Attachment A				
Board of Supervisor's General Plan Preferred Land Use Alternative ¹				
	Residential Units		Non Residential Acreage	
Area	Build-Out Under Existing GP	Added New Units Under Preferred Alt	Build-Out Under Existing GP ²	Added New Acreage Under Preferred Alt
Capay Valley ³	53	0	12 ⁴	0
Clarksburg ⁵	22	0	1	100
Dunnigan	173	5000 to 7500	94 ⁶	230
Esparto ²¹	985 ⁷	0	124 ⁹	0
Knights Landing	993 ⁸	0	50 ⁸	0
Madison	83	80 to 1000	1	23 ¹⁰
Monument Hills	25 ¹⁸	0	0	3 ¹¹
Yolo	56	0	3	13 ¹⁹
Zamora	14	0	1	16 ¹²
Elkhorn Property	0	0	0	100
County Airport	0	0	150 ¹³	0
I-505/CR14 and CR27	0	0	0	35 ²⁰
Rural Residential	1610 ¹⁴	200 ²²	0	0
Davis Edge	0	0^{23}	0	426 ¹⁵
West Sac Edge	0	0	0	0
Winters Edge	0	0	0	96 ¹⁶
Woodland Edge	0	0	0	180 ¹⁷
Sub-Totals	4014 units	5280 to 8700 dus	436 acres	1222 acres
TOTALS	9294 to12,714 units		1658 acres	

Source: Yolo County General Plan Team, May 3, 2007.

Notes: 1/ Based on actions of Board of Supervisors taken March 27, 2007 and clarified April 17, 2007.

2/ Combines Retail/Services, Industrial, Public/Quasi-Public, Office/Other, and Lodging acreage as identified in Yolo County General Plan Land Use and Circulation Conceptual Alternatives (page 7, Jan 2005) updated and corrected.

3/ Includes Guinda.

- 4/ 12 existing vacant acres left out of Alternative 1 in error.
- 5/ Does not include the Old Sugar Mill project. At the time the original four alternatives were developed the project was in process with no approvals. At the time of the BOS direction, the project had been remanded to the County by the Delta Protection Commission. The Old Sugar Mill project consists of 162 residential units and 77 acres of non-residential uses. These uses would fall under "Build-Out Under Existing GP". 6/ Alternative 1 estimate of 108 acres has been corrected.
- 7/ Alternative 1 estimates for residential and non-residential build-out have been corrected to reflect subsequent development.
- 8/ Includes 145-acre Howald property which has a mixed use designation under the existing General Plan and was left out of Alternative 1 in error. Under the existing land use designation, the Howald property is projected to build-out with 800 units and 38 acres of non-residential.
- 9/75 Industrial designated acres to be evaluated for Commercial and Residential (no specific number of residential units identified)
- 10/23 acres at SW quadrant of SR 16 and I 505; 3.5-acre Feenstra and Cummings properties (existing Industrial changed to Commercial); 2.5-acre de los Reyes property (existing Residential changed to Commercial).
- 11/ At CR 94B and SR 16.
- 12/ Agricultural Commercial at Bayliss property.
- 13/ Originally included in Alternative 4 in error; now included in Alternative 1.
- 14/ This does not represent potential "full" build-out but rather a projection of future rural residential units through 2030 based on past trends. Assumes an average of 70 rural residential units annually over 23 years.
- 15/ 13 acres at Mace Blvd/l-80 south of CR 32A; 30 acres at Chiles Rd/l-80; 383 acres at NW corner Covell/Pole Line. The Board designated each of these areas as Special Study Areas which could result in more specific or different land uses than identified in this table. If this were to occur this could require subsequent CEQA analysis.
- 16/ At SE quadrant of I-505 and SR 128.
- 17/ The central and eastern portions of the Spreckels property (160 acres), plus 30 acres of highway commercial along the freeway frontage east of CR 103, between CR 22 and I-5.
- 18/ Alternative 1 estimate of 0 units has been corrected.
- 19/ 3 acres Highway Commercial at I-5/CR 17.
- 20/ 15 acres at I-505/CR 14; 20 acres at 1-505/CR 27.
- 21/ Does not include the Orciuoli Subdivision or the Esparto General Plan Amendment both of which are pending projects that would fall under the category of Build-Out Under Existing GP.
- 22/ Within Clarksburg Agricultural District.
- 23/ Special Study Area designations applied to the "northwest quadrant" and 383-acres at northwest corner of Covell Boulevard/Pole Line Road may result in added residential units not identified in this table, which would require subsequent CEQA analysis including site specific analysis and cumulative evaluation.