CAPAY VALLEY CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

JUNE 1, 2011

Meeting was called to order by President Anne Rawlins at 7:05 pm at the Guinda Grange Hall.

Committee Members present: Anne Rawlins, Vicki Murphy, Todd Gettleman, Linda Pillard; Community member Jane Stallings; Yolo County Planning Commissioners: Richard Reed, Leroy Bertolero, Keith Williams.

A discussion was held regarding whether there was a quorum of members who were present at the April meeting to approve the Minutes. Anne Rawlins stated Lea Lloyd had given to her a proxy to vote on her behalf. Yolo County Planning Commissioner Leroy Bertolero stated that if Lea wrote a letter stating she had read and approved the Minutes, the minutes could bne approved. He stated this method was used when there was a change of committee members and an insufficient quorum of old members remained to approve Minutes. Todd Gettlemen made a motion to approve the Minutes provided a letter was received from Lea Lloyd stating she had read and approved them. The motion was seconded by Vicki Murphy. Motion passed unanimously.

The Yolo County Planning Commissioners presented the proposed realignment of the Citizen Advisory Committees. There are currently 2 ½ people left on the planning staff. With such a diminished staff at the Planning Department, a wish to consolidate Community CACs into larger, Regional Districts came under discussion.

Presently, with 9 advisory districts, District 5 has six committees and yet there are other areas like Elkhorn, Monument Hills and Wild Wings that do not have CACs. And a member of the planning department must attend each of the committee meetings.

Depending upon the Planner's decision, one, two or three members from the CAC would represent the decisions of the CVCAC at the monthly Regional level meeting. It was assured that the representatives would be decided by the

CVCAC. Regular CAC meetings would still take place, but the chosen Representatives would represent each committee at the regional level.

Vicki Murphy brought up the concern that Capay Valley's authority and representation would be seriously diminished, and the Planners agreed but stressed that the limited staff cannot comply with the present mandates. There will be a meeting on June 9 at the Planning department and the CAC was invited to present their (personal and individual) recommendations and comments then to receive proper consideration.

It was said that all of the CACs were concerned about local communities being unable to defend and enhance their own areas in deference to the 'regional approach'.

Anne Rawlins suggested that "Skype" could be utilized to minimize staff time to the CACs...It was pointed out that there would be little discussion with such a system, and it was agreed that discussion is of the utmost importance to individual communities.

Planners suggested that Supervisors should recognize and form a structural committee to deal with all other issues (road maintenance, nuisances, etc.) that do not pertain to land use issues.

Following the presentation by the members of the Planning Commission, the committee discussed the proposed new ag zoning regulations. Vicki Murphy informed the Planning Commission of her deep concern the zoning regulations would have on private property rights. Particular concern was raised regarding the inclusion on page 23 concerning the definitions of Rural Recreation. Questions were raised and objection voiced over any attempt by the County to regulate the activity on private property. This concern was echoed by Linda Pillard and by community member Jane Stallings who stated use of private land for target shooting and other recreational activities was a private right not subject to regulation by the County. Commissioner Leroy Berolero stated the ag zoning regulations were also intended to provide information to the public as to whether

certain activities required a permit and thereby avoid the necessity of making phone calls to the department.

Committee members were informed this item would be on the July meeting agenda as an action item. However, since the issue would be before the Planning Commission on June 9th, members could personally present their individual views to the Commission at that time.

Meeting was adjourned at 8:30 pm.

Respectfully submitted by Vicki Murphy, co-secretary