulations, but examining data from other jurisdictions that went to 12 collection events per year suggested that from a cost-effective standpoint, 12 collection events might not be the optimum number. Instead, six or seven collection events per year appeared to offer more effectiveness per dollar. Bop-drops were selected as an alternate collection event for those months that a temporary HHW collection event for all types of HHW is not scheduled.

The City and the County recognize that the collection of latex paint requires monitoring to prevent the accidental collection of oil-based paint which would jeopardize the regulatory advantages that the collection of recyclable HHW offers. The ongoing monitoring of latex paint collection could present personnel and training requirements that the County would find difficult to meet. Bop-drops were selected to increase the collection of latex paint from the public by a program that could be supervised by a licensed hazardous waste management firm on a periodic basis. The County's tentative schedule for HHW collection calls for 7 THHWCF events and 5 bop-drops per year.

The biggest potential disadvantage of bop-drops foreseen by the County could be confusion by the public who might confuse a bop-drop with a collection event for all types of HHW. If this were to occur the confused participant would then be asked to bring back their nonrecyclable HHW to the next THHWCF event. Educational materials will make every effort to clarify the difference between the two types of collection events. If this proves to be a continuing problem, the County will consider collecting latex paint at their recycling center at the landfill on an ongoing by-appointment basis.

Regardless of which collection alternative the County ultimately implements for the collection of latex paint, storage facilities will be added for the public to drop off used antifreeze at the recycling center at the landfill. These storage facilities are likely to be a double-walled polyethylene storage tank, but it is possible that a weather-protected 55-gallon drum in a secondary containment vessel will be used on an interim basis to determine public demand. If the County decides to collect latex paint at the recycling center, a ventilated storage shed with secondary containment will be purchase for that purpose. The shed would be used to store the paint in the containers in which it was brought to the landfill. Consolidation into 55-gallon drums for transportation to the recycler would be done by the licensed hazardous waste management firm during THHWCF events. A permitted tank exists at the landfill for the storage of used motor oil. Spent lead-acid batteries are stored in a hazardous materials storage shed on pallets and will continue to be stored in this manner.

The current collection program for recyclable HHW at the recycling center at the YCCL collected 34.4 tons of used oil and 28.2 tons of lead-acid batteries during fiscal year 1990-91. The level of used oil collection should continue to grow with improved public education, but the level of spent lead-acid battery collection should fall because of the State-mandated program that requires retailers to offer cash trade-ins when selling new batteries. The bop-drops are projected to collect about 450 gallons of leftover latex paint per event, or 2,225 gallons per year. A limited HHW facility in Pacheco collects a little less than half as much leftover latex paint as it does used motor oil. On that basis, Collection Alternative 4 could be expected to collect about 17 tons of latex paint, or about 3,100 gallons per year. Used antifreeze has been collected at a rate of about 10 percent of the latex paint. Three-hundred and ten gallons per year could be expect to be collected on that basis.

A latex paint recycler in Sacramento has begun a pilot marketing program for paint recycled by HHW programs. Documentation is being prepared to certify the quality of the product which could lead to government or other large-scale procurement. The success of this program could lead to reduced paint recycling costs for the Yolo County program.

The anticipated end-uses of the recyclable material collected by these two programs could conceivably end up in the local community. In California, the majority of used motor oil that is collected is re-refined into lubricating oil. The major ingredient of spent lead-acid batteries is lead and lead compounds. These are resmelted for the manufacture of new batteries. The plastic cases are recycled for secondary uses and the acid neutralized. Used antifreeze is redistilled for use as antifreeze. The latex paint is reprocessed and returned to the community for local use.

In keeping with the City's desire to increase the local options for the collection of recyclable HHW, if a suitable site and funding become available, the City will examine the feasibility of collecting used motor oil and lead-acid batteries at a site in the City. Currently, neither the site nor the funding for a waste oil collection tank are available.

Temporary HHW Collection Facility

The Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation has been sponsoring periodic collection events since 1985. Currently, events open to all County residents are held twice a year in Davis, and once a year in both West Sacramento and Woodland, and it is likely that this schedule will be continued through 1992. While these types of events are included in draft PBR regulations for temporary household hazardous waste collection facilities (THHWCF), this selected alternative calls for the selection of a dedicated location and regular schedule for county-wide HHW collection events implemented by the Yolo County Department of Public Works. All types of HHW would continue to be collected, and a licensed hazardous waste management firm would be retained to provide the necessary level of expertise and trained personnel (Appendix A). Collected HHW would not be stored at the site, but would be removed for

recycling, destruction, or disposal at the end of each event along with load-check hazardous waste from the County's hazardous waste exclusion program.

The site for this temporary HHW collection facility would be at a proposed recycling storage facility at the Yolo County Central Landfill. This is envisioned as a 60-by 70-foot enclosed steel structure with a concrete floor that could also be used as a temporary HHW collection facility. Unloading HHW from participants cars, sorting, bulking, and lab packing would take place in designated covered areas. Full drums would be segregated from other activities prior to loading into trucks.

It is likely that seven HHW collection events per year will be scheduled. This would allow collection during consecutive months during spring and fall, when participation at HHW collection events is typically high. During the rest of the year there would be a maximum of two months between collection events. Permit-by-rule regulations permit a site to be utilized for up to two days collection once per calendar month.

Members of the public would drive to the facility at the YCCL, and after filling out a short questionnaire, their wastes would be removed by trained personnel. Manifests would be completed during consolidation and lab packing to identify the contents of the drums in case of an emergency during transport, and to create a "paper trail" to ensure the safe disposition of the wastes.

The vendor contracted to run the collection events will also manage hazardous wastes pulled from the waste stream and stored as a part of the County's hazardous waste exclusion program. This load-check waste will be consolidated, lab packed, and shipped by the hazardous waste management firm concurrently with the HHW collection events. The load-check waste is stored in shipping containers modified for hazardous waste storage. These storage facilities may be used as a part of the permanent HHW collection facility when that alternative is implemented during the medium-term planning period.

The four HHW collection events that were opened to all Yolo County residents during fiscal year 1990-91 resulted in the collection of about 40 tons of HHW. Three other events were open only to residents of the City of Davis which resulted in approximately 19 additional tons of HHW being collected. The seven total events resulted in the collection of approximately 59 tons of HHW being collected. This new collection program is expected to collect approximately 60 to 65 tons of HHW per year. The types of HHW collected are expected to be similar to that collected by the county-wide events: approximately 20 percent latex paint, 14 percent oil-based paint, 8 percent bulk solvents, 2 percent aerosols, 20 percent used motor oil, 13 percent lead-acid batteries, and 24 percent other types of waste for lab pack.

Some usable materials that are collected are redistributed through a materials exchange swap table. Participants in the materials exchange are required to sign a Release and Indemnity

Agreement. Reused materials have included usable paint, garden supplies and unopened cans of motor oil. This is a new program and has not yet proven to have a large cost-saving impact; but reuse is ingrained.

Collected materials will be disposed of in a number of ways. Oil-based paints and solvents are most likely to be shipped to alternative fuel-blending programs for incineration. Aerosols are most likely to be shipped out of state for incineration. Corrosives, pesticides and other poisons will either be incinerated or buried in Class I hazardous waste landfills. Recyclable HHW that is collected will be recycled by the same methods described in the previous selected alternative.

Permanent HHW Collection Facility

The Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation, lead enforcement agency for operations at the YCCL, envisions construction of a material recovery facility (MRF) and permanent HHW collection facility at the landfill during the medium-term planning period. The permanent HHW collection facility would be used for storage of HHW discovered at the MRF as well as that collected from the public during scheduled collection hours. The County's Hazardous Waste Management Plan recommends the construction of a permanent HHW collection facility, and the County's General Plan acknowledges the appropriateness of utilizing the landfill site for compatible uses such as these through issuance of a Conditional Use Permit. The permanent HHW facility would be open to all County residents.

Prior to construction of the MRF, hazardous waste storage sheds will be purchased by the County for the storage of HHW that is pulled from the waste stream as a part of the landfill's hazardous waste exclusion program. Consolidation of that waste for recycling and disposal will be coordinated with the drop off of HHW by County residents at the temporary facility described above. These load-check hazardous waste storage sheds may then be incorporated into the new permanent facility at the MRF, thereby minimizing duplication when switching from a temporary to a permanent HHW facility.

Storage facilities should have sufficient capacity to make transporting collected drums to disposal facilities an efficient proposition. Shipping full drums in a full truck is an example of this efficiency. This threshold of efficiency is likely to be a storage capacity in the neighborhood of 100 drums or more. The facility would need separate bays or sheds to segregate incompatible chemicals and would require secondary containment sumps. A fire prevention system and safety wash would also have to be a part of the facility, as well as an area for administrative details and testing unknown chemicals. Disposal methods would be the same as for the temporary HHW collection facility described above.

The breakdown of HHW collected by type is likely to be similar to the breakdown described above for the temporary facility. One difference may be an increase in the proportion of leftover latex paint and a decrease in leftover oil-based paint as changes in the marketplace move

in that direction. The quantities of HHW to be collected will be dependent on the collection schedule that is adopted by the program operator. When the program is initiated it is likely that the operators will stick with the schedule of the temporary facility while operations are fine-tuned. In that case, quantities of HHW collected would be similar to those described above for the temporary facility. Levels of participation would be expected to increase with increased awareness created by the education program, and operating hours would likely need to increase to accommodate increased demand.

5.2 SELECTED MONITORING PROGRAM

The existing hazardous waste monitoring that is in effect at the Yolo County Central Landfill will be continued. Curbside monitoring for HHW is an existing condition for the City of Winters and will continue to be implemented as well.

The basis of record keeping for the Winters HHW program will be form CIWMB-303. This will enable the collected HHW to be categorized in a manner consistent with other jurisdictions around the state. It will also keep track of the material collected in units (pounds and gallons) that are convertible to tons per year, the units of the Waste Generation Study. The County will keep track of the residency of participants in all county-wide programs and the results will be reported to the Cities. Using these records the City will evaluate the effectiveness of the program in meeting diversion goals. Deficiencies in meeting the intended goals will result in modifications of the public education or collection components of the HHW management plan.

PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

Program development and administration for City programs will be the responsibility of the City's Department of Public Works. City administered programs will be funded by the City's Refuse Fund. Program development and administration for County programs will be the responsibility of the County's Department of Public Works and Transportation. Funding for the County administered programs will be funded by an increase in tipping fees. The funding requirements for each of the selected programs are shown in Section 9, Funding, Tables 9-1 and 9-2.

Tables 6-1 through 6-4 show timelines for the implementation tasks necessary for selected programs through the medium-term planning period.

Table 6-1. Implementation Tasks for Expanded Collection of Recyclable HHW

Tasks	Completion	Responsible	Funding
	Date	Entity	Source
Design program site, facilities, schedule, personnel Provide recommendation Approve funding Coordinate publicity program Implement program Ongoing monitoring	5/92 6/92 7/92 7/92 1/93, ongoing 1/93, ongoing	County Dept. of Public Works County Dept. of Public Works Board of Supervisors County Dept. of Public Works	fees

The City of Winters Recycling Coordinator Could Coordinate Publicity & PR etc. With County.

Table 6-2. Implementation Tasks for Temporary HHW Collection Facility

Tasks	Completion	Responsible	Funding
	Date	Entity	Source
Continue current program Design coordination of HW exclusion program and HHW collection program specify HW storage facilities specify site development at landfill Provide recommendation Approve funding order HW storage facilities improve site at landfill Implement HHW collection at landfill Ongoing monitoring	Through 1992 5/92 5/92 5/92 5/92 7/92 7/92 12/92 3/93 3/93	County Dept of Public Works Board of Supervisors County Dept of Public Works County Dept of Public Works County DPW/Vendor County DPW/Vendor	Tipping fees/Cities' contribution Tipping fees

Table 6-3. Implementation Table for Monitoring and Evaluation

Tasks	Completion	Responsible	Funding
	Date	Entity	Source
Curbside monitoring for HHW collector training program implementation	6/92	Franchised Waste Hauler	Tipping
	6/92, ongoing	Franchised Waste Hauler	fees
Program monitoring Quantification of recyclables collected City participation in County program Annual report	1/93, ongoing 1/92, ongoing 2/93, ongoing	County Dept. of Public Works County Dept. of Public Works County Dept. of Public Works	

Table 6-4. Implementation Table for Permanent HHW Collection Facility

Tasks	Completion	Responsible -	Funding
	Date	Entity	Source
Study feasibility of expanded operations Provide recommendation Approve funding Submit PBR ap. for permanent facility Fulfil CEQA requirements Hire staff Implement regular collection events Ongoing monitoring	3/94 3/95 6/95 7/95 7/96 9/96 12/96, ongoing	County Dept of Public Works County Dept of Public Works Board of Supervisors County Dept of Public Works Permanent facility staff County Dept of Public Works/ Permanent facility staff	Tipping fees

MONITORING AND EVALUATION

Through monitoring and evaluation, the City of Winters can determine the success of their HHW management program. Total HHW collected by the City and County programs will be recorded by using form CIWMB-303. For this form to be of value, it must be accurately filled out by those conducting the collection programs. It is extremely important that accurate estimates are made of the actual amount of HHW deposited in each drum. The actual amount of hazardous waste that is lab packed in a drum varies greatly, and accurate estimates of HHW collection cannot be determined by only knowing the number of drums shipped from a collection program. (Lab packing is the process of safely packing many smaller containers of hazardous waste into a larger container, usually a 55-gallon drum. All wastes in a drum must be of the same hazard class, and the smaller containers are separated and protected by sufficient vermiculite to absorb all of the liquid wastes in the drum).

To be consistent with the Waste Generation Study, records should include quantities of HHW collected in tons per year. Pounds of liquids are determined by multiplying the number of gallons listed on form CIWMB-303 by the number of pounds per gallon of the particular liquid (for instance, used oil weighs about 7.5 pounds per gallon; latex paint weighs about 11 pounds per gallon). If the City is unable to meet the goals and objectives stated in Section 2 of the HHWE, it will act on one or more of the following:

- Increase the level of public education and information
- Increase the operating hours of the recyclables collection
- Conduct a waste characterization study to redefine the amount of HHW currently being disposed of in the landfill and to determine types of HHW to target for increased collection
- Implement an alternative collection program such as small commercial source participation

The County Department of Public Works will be responsible for keeping track of collection program success. Records will be kept for the amount of HHW collected at each collection event, and summarized into monthly totals. These monthly totals will then be utilized to prepare periodic reports which will be available for review by the City. Evaluation of the program will take place on an annual basis. Deficiencies in meeting the intended goals would result in the implementation of one or more of the above modifications of the public education or collection programs.

The criteria for successful City participation in the County collection program during the short-term planning period include an increasing level of participation of City residents above the base year, fiscal year 1991-92. The criteria for successful City participation in the County collection program during the medium-term planning period include an increasing level of first-time participants and decreasing proportion of repeat participants as a measure of success of source reduction programs.

The annual evaluation report for the County HHW collection program will be done by the Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation. Data of the residency of participating households from Winters will be included in this evaluation. The City Recycling Coordinator will use the number of participating households from Winters to evaluate the success of the program from the City's perspective, based on the criteria described in the paragraph above. This evaluation will be included in the City's solid waste monitoring and evaluation report.

Funding for the curbside monitoring for HHW will come from refuse collection rates in the form of training for refuse collectors to recognize and properly handle prohibited wastes discovered curbside. Such wastes will be left behind and tagged with instructions for proper disposal at County HHW collection programs. Curbside monitoring costs are budgeted for \$500 per year.

EDUCATION AND PUBLIC INFORMATION

The Education and Public Information section of this HHWE will consist of the following subsections: Objectives, Existing Programs, Preferred Alternatives, Program Implementation, and Monitoring and Evaluation.

8.1 OBJECTIVES

The City will develop a public education and information component for their HHW management program that will accomplish the following objectives:

- Create an awareness among 40 percent of City residents of the problems that toxic household products pose by the year 1995.
- Create an awareness among 60 percent of City residents of the problems that toxic household products pose by the year 2000.
- Reduce the amount of HHW generated (source reduction) by encouraging the use of less-toxic or non-toxic alternatives to toxic household products.
- Inform the public of the City's HHW management program and encourage public participation in the program.

The City of Winters will develop a public education program designed to keep the public informed of the proper use and disposal of toxic household products. The program will highlight the dangers of improper disposal of HHW, such as pouring it down the drain, pouring it on the ground, or throwing it in the garbage. Such actions can result in disruption of wastewater systems, damage to environmentally sensitive groundwater, or injury to sanitation workers.

An alternative to disposal is for the consumer to use up the product according to directions on the label. The empty container can then be safely disposed of in the garbage. Likewise, paint cans containing only dried remnants can be safely disposed of in the garbage. Eliminating these containers from HHW collection programs helps to control the cost of the program, and should be a part of the educational campaign.

Source reduction, in terms of HHW, is the substitution of non-toxic products for toxic ones. The American public will be asked to change some of their long-established habits.

City of Winters Household Hazardous Waste Element The City's educational efforts will target the City's residential sector. Specifically, grade school students, and do-it-yourself automobile owners and homeowners will be given additional emphasis. Grade school students will be targeted because of their ability to create an awareness within their households and neighborhoods and because they will form the foundation for public awareness in the future. Do-it-yourselfers will be targeted because activities of this type appear to be responsible for a large percentage of the HHW waste stream.

Publicizing HHW collection programs and encouraging the public to participate is a major objective of the public education program. In addition to the dates, locations, and times of the events, this information should include the types of wastes that will and will not be accepted and should mention the maximum quantities that can be transported according to state law. The need for safe handling and transportation to collection sites must also be emphasized.

8.2 EXISTING PROGRAMS

Residents of the City are kept informed of upcoming collection events through a multi-faceted education program. Notification of the annual periodic event is made by advertisements in the local paper and the distribution of flyers. Source reduction is addressed at County collection events by distribution of "Household Hazardous Waste Wheels" or the "Household Hazardous Products" brochure from the DTSC. Participants at the County HHW collection events are requested to fill out a survey with questions on recycling and source reduction as well as HHW. The Yolo County Department of Public Works has begun publication of a quarterly newsletter, "Garbage Talk," that deals with solid waste issues including HHW.

8.3 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES

The following nine preferred public education alternatives will be considered for Winters' HHW management program. Criteria applicable to all of the education alternatives will appear after the descriptions.

- Original Printed Material
- Use of Existing Source Reduction Material
- Use of Existing Video Productions
- Establishment of a School Curriculum:
- Awards Program for Local Businesses
- Media Releases
- Direct Mail
- Utility Bill Inserts
- County-wide HHW Hotline

Education Alternative 1. Original Printed Material

Printed material comes in an infinite variety of forms and provides an indispensable element in any educational campaign. General information about HHW that is applicable to all jurisdictions is a valuable resource in a multi-faceted approach, because it helps to provide an awareness of HHW; but, even more important is original material printed specifically about the program developed for the City of Winters.

The key piece could either be a newsletter or a brochure that works both as an effective mailer and as a poster. The newsletter would include other topics in addition to HHW and could be distributed quarterly. The Yolo County Department of Public Works is in the process of developing "Garbage Talk," a quarterly newsletter that meets this description. As a variation of this alternative, a brochure could be mailed to every household once a year, distributed to new arrivals, posted on various public bulletin boards, and distributed to school children to take home to discuss with their families. While the overall layout of the piece might remain consistent year-to-year, a new version should be printed each year in a different color to reflect changes in the program and to reinforce previous mailings. Simpler flyers could also be prepared with announcements of periodic collection events.

Cost estimates of the materials include development and printing costs. The cost to the HHW management program would be about the same for a newsletter or brochure. Based on a brochure with development and printing costs of \$0.40 each, 1,700 copies would cost \$680. The advantage of the newsletter approach would that a schedule of events would not have to be prepared a year in advance.

Education Alternative 2. Use of Existing Source Reduction Material

A wide variety of professionally produced source reduction material is available for communities to incorporate into their public education campaigns (Appendix A). The "Household Hazardous Waste Wheel," a colorful and useful reference for alternatives to toxic products, costs governments between \$1.15 and \$1.50 each, depending on quantity. A comprehensive source reduction resource is a 40-page booklet entitled "Making the Switch" that is available to local governments for \$1.00 when purchased in quantity. Currently, the CIWMB is offering free color source reduction flyers. Likewise, DTSC has a colorful brochure that is available free of charge for municipalities to use as a part of a HHW management program.

The City of Winters can take advantage of this opportunity to receive source reduction material free of charge from the State. If requested while the supply still lasts, the material can be utilized for distribution in the future. The cost of requesting these source reduction materials would be about \$250 for administrative time and expenses. Postage for the CIWMB flyers would be covered by the utility bills, and the DTSC brochures would be distributed at collection events.

· · ·

Education Alternative 3. Distribution of Existing Video Productions

At one point early in the development of the HHW education and public information program HHW, video tapes could be purchased for presentation to schools and civic groups. Suitable tapes have been produced by Grass Valley Productions, the Cities of Hercules and Pinole in Contra Costa County, and The League of Women Voters. Costs range from \$20 to \$60 per tape. Two-hundred dollars is budgeted for video tape procurement.

Education Alternative 4. Establishment of a School Curriculum

The Winters Unified School District could select and implement educational programs for all elementary grades. Particular emphasis could be given to grades 4 through 6. Children of this age are able to understand abstract concepts and are beginning to play an increasingly important role as a member of their family. Useful material has been prepared on this subject by agencies such as DTSC and nonprofit organizations, but material specific to the City's program should be prepared and utilized as well. The budget for this alternative is estimated at \$2,000 per year. This cost is estimated on the preparation of a solid waste curriculum being developed for \$10,000, and the HHW program assuming 20 percent of the cost. The full cost of curriculum development is included in the SRRE and not a separate item in the HHWE budget.

Education Alternative 5. Awards Program for Local Businesses

Several of these public education alternatives could have their costs offset by encouraging local businesses to provide sponsorship. Examples include media advertising, printing of materials, or providing expertise. This participation would be recognized by the presentation of awards to those firms providing exemplary levels of support. Such an awards program would be a newsworthy story for the local media. This alternative is budgeted at \$1,000 per year.

Education Alternative 6. Media Releases

In addition to the paid advertising space in the local newspaper, the program coordinator will produce and transmit appropriate press releases to all media throughout the County regarding various aspects of the HHW program. Expenses for this alternative are budgeted at \$200 for the preparation of a media packet and miscellaneous expenses.

Education Alternative 7. Direct Mail

Direct mail has a unique ability to target every household in the community with an eye-catching brochure in a timely fashion. For budgetary purposes two mailings each year are proposed. The cost of bulk rate mail for a governmental agency depends on the size and weight of the piece mailed. An average cost of \$0.20 per piece is a likely average. The budget is \$350 per year based on the mailing of one piece to each household. This represents either the mailing

of one brochure per year dedicated to HHW, or one quarter of the cost of a quarterly newsletter dedicated to solid waste issues.

Education Alternative 8. Utility Bill Inserts

Utility bill inserts offer the City an inexpensive means to distribute printed material. Notices of the changing location of the drop-off sites could be announced in each monthly bill. A successful promotional campaign relies on a multi-faceted approach with one approach reinforcing another. The annual cost of this alternative has been estimated at \$500, in addition to the cost of the printed material enclosed. Postage would be paid for by the utility sending the bill.

Education Alternative 9. County-wide HHW Hotline

Yolo County will provide a telephone number dedicated to the public's questions about HHW or other solid waste issues. The person answering the phone will be familiar with the HHW program and know who to contact if unable to answer a question. No new personnel is required. This will be a County program and is not budgeted in the City's HHW education program.

8.3.1 Evaluation of Public Education Alternatives

The following criteria address the public education alternatives described above:

Effectiveness

In general, the effectiveness of public education campaigns is proportionate to the amount of money spent on them.

Hazards

There are no hazards associated with public education alternatives.

Ability to Accommodate Change

Public education campaigns can easily be changed to accommodate changing conditions.

Consequences on Waste Stream Composition

An effective campaign will result in reduced HHW entering the waste stream.

Ability to be Implemented

These alternatives can be implemented in the short-term planning period and should be an ongoing part of any HHW management program.

Need for Facilities

No facilities are needed to implement these alternatives.

Consistency with Local Policies, Plans, and Ordinances

No conflicts with local policies are noted at this time.

Institutional Barriers to Implementation

No institutional barriers to implementation are noted at this time.

Costs

An effective educational campaign for the City is estimated to cost about \$5,000 per year. This is based on implementation of each of the alternatives listed above.

Market Availability

Market availability does not apply to these alternatives.

8.4 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

The City of Winters will adopt a public education program that encompasses elements of all the public education alternatives discussed in Section 8.3 of this HHWE. Program administrators will play a key role in determining the final shape of the City's education program. The overall approach is to create an awareness on the part of the public of the problems that toxic household products pose, which will help stimulate demand for the City's collection programs.

The public education program will include development of a school curriculum, direct mail, utility bill inserts, direct distribution of source reduction material, awards programs, and a County-run telephone hotline to answer questions on HHW and other source reduction and recycling matters. An important component of the program would involve the public schools. Presentations by solid waste and environmental health officials should lead to classroom discussions relating to developing curriculum. The direct mail campaign should utilize original material that applies specifically to the program available to residents of the City.

Table 8-1 shows the tasks, timeline, responsible agency, and funding source for the preferred alternatives for the City of Winters' HHW education program.

Table 8-1. Implementation Table for Public Education Program

Tasks -	Completion	Responsible	Funding
	Date	Entity	Source
Prepare original printed material Procure source reduction material Procure videotapes Prepare school curriculum Implement curriculum Distribute media releases Mail brochures Establish HHW hotline	6/93 6/93 6/93 9/93, ongoing 9/93, ongoing 12/93, ongoing 12/93	City Dept. of Public Works City Dept. of Public Works City Dept. of Public Works School District School District City Dept. of Public Works City Dept. of Public Works County Dept. of Public Works	Refuse Fund (refuse collection rates)

8.5 MONITORING AND EVALUATION

Success in meeting program goals is also dependent on a high level of public awareness. The success of the public education in creating that awareness will be monitored by the City Department of Public Works. A random telephone survey of City residents will be conducted biannually (every other year) to determine the level of public awareness of household hazardous waste issues. Criteria for the success of the program are based on the objectives stated earlier in this section. Deficiencies will be addressed by modifying the public education program at the discretion of the Recycling Coordinator. During even numbered years, evaluation of public awareness of HHW and available programs will be included with the City's solid waste monitoring and evaluation report.

Funding for the awareness survey will consist of one full day's salary of the City's Recycling Coordinator, every other year. Based on a salary of \$30,000 per year, plus 40 percent for benefits, the City's cost is \$42,000 per year, or approximately \$20 per hour if it is a less-than-full-time position. Cost of the survey on HHW awareness is budgeted for \$200 every other year, for an average of \$100 per year.

The County has until 6/93 to establish a HHW Hottine

FUNDING

In addition to the funding sources identified for the implementation of the City's HHW management program, the City of Winters feel that this HHWE is an appropriate forum to recommend funding alternatives implemented at the State level. An advance-disposal fee could be charged at the point-of-purchase for items that cannot be disposed of in the municipal waste stream. This would create a funding pool which would be distributed to local jurisdictions to finance HHW management programs.

9.1 Funding Sources

The education and public information program, curbside monitoring for HHW, and monitoring and evaluation of the HHW management program for Winters residents will be funded by the City's Refuse Fund. The revenue source for this fund is the line item for refuse collection in City utility bills. The County-wide HHW collection program and the hazardous waste exclusion program at the YCCL will be funded by tipping fees. These funding mechanisms are detailed below.

9.1.1 City Programs

The City's HHW management program will be funded through the Refuse Fund that receives its revenue source from refuse collection rates. It is estimated that the education and public information programs will cost the City approximately \$3,400 in FY 1993-94, and curbside monitoring for HHW and monitoring and evaluation documentation will cost an additional \$1,000 during this time frame. These costs, plus the anticipated increase in refuse collection rates needed to cover anticipated tipping fee increases are shown in Table 9-1.

Starting in fiscal year 1992-93, County-wide HHW collection will be provided at the Yolo County Central Landfill, administered by the County DPW, and paid for by tipping fees. The County is proposing a \$10 per ton increase in tipping fees to pay for landfill improvements and HHWE/SRRE programs. The City believes that a garbage rate increase of \$1.40 per household per month will be necessary to offset the planned \$10 per ton increase in tipping fees. Secondary funding will include grants from the CIWMB, but since funding amounts available in the future are impossible to determine at this time, they are not included in this estimate.

9.1.2 County Programs

Yolo County's HHW management program is funded through the Sanitation Enterprise Fund which receives its revenue from tipping fees imposed at the Yolo County Central Landfill and the Esparto Convenience Center. Funding for the County's share of periodic HHW collection

EBA Wastechnologies
HHWEWINT\ December 1992

City of Winters Household Hazardous Waste Element events in Davis, Woodland and West Sacramento through fiscal year 1991-92, the hazardous waste exclusion program, and existing HHW education programs are funded through existing tipping fees. Funding for an expanded HHW management program will be paid for through the Sanitation Enterprise Fund as well. The County Department of Public Works and Transportation has proposed an increase in tipping fees from \$20 to \$30 per ton to pay for improvements at the landfill as well as SRRE/HHWE programs. Approximately \$0.50 of that \$10 increase will be dedicated to increased HHW management program costs (Table 9-1). Secondary funding will include grants from the CIWMB, but since funding amounts available in the future are impossible to determine at this time, they are not included in this estimate.

Table 9-2 shows the estimated costs of the County's HHW management program through the short-term planning period. Estimated program costs are divided by the projected number of tons of refuse disposed for the same time period to determine the cost of the program per ton of refuse disposed. The difference between the projected costs per ton of future programs and current costs per ton give an indication of what proportion of a proposed tipping fee increase will be needed to provide adequate funding for future HHW programs. The projected disposal tonnages are estimates by the Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation and include AB 939 diversion, population and industrial growth, including potential industrial development by MacMillen-Bloedel in fiscal year 1994-95. If that development has not occurred by then, the needed increase in tipping fees would be approximately \$0.50 per ton over current levels.

9.2 Cost Estimates for Selected Programs

Table 9-1 shows the estimated costs for the City's HHW program for each fiscal year of the short-term planning period. Table 9-2 shows the estimated costs for the County's HHW program for each fiscal year of the short-term planning period.

Table 9-1. City of Winters HHW Program Cost Estimates, Short-Term Planning Period, 1992-1995 ¹

Program	Fiscal Year 1992-93	Fiscal Year 1993-94	Fiscal Year 1994-95
Education and public information	\$3,400	\$3,500	\$3,600
Monitoring	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,100
Total City HHW program costs	\$4,400	\$4,500	\$4,700
Monthly rate increase for City programs	\$0.22	\$0.23	\$0.24
Monthly rate increase for increased tipping fee	\$1.40	\$1.44	\$1.49
Total monthly rate increase necessary	\$1.62	\$1.67	\$1.73

Including 3% annual inflation rounded to nearest \$100

Table 9-2. Yolo County HHW Program Cost Estimates, Short-Term Planning Period 1

Program	Fiscal Year 1991-92	Fiscal Year 1992-93	Fiscal Year 1993-94	Fiscal Year 1994-95
Periodic collection days	\$112,000			
Expanded collection of recyclable HHW	·	\$17,500	\$18,000	\$18,600
Temporary HHW collection facility		\$184,000	\$189,500	\$195,200
Education and public information	\$5,000	\$7,000	\$10,000	\$15,000
Monitoring	\$16,000	\$16,500	\$17,000	\$17,500
Total	\$133,000	\$225,000	\$234,500	\$246,300
Tons of refuse disposed at YCCL 2	213,368	212,916	216,824	276,878
Cost per ton of garbage disposed	\$0.62	\$1.06	\$1.08	\$0.89
Needed increase in tipping fee 3	\$0.00	\$0.44	\$0.46	\$0.27

Including 3% annual inflation rounded to nearest \$100

² 1639 households

² Yolo County DPW (accounting for AB 939 diversion; population and industrial growth)

³ Increase over FY 91-92 levels

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

In accordance with Section 18780 of the Guidelines, the City of Winters has responded to comments received, completed the necessary revisions, and prepared a final draft of the City of Winters HHWE. To facilitate the reader's ability to understand the revisions that have been incorporated into the documents, the City has prepared this Section.

Regarding the City of Winters HHWE, formal comments were received from the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB). All comments received have been reviewed and appropriate response provided. Where necessary, text revisions to the document have been made. Informational comments are noted and areas of divergent opinion receive a valid explanation.

It must be realized that political processes remain dynamic while documents such as an HHWE must choose a point in time and become static. The intent of these documents is to provide the City of Winters, Yolo County, and the CIWMB a baseline from which programs may be implemented, success evaluated, and programs modified as required. There may be issues that cannot be resolved because the data is not currently available and will not be available until the programs commence operation. As information is developed, it will enter the decision-making process and provide opportunities for the documents to be used pro-actively throughout the life of the project.

10.1 STATE'S COMMENTS

Introduction

CIWMB

On page 2, item 3, the draft states that a city may be exempt from preparing a HHWE if it not feasible due to small size and small quantity of waste generated. This is incorrect. A city may petition to obtain reduced planning and diversion requirements only for the Source Reduction and Recycling Element. The city is still responsible for preparing a HHWE.

Also, item 3 states that the Board will approve or disapprove a HHWE based on 1) the geographic size and population of a city and 2) the quantity of HHW generated in the city. This is also incorrect. Approval is based on whether the HHWE complies with regulation and code requirements.

CITY

Item 3 in the preliminary draft has been deleted from the Introduction of the final draft.

Goals and Objectives

CIWMB

CCR section 18751.1 requires the objectives to be measurable. Please include measurable objectives for recycling and safe disposal of HHW in the final HHWE, such as diverting 40% of the HHW from the municipal waste stream through drop off locations by 1995.

CITY .

The City's short-term objective of increasing participation of City residents in the County collection program is a measurable objective. A measurable objective has been added to the medium-term planning period objectives by calling for an increase in proportion of first-time participants in the County program and a decrease in the proportion of repeat participants as a measure of success in source reduction education.

The City feels measuring diversion of HHW as an objective is counterproductive for two reasons. One, it implies that the proportion of HHW not being diverted from the waste stream is an acceptable component of the waste stream, when it is not. Two, because of imprecise data on HHW generation, the term "diversion" as a percentage of generation is likewise imprecise. (Generation of HHW would include not only that diverted plus disposed of in the waste stream, but that disposed down storm drains and sinks, disposed of in the environment, as well as that put into long-term storage.)

Existing Conditions

CIWMB

Most of existing alternatives appear to be County sponsored. Does the City coordinate with the County for the periodic events or is this solely county effort? Please discuss if records are kept of the Winters residents who participate in these events.

Also, CCR section 18751.2(a) requires identification of waste types collected, recycled or disposed. Please provide the quantities for each waste type for the existing alternatives discussed in this section.

When, and if the lity of Winters here's a Recycling Coordinator they can coordinate w Jalo County

EBA Wassectrologies

Household Hazardous Waste Element

DPW Reycling Coordinator

DPW Reycling Coordinator

CITY

Residency of participants at County-sponsored HHW collection events is a part of the record keeping done by the County. During FY 90-91, of the 1,386 county-wide participants at County-sponsored one-day collection events, 22 were from the City of Winters.

The following text has been added to Existing Conditions of the final draft:

Of the HHW collected by the County at 4 one-day HHW collection events during fiscal year 1990-91, 20 percent was used motor oil, 20 percent was latex paint, 14 percent was oil-based paint, 13 percent was lead-acid batteries, 8 percent was bulk solvents, 2 percent was aerosols, and 24 percent consisted of other types of HHW that were lab packed.

Selected Programs

CIWMB

Please provide the identification of anticipated quantities of HHW to be collected, recycled, or disposed through proposed programs, as required by CCR section 18751.4 (b). Section (b) also requires specification of the targeted public participation goals. Please provide this information in the final draft.

CITY

The Selection of Programs section has been rewritten to reflect changes in the County's plans for County-sponsored collection programs, and discussions of estimated quantities of HHW anticipated to be collected have been added for each of the selected programs.

During fiscal year 1990-91, a total of 2394 households participated in 8 one-day HHW collection events in Yolo County sponsored by the County and the City of Davis. These events in their totality are comparable to the new county-wide THHWCF program planned by the County. The 2394 participants represent 4.6 percent of the 52,100 occupied households in the County. In the interest of capturing an ever-larger percentage of HHW in the waste stream, the City feels it is safe to assume that the new program should attract at least 5 percent of the County's households per year.

CIWMB

Please describe the cooperative efforts between Winters and the County (CCR section 18751.4(b)(3). Include in the description how each jurisdiction will participate in development,

coordination of events, the permanent facility and funding for each of the shared alternatives. Also, please include in the final draft a copy of the MOU between the jurisdictions.

CITY

Collection events at the Yolo County Central Landfill, including the existing, ongoing collection of used motor oil and lead-acid batteries, the planned expansion to include used antifreeze and possibly latex paint, the one-day collection events at the landfill that will include all types of HHW and possibly bop-drops, and the permanent facility proposed for the medium-term planning period will be sponsored by the Yolo County Department of Public Works and Transportation, and will be funded by tipping fees. These programs are open to all residents of Yolo County. The County also sponsors and funds the hazardous waste exclusion program at the landfill, also funded from tipping fees.

The City will be required to inform its residents of the availability of these opportunities as well as the problems and dangers of improper use and disposal of HHW. The City will also require its waste hauler to monitor the waste stream for prohibited wastes at curbside. The need for an MOU for this arrangement is unclear. City approval of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan would seem to fulfill the need for a multi-jurisdictional agreement.

CIWMB

Please move Section 5.2, Selected Public Education Program, to page 45 in the Education and Public Information Section as required by CCR section 18751.7.

CITY

Section 5.2 has been moved and renumbered Section 8.4.

Program Implementation

CIWMB

CCR section 18751.5 requires identification of the agency or entity implementing tasks. Please identify the waste hauler and the City agency shown in the implementation tables.

CITY

The contract with the City's waste hauler has expired and a new contract has gone out to bid. A new franchised waste hauler has not been selected. The City agency responsible for implementing education programs has been identified in Table 8-1 as the Department of Public Works.

Program Monitoring and Evaluation

CIWMB

CCR section 18751.6 requires that the written criteria for evaluating the effectiveness of the selected program be provided and the identification of the funding requirements and revenue source for the HHW program monitoring and evaluation. Please provide this information in the final draft.

CITY

Text has been added to the Monitoring and Evaluation Section of the final draft with this information.

Education and Public Information

CIWMB

Education and Public Information is a separate chapter in the Element. Please move the information regarding education and public information on pages 5. 7. 28 (Section 4.3), 38, and 41 to this section.

CITY

Information regarding education and public information that had been located in various Sections of the preliminary draft has been all moved to Section 8 in the final draft.

CIWMB

CCR section 18751.7 requires measurable objectives to be provided for education. For example, an objective in the draft "Create an awareness among City residents of the problems that toxic household products pose" could be changed to "A 30% level of awareness among City residents of the hazards of HHW by 1995.11 Please provide this type of objective in the final draft.

CITY

Measurable objectives have been added to the Education and Public Information Section of the final draft.

Implementation

CIWMB

Please provide identification of community audiences targeted. Also, in Table 6-4 provide dates for how often brochures will be mailed and the frequency of media releases. Identification of implementation costs and revenue sources is also required by (CCR section 18751.7(d)(1)).

CITY

Community audiences have been identified in the final draft.

Monitoring and Evaluation

CIWMB

Please provide the following information, as required by CCR section 18751.7(e):

- written criteria for evaluating the program,
- identification of the city agency responsible for monitoring, evaluating and reporting,
- the funding requirements and revenue source for monitoring and evaluation,
- the measures to be taken if a shortfall in attaining the objectives should occur,
- the monitoring and reporting schedule for the program.

CITY

This information has been added to Section 8.5, Monitoring and Evaluation for the Education and Public Information Section.

Funding

CIWMB

CCR section 18751.8 requires that a jurisdiction demonstrate there is sufficient funding to meet proposed program costs. However, the Funding section on page 46 does not provide this information. The costs for the HHW program are identified including costs per household, but there is not a projection of revenue to show there is sufficient funds to cover these costs.

CITY

Additional discussion in the text and additional tables have been added to Section 9 to provide this information.

CIWMB

The draft states an increase in garbage rates and tipping fees will occur and that secondary funding will include grants for the Board. Please include a discussion of the probability of increasing fees and how much they will increase. Identify the types and amounts of grants the City is pursuing at the Board, including when the City could expect to receive the grants.

CITY

A discussion regarding the needed increase in tipping fees and garbage rates has been added. The discussion of grants has been changed to reflect the fact that it would be impossible to know the amount and availability of grant funds in the future. Grant funds are not shown to be necessary to fund the City's programs.

CIWMB

A summary of projected revenues from all sources should be compared against the costs for the HHW program in one table (CCR section 18751.8(c)). This should clearly demonstrate there are sufficient funds to cover costs.

CITY

The new tables show sufficient funds to cover costs.

APPENDIX A

A partial list of businesses and agencies who can be of help in developing a HHW management program follows:

Source Reduction Materials

"Household Hazardous Waste Wheel"
Environmental Hazards Management Institute
P.O. Box 932
Durham, NH 03824
603-868-1547

"Making the Switch - Alternatives to Using Toxic Chemicals in the Home" Golden Empire Health Planning Center, contact:
The Local Government Commission
909 12th Street, Room 205
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-448-1198

"Hazardous Household Products"
California Department of Toxic Substances Control
Education and Information Unit

"The Hazards of Household Wastes"
California Integrated Waste Management Board
8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, CA 95826

Household Hazardous Waste Management Firms

American Environmental Management 11855 AETC
White Rock Road 19410 Cabot Boulevard
Rancho Cordova, CA 95742 Hayward, CA 94545
916-985-6666 510-782-7000

Chemical Waste Management, Inc. 4227 Technology Drive Fremont, CA 94538 510-651-2964

All Chemical Disposal Company 945 Berryessa Road, Suite C-4 San Jose, CA 95133 408-453-1660

EHA Wastechnologies
HHWEWINT\ December 1992

City of Winters ousehold Hazardous Waste Element Disposal Control Service, Inc. 884 Freeport Sparks, NV 89431 - 702-331-9400

U.S. Pollution Control 731-M North Market Boulevard Sacramento, CA 95834 916-921-2202

Laidlaw Environmental Services 4501 Pacheco Boulevard Martinez, CA 94533 510-372-4800

Household HazWaste 1609-A Regatta Lane San Jose, CA 95112 408-441-0241

Greenfield Environmental 5964 LaPlace Court Carlsbad, CA 92008 619-431-5500

Latex Paint Recyclers

Mason Paint Company Sacramento, California Contact: John Mason or Ray Julian 916-922-9311

Major Paint Company P.O. Box 2868 Torrance, CA 90509 213-542-7701 Recycling Research and Development 23785 Cabot Lane #323 Hayward, CA 94545 510-785-0985

Rollins Environmental Services 3777 Spinnaker Court Fremont, CA 94538 510-226-1680

Burlington Environmental Services, Inc. Chempro Division 95-B Gilman Street Berkeley, CA 94710 510-524-9372

MSE Environmental, Inc. P.O. Box 3311 Citrus Heights, CA 95611-3311 800-234-7241

North State Environmental P.O. Box 5624 South San Francisco, CA 94083-5624

Household HazWaste 1609 Regatta Lane San Jose, CA 95112 408-441-0241

APPENDIX B

A copy of form CIWMB-303 follows.

EBA Wastechnologies
HHWEWINT\ December 1992

City of Winters

State of Celifornia — entrance interpretation assists enablement and

HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION INFORMATION

CTWMB-303 (1/90)

Name of Local Agen	egr.		-		Phone:
Address:	3	ity: C	ounty: Sta	ite:	Zip:
•			. • 		
	(P!e	ase Use Applicat	ole Units of Meas	n eweut)	• •
Waste Category	Gallons	Pounds	Number of Containers	Number of Drums (55 gal)	Management Method
A. Flammabl	е				
1. Used Oil			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2. Paints a. Latex		·			 ,,
b. Oil Base					
3. Solvents, thinners, and stains				- -	
4. Gasoline and oil (mixed)		, <u></u>	•••••		
5. Aerosols (excluding pesticides/ herbicides)				•	**************************************
6. Other					
FLAMMABLE SUBTOTAL			a		-

	٠		Management Methods		
Ru Re-used		Tr	Transfer Station	T-3	Stabilization:
Re Recycled	•	T-1	încînerator .	D	Land Dispose
BY Blended Fuel		T-2	Aqueous Treatment	Other	Market Control

Waste Category	Callons	Pounds	Number of Containers	Number of Drums (55 gal)	Management Method
B. Pesticides					
Such as herbicides,				~.··	
insecticides, fungicides, etc.	· .				-
PESTICIDE SUBTOTAL					
C. Corrosive	:S		·		
1. Acids a. Oxidizing					
b. Non- Oxidizing		•			
2. Alkaline		Secretaria de Carlos de Ca			·
CORROSIVES SUBTOTAL	. 				
D. Oxidizers	· •				
Excluding acids					
OXIDIZERS SUBTOTAL	Correctional M	**************************************			***************************************
E. Miscellan	ieous				
1. Car Batteries		And the state of t			· · · · · · ·
2. Dry Cells					
3. Mercury					
4. Other					
MISC. SUBTOTAL		e-rankles-range			 .
TOTAL WASTE		·	<u> </u>	-	