



MEETING MINUTES

Monday, December 2, 2013, 6:00 PM – 9:00 PM

137 N. Cottonwood Street, Woodland, CA 95695, Bauer Building, Walker/Thomson Conference Room

Members Present: Brad Anderson; Bret Bandley; Richard Bellows; Davis Campbell; Martha Guerrero; June Forbes; Michael Hebda; Caren Livingstone; Supervisor Don Saylor; Robert Schelen, Chair; Tom Waltz; Janlee Wong; Tawny Yambrovich

Members Excused: Robert Canning, Vice-Chair

Staff Present: Dirk Brazil, Assistant County Administrator;
Mark Bryan, ADMH Deputy Director;
Jill Cook, ADMH Director
Makayle Neuvert, ADMH Administrative Assistant;
Steve Rea, Assistant Deputy to Don Saylor

Community Members: Sally Mandujan; Diana White, TPCP; Tico Zendejas, RISE Inc.

Others/Guests: Roberta Chambers, RDA; Ryan Wythe, RDA

-
- 1. Call to Order and Introductions:** The December 2nd, 2013 meeting of the Local Mental Health Board (LMHB) was called to order at 6:18 PM. Introductions were made.

Message from the Board Chair: Bob Schelen shared that the main focus of the night was the MHSA presentation and Q & A session. The plan was to postpone the Yolo Healthy Aging Alliance (YHAA) joint meeting, though they were having a forum on related issues this same night at a different location. The hope is to have them back in January and then in February to have a meeting with the Sheriff.¹

- 2. Approval of Agenda: Motion:** June **Second:** Richard, **Discussion:** None, **Vote:** Passes unanimously
- 3. Approval of Minutes from October 28th, 2013: Motion:** Davis **Second:** Bret, **Discussion:** Comment, clarification on the last page item number 9. Comment to be sent to “Davis” not “Richard” approved with correction **Vote:** Passes one abstention with Caren not at the last meeting.
- 4. Public Comment:** None
- 5. Announcements and Correspondence:** None
- 6. MHSA Stakeholder Process Update – Resource Development Associates:**

Joan Beesley shared an introduction of the presentation and offered an overview of the process including the step accomplished thus far and the steps going forward. RDA shared a presentation. Questions and answers were fielded throughout and at the conclusion of the meeting. Members commented that...

¹ This schedule was later clarified and it was determined that the meeting planned for January with the Sheriff would stand as planned and the joint meeting with YHAA would be coordinated for February.

LOCAL MENTAL HEALTH BOARD – MEETING MINUTES
Monday, December 2, 2013

...Winters seems overly-represented and disappointment was shared with the numbers resulting in the major metro areas.

- RDA commented that some numbers may be adjusted with surveys received late and that the influence of the R.I.S.E. and the great working relationship they have with the schools and the community added to the high participation numbers. The Key Informant interviews are spread throughout the county so they are representative.

...the data could be skewed if the outreach done in Woodland and Davis schools was not similar to that done in Winters.

- RDA said that the feedback was not skewed but balanced against the overall information that was received and compiled.

...it is important that the report notes that it does not statistically represent the demographics of Yolo County. A notation on the high number of Winters respondents was a requested addition to the plan report.

...regarding the number of foster youth represented in the community planning process, this number is comparatively high. The hope is that an explanation will be included the subjective needs assessment.

...the regions that responded in the community planning process and surveys seem to get attention and are engaged in the process.

...Davis issues are not represented because there is a misconception of the need but it was reiterated that there is a real need in Davis.

...that the term “orientation” means triage of need and financial ability not orientation to MH services.

...the workforce needs should be connected to the WET plan and this correlation is requested/ needed.

- A clarification of the cross-referencing and the organization of the plan was shared as a linkage or explanation on how the surveys will link back to the plan.

...it is specifically requested that Yolo County begin doing our own ConRep and that this not get lost in the “enhance linkage between criminal justice involved adults and mental health” category of Proposed Programs.

...that “In Home” be added slide 17 for the “older populations”

...regarding the UC Davis Psychiatry Residency Internship proposed program, it was clarified the presence of UC Davis’ Psychiatry school the plan could enable training at ADMH.

...with regard to the mobile clinic unit, is there consideration to include case worker or social worker services or perform overall assessments overall?

- This is being looked as more of a service center approach; especially using West Sacramento as a potential example. Long term, establishing eligibility opens up some new avenues to guide a new person into the system.

...regarding the Local Innovation Fast Track (LIFT) program, they would like to see the outcome measurements and data gathering measures for those that participate. This could potentially help show who is being served and where, and improve services moving forward

- R.I.S.E reported that they do have data on every participant made possible by the small community. ADMH Full Service Partnership data is lacking from the State but is no starting to flow back to the County. In an effort to find better ways to collect and review

LOCAL MENTAL HEALTH BOARD – MEETING MINUTES
Monday, December 2, 2013

data, the Avatar system is being looked at along with the forming of Yolo County Provider Stakeholder Work Group (PSWG) based ad hoc work groups focused on unifying outcomes measures. The electronic health record updates will make collection easier and going forward we expect to see improvements.

...for clarification on the survey, process and plan, all the proposed programs are allowable.

- Yes, this is the proposal we are asking that support and funding be approved for. The BOS will provide additional commentary and approval to move forward and the plan result.

...developmental screening programs for 0-5 year olds aimed at getting appropriate referral should be considered.

- RDA confirmed that this idea has been heard and keeping in mind the non-supplantation rule, this potential will be reviewed and investigated.

...with questions on the inclusion of foster youth services. The population has a very high need and more deliberate outreach is necessary. The plan is asked to consider the resources out there and the AB12 requirements as pertains to the transition out of foster care and any available funding.

- These services are on the radar and agreeably a hard to reach population.

...that the West Sacramento teen center exists and could be a focus for offering TAY services. The location is near the high school.

...that cross-pollination of TAY center participants would allow different youth to interact across the geographic populations. Busing or other transportation resources could be a beneficial addition.

...with mixed impression of tele-psychiatry. Many are apprehensive, some were in support and at least one member was definitively against the concept and requested that a slow approach be taken to be sure this is appropriate for the mental health population.

..that the plan seems to focus on abstract ideas and may need more details especially for the BOS presentation.

All Board members were encouraged to route additional comments through RDA or Makayle as soon as possible in order to be incorporated into the plan.

7. Board of Supervisors Report – Don Saylor, represented by Steve Rea, Assistant Deputy to Supervisor Saylor

- a. Steve Rea: We are very appreciative of the support the MHSA process

8. Department Report – Jill Cook and Mark Bryan

- a. Mental Health Director Recruitment Update: Seven candidates will participate in an extensive interview process including two panels, a writing sample, presentation and a tour of the department. The title and roles of Jill the Director, Mark the Assistant Director (department head/assistant department head), versus the Mental Health Director position were clarified. As mandated by statute, representatives of the LMHB, Chair Bob Schelen and one other member, will be participating in a panel. Both Tawny and June volunteered and the decision will be made prior to the interviews on 12/10/13.
- b. SB 82 Grants Update: With the emphasis on increasing the crisis capacity in CA, the grants have two arms, one focused on personnel and the other on facilities. A PSWG meeting focused on the SB82 approach has occurred and another strategy meeting is planned for the near future. The general consensus was that the personnel application

LOCAL MENTAL HEALTH BOARD – MEETING MINUTES

Monday, December 2, 2013

should focus on expanding the Crisis Intervention Program (CIP). For the facilities component, additional details are being worked out. New construction is not likely because of the small amount of money available. A wellness center like approach with staffing that supports mild or moderate crisis is more likely. West Sacramento was the location identified by the stakeholder group and General Services has identified a potential space that could be modified. Buying more beds in Woodland dedicated to this purpose was reviewed but is not likely to meet the regulations/rules because it is not truly increasing capacity but only accessing current capacity. Whatever the program approach, sustainability will need to be considered since it is a one-time allotment of money.

CIP Update: Regardless of the grant process we are moving forward with CIP. We have an open negotiation so limited details are currently available but a provider has been identified. With SB82, the potential is to expand the program.

Member Requests:

- For future decision brought before the LMHB, back-up data and information was requested for member review.
- A mental health services housing update requested.

9. LMHB Chair Report – Bob Schelen, Chair

- a. Strategic Plan Implementation/Bylaws Sub-Committee Report: Adoption of Bylaws – Discussion preceded the voted including an agreement to include several minor typos and clarification edits suggested by Janlee. Additional clarification was requested on the listed number of times per year that the board meets. All agreed to include in the text of the bylaws that the board will meet at least 10 times at least per year. Makayle will work with Davis to update the final version for distribution. No motion was needed and the vote followed, 13 in favor, 1 no, 1 abstention. The Bylaws were adopted.
- b. Legislative Report: The Department report covered the update on the SB 82. There was no additional legislative report to share.

10. Plan Next Meeting Agenda Items:

- Joint meeting with the YHAA discussion planned. For the next meeting.
- Tawny will look at SR center in Davis and Tom will look into the Davis PD TBD, potentially woodland.

11. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 8:47 PM.

Next Meeting Date and Location: Monday, January 27th, 2013, 600 A Street, Davis, CA 95616 – Community Conference Room