

Minutes
Joint Meeting -- Aviation Advisory/Airport Development Advisory Committees
July 13, 1999 (amended and approved 9/8/99)

Time convened: 7:16 p.m. ...

Committee Members:

<u>AAC</u>		<u>ADAC</u>		<u>Board Liaison</u>	
Kanoff	yes	Buchan	yes	Pollock	yes
Hancock	no	McLin	no		
Merwin	yes	Wood	yes	<u>County Staff</u>	
Kinkle	no	Parrella	no	Ott (airport manager)	yes
Morris	yes			Drack	yes
Price	yes				
Dowling	yes				

The agenda was approved by both committees.

Lynnel Pollock addressed Board efforts to fill the vacancy on the Airport Development Advisory Committee.

The floor was opened for public comment to items not included on the agenda. There was no comment.

The minutes of January 20, 1999 were approved by both committees.

The status of the airport (financial, maintenance, and operational) was addressed by the airport manager (Ott). The airport ends the year with a positive cash balance with no maintenance outstanding and supporting systems reviewed for Y2K compliance and found in compliance.

Marshall Drack, the County Economic Development Manager (Planning Department) presented information to the committees concerning a CBDG block grant application the County has made on behalf of the airport regarding the creation of jobs on the airport. If the grant is approved, a one-year ~\$35,000 study would ensue examining this topic and this study could lead to a later grant for jobs development at the airport. A consultant would perform the study. Marshall advised that he would return to the committees and provide the status of the application if it is successful; he left his business cards for community members to call if they desired.

Dave Boyer, senior planner for the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (the Airport Land Use Commission for the Yolo County Airport), presented the current status of the Yolo County Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) update procedure. Copies of the final draft plan had been mailed to committee members and was available to community members. This update process should be completed in about two months. A CLUP update is required periodically and especially when a new Airport Specific Plan has been adopted by a Board of Supervisors. Dave will advise when SACOG will hold a public hearing to consider adopting the updated CLUP; this meeting is expected about September 15th of this year. Tom Stallard is the County's member on the SACOG board. The County will need to determine if any updates to the General Plan are required as a result of the updated CLUP.

It was brought to the attention of the committees that both Keith Cross and Dr. and Mrs. Bruss had signed aviation easements on their properties since the last committees' meeting. The Cross easement had been expected as a result of the Airport Specific Plan; the compensation for this south clear zone easement was to forego annual rents previously obtained by the Airport (\$240/year) for a "through-the-fence" access to the airport by Mr. Cross.

The Bruss easement was one directed by the Planning Commission in 1995 as a condition for development and access

to the County Road for a parcel then owned by Duane Chamberlain et al.

The last agenda item was the policy for retaining aviation easements held by the County other than those in clear zones. The airport manager had provided the committees a draft report planned for submission to the Board of Supervisors with four different policy options. These options had been created by a committee formed in the fall of 1998 by the Aviation Advisory Committee and the Airport Development Committee the membership of which was to consist of one member of each advisory committee, one airport tenant, and the airport manager.

Stu Buchan opened the discussion noting that existing easements were inconsistent and unjust to be left in place. Mrs. Foe provided a letter (which Eleanor Wood endorsed) for the record in support of releasing all easements except for those in the airport clear zones (this is option 2 of the draft options paper).

The Airport Development Committee voted 2-0 "yes" (2 absentees) & the Aviation Advisory Committee voted 4 "yes," 1 "abstain," and two absent that Option 2 be submitted to the Board for approval as representing the views of the committees and the community. The airport manager noted that he had to yet prepare the final report which would forward the report and wished to bring the report back to the committees for their review prior to submission.

Stu Buchan noted that the committees should be provided a clear understanding of the airport manager's report and planned comments to the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. The airport manager said he would be pleased to make such prepared comments available before these meetings.

The meeting adjourned at 8:54 p.m.