теу
ney
RT
22
2_
2
ENT N
rict
essions
lgment
s
ter this
parties, IT
parties, 11

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

1. The Superior Court of California, County of Yolo, has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the parties to this Final Judgment.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, representatives, and successors are permanently enjoined from engaging in the following acts or omissions in California:
 - a. Using pesticides in a manner that fails to prevent substantial drift to non-target areas, in violation of Food and Agriculture Code section 12972;
 - b. Using pesticides in a manner that conflicts with pesticide labeling instructions, in violation of Food and Agriculture Code section 12973; and
 - c. Failing to ensure that fieldworkers are notified before scheduled pesticide applications, to the extent notification is required under California Code of Regulations, title 3, section 6618.

RESTITUTION

- 3. Defendants must pay the Yolo County District Attorney's Office FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$4,000.00)—which will be used to provide restitution to those harmed by Defendant's use of pesticides on August 18, 2014—pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203. Defendants must pay this amount in one business or cashier's check at the time of the entry of this Final Judgment.
- 4. Defendants must deliver all required payments required in the above paragraph to the District Attorney's Office for the County of Yolo, Attention David Green, Deputy District Attorney, 301 Second Street, Woodland, CA 95695.
- 5. The Yolo County District Attorney's Office will undertake good faith and reasonable efforts to locate those individuals harmed by Defendants' use of pesticides on August 18, 2014, and allocate the amount provided in Paragraph 3 to these individuals based on any losses they suffered including lost wages and personal property—as a result of being exposed to pesticides on August 18, 2014. If the total losses of these individuals exceeds the amount provided in Paragraph 3, the Yolo

County District Attorney's Office will distribute restitution on a percentage basis based on each individual's loss in relation to the total losses claimed. Defendant must cooperate with the Yolo County District Attorney's Office in locating those harmed by Defendant's use of pesticides on August 18, 2014. If restitution funds remain after one year from the date of entry of this Final Judgment, these remaining restitution funds will be made payable to the Yolo County District Attorney's Office for partial reimbursement of costs of investigation and other costs of enforcement.

6. Nothing in Paragraphs 3-5 deprives those individuals harmed by Defendants' use of pesticides on August 18, 2014 of any right or remedy that they may otherwise be entitled to by law. Nor does anything in Paragraphs 3-5 relieve Defendants of any obligation they may have to those individuals harmed by Defendants' use of pesticides on August 18, 2014.

CIVIL PENALTIES AND COST RECOVERY

Cost recovery

- 7. Defendants must pay the Yolo County Department of Agriculture TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) for partial reimbursement of costs of investigation and other costs of enforcement.
- 8. Defendants must pay the Yolo County Environmental Health Division ONE THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED AND THIRTY-THREE DOLLARS (\$1,633.00) for reimbursement of costs of investigation and other costs of enforcement.
- 9. Defendants must pay the Yolo County District Attorney's Office TWO THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-TWO DOLLARS (\$2,932.00) for partial reimbursement of costs of investigation and other costs of enforcement.

Civil Penalties

- 10. Defendants must pay FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000.00) as civil penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206.
- 11. Defendants are further liable for an additional FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$15,000.00) as civil penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206. But Defendants' obligation to pay this amount will be permanently stayed, unless the Court finds that Defendants have materially violated a requirement of this Final Judgment. Defendants' violation of

any requirement in Paragraph 2 constitutes a material violation of this Final Judgment.

Form of Payment

- 12. Defendants must pay amounts owed under Paragraphs 7-10 in the total amount of NINETEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED SIXTY-FIVE DOLLARS (\$19,565.00). Defendants must pay these amounts, in four separate checks, at the time of the entry of this Final Judgment:
 - a. One business or cashier's check for TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) must be made payable to the Yolo County Department of Agriculture for partial reimbursement of costs of investigation and other costs of enforcement.
 - b. One business or cashier's check for ONE THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED AND THIRTY-THREE DOLLARS (\$1,633.00) must be made payable to the "Yolo County EH" for reimbursement of costs of investigation and other costs of enforcement.
 - c. One business or cashier's check for TWO THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-TWO DOLLARS (\$2,932.00) must be made payable to the Yolo County District Attorney's Office for partial reimbursement of costs of investigation and other costs of enforcement.
 - d. One business or cashier's check for FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000.00) must be made payable to the Treasurer of Yolo County for civil penalties.
- 13. Within 21 days of a Court order finding Defendants have materially violated any requirement of this Final Judgment, Defendants must pay amounts owed under Paragraph 11 in the total amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$15,000.00). Defendants must pay this amount in one business or cashier's check made payable to the Treasurer of Yolo County pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206.
- 14. Defendants must deliver all required payments required in Paragraphs 12 and 13 to the District Attorney's Office for the County of Yolo, Attention David Green, Deputy District Attorney, 301 Second Street, Woodland, CA 95695.

ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL JUDGMENT AND PENALTIES

15. The People may move this Court for additional relief for any violation of any

28 || /

provision of this Final Judgment including, but not limited to, contempt, additional injunctive provisions, or additional penalties consistent with the provisions of this Final Judgment. Unless otherwise set forth herein, nothing in this Final Judgment limits the People's right to seek any other relief or remedies provided by law, or limits Defendants' right to defend against any request of the People for such other relief or remedies.

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT

- 16. This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims, violations, or causes of action expressly alleged by the People in the Complaint, and claims that could have been asserted within the scope of the allegations set forth in the Complaint ("Covered Matters"), against Defendants and their subsidiaries and affiliates, and each of their subsidiaries, affiliates, successors, heirs, assigns, and each of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, partners, employees, agents, representatives, members, managing members, managers, property owners, and facility operators ("Entities Covered by Final Judgment"). Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation, any violation that occurs after the Court's entry of this Final Judgment and any violation outside the scope of the allegations set forth in the People's Complaint. The People reserve the right to pursue any Reserved Claim, and Defendants reserve their defenses against any Reserved Claim. Nothing in this paragraph limits the People's ability to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment.
- 17. In any subsequent action that the People may bring based on any Reserved Claim,
 Defendants cannot assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes
 claim-splitting.
- 18. Defendants cannot pursue any civil or administrative claims against the People or any agency of the State of California, county or city in the State of California, or local agency (collectively, "Agencies"), or against any of the People or Agencies' officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys, arising out of or related to any Covered Matter; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Defendants, Defendants reserve any and all rights, claims, demands, and defenses against such Agencies.

NOTICES

19. All submissions and notices required by this Final Judgment must be sent to:

For the People:

David Green
Deputy District Attorney
Office of the District Attorney, Yolo County
Consumer Fraud and Environmental Protection Division
301 Second Street
Woodland, CA 95695

For Defendants:

David Te Velde 5895 4th Avenue Hanford, California 93230

With a copy to:

Michael J. Noland, Esq., Kahn, Soares & Conway, LLP 219 N. Douty Street Hanford, CA 93230

20. Any party may change its notice name and address by informing the other party in writing, but no change is effective until it is received. All notices and other communications required or permitted under this Final Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this paragraph are effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by mail, or the day that electronic mail is sent if sent before 5 p.m. to the electronic mail addresses of the designated recipients for notice concurrent with sending the notice by overnight mail.

EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

21. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended nor may it be construed to preclude the People from exercising their authority under any law, statute, or regulation. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all of their defenses to the People's exercise of the aforementioned authority.

FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES

22. Nothing in this Final Judgment excuses Defendants from meeting any more-stringent requirement that may be imposed by applicable law or by any change in the applicable law. To the extent any future statutory or regulatory change makes Defendants' obligations less stringent than those provided for in this Final Judgment, Defendants' compliance with the changed law will be deemed compliance with this Final Judgment.

WAIVER

23. The People's failure to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment may neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The People's failure to enforce any such provision does not preclude them from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all defenses allowed by law to any such later enforcement. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of any party regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment may be construed to relieve any party of its obligations under this Final Judgment.

CONTINUING JURISDICTION

24. The Court will retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment. The parties must meet and confer at least ten (10) business days before the filing of any application or motion relating to this Final Judgment, and must negotiate in good faith in an effort to resolve any dispute without judicial intervention. If the parties are unable to resolve their dispute after meet-and-confer discussions, any party may move this Court seeking a resolution of that dispute by the Court.

MODIFICATION

25. This Final Judgment may be modified only on noticed motion by one of the parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the parties and the approval of the Court.

TERMINATION

26. At any time after this Final Judgment has been in effect for five (5) years, and after

	$^{\prime}$ I
1	Defendants have paid any and all amounts due under the Final Judgment, any party may provide
2	notice to the Court (which shall be served on all parties) that the injunctive provisions of this Final
3	Judgment should expire and have no further force and effect ("Notice of Termination"). The
4	injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment will be of no further force or effect sixty (60) days
5	thereafter, unless the People file a motion contesting the expiration of any injunctive provisions
6	within forty (40) days of receipt of the Notice of Termination. In the event that such motion is filed,
7	none of the injunctive provisions of the Final Judgment contested in the People's motion will
8	terminate pending the Court's ruling on the motion. The People reserve the right to contest
9	termination exclusively on the ground that Defendants have not substantially complied in all materia
10	respects with the injunctive provisions of Paragraph 2 of the Final Judgment, and to offer any
11	evidence relevant to such motion. Defendants reserve their rights to respond to any ground raised in
12	the People's motion and to offer any evidence relevant to such motion. The injunctive provisions in
13	the Final Judgment will expire and be of no further force or effect unless the Court (upon
14	consideration of the parties' pleadings and arguments, if any) determines that the expiration of the
15	provision at issue would not be in the interest of justice, because Defendants have not substantially
16	complied in material respects with the provisions of Paragraph 2 of the Final Judgment. The
17	termination of the injunctive provisions of the Final Judgment will have no effect on Defendants'
18	obligation to comply with the requirements imposed by statute, regulation, ordinance, or law.
19	EFFECTIVE DATE
20	27. The effective date of this Final Judgment is the date of the signature of the Court
21	entering this Final Judgment.
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	
25	Dated: OCT 2 5 2016 By: TIMOTHY L. FALL
26	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
27	

27

28