FILED JEFF W. REISIG YOLO SUPERIOR COURT District Attorney of Yolo County DAVID IREY, SBN 142864 JUN 23 2017 2 Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney O. WEISENBERG DAVID GREEN, SBN 287176 3 DEPUTY Deputy District Attorney 4 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 5 Telephone: (530) 666-8180 6 Additional Counsel listed as signatories 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of 8 California 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 COUNTY OF YOLO 12 13 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CASE NO.: CV 17-815 CALIFORNIA, 14 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL 15 Plaintiff, JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT 16 AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION v. 17 18 TRACTOR SUPPLY COMPANY, INC., a Filing Fees Exempt (Govt. Code § 6103) Delaware Corporation; and 19 PETSENSE, INC., a Delaware Corporation. 20 Defendants. 21 22 Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ("People"), generally appearing 23 through its attorneys: Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney of Yolo County; Todd Riebe, District 24 Attorney of Amador County; Michael L. Ramsey, District Attorney of Butte County; Vern Pierson, 25 District Attorney of El Dorado County; Mark A. Peterson, District Attorney of Contra Costa County; 26 Lisa A. Smittcamp, District Attorney of Fresno County; Dwayne R. Stewart, District Attorney of 27 Glenn County; Maggie Fleming, District Attorney of Humboldt County; Gilbert G. Otero, District 28

- 1	
1	Attorney of Imperial County; Lisa S. Green, District Attorney of Kern County; Keith Fagundes,
2	District Attorney of Kings County; Stacey L. Montgomery, District Attorney of Lassen County;
3	David Linn, District Attorney of Madera County; C. David Eyster, District Attorney of Mendocino
4	County; Larry D. Morse II, District Attorney of Merced County; Allison Haley, District Attorney of
5	Napa County; R. Scott Owens, District Attorney of Placer County; Michael A. Hestrin, District
6	Attorney of Riverside County; Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney of Sacramento County;
7	Michael A. Ramos, District Attorney of San Bernardino County; Bonnie M. Dumanis, District
8	Attorney of San Diego County; Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney of San Joaquin County; Dan
9	Dow, District Attorney of San Luis Obispo County; Joyce E. Dudley, District Attorney of Santa
10	Barbara County; Jeffrey F. Rosen, District Attorney of Santa Clara County; Jeffrey S. Rosell,
11	District Attorney of Santa Cruz County; Stephanie A. Bridgett, District Attorney of Shasta County;
12	J. Kirk Andrus, District Attorney of Siskiyou County; Krishna A. Abrams, District Attorney of
13	Solano County; Jill R. Ravitch, District Attorney of Sonoma County; Birgit A. Fladager, District
14	Attorney of Stanislaus County; Amanda Hopper, District Attorney of Sutter County; Gregg Cohen,
15	District Attorney of Tehama County; Tim Ward, District Attorney of Tulare County; and Gregory D
16	Totten, District Attorney of Ventura County; and Laura L. Krieg, District Attorney of Tuolumne
17	County; and TRACTOR SUPPLY COMPANY, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and PETSENSE,
18	INC., a Delaware Corporation, (collectively, "Defendants") generally appearing through their
19	attorneys, Troutman Sanders by Jennifer Mathis;
20	HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:
21	The Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction appearing below may be entered by the Court

The Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction appearing below may be entered by the Court in the above-entitled matter.

This Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction is not an admission by Defendants of any fact or any violation of law in the above-captioned matter.

This Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the Complaint.

The Parties hereby also waive their right to appeal.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

# FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

#### 1. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

The Parties have generally appeared before the Superior Court of California, County of Yolo, which by virtue of said general appearance has jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and the Parties to this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction.

## 2. <u>SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS</u>

The Court finds that this Final Judgment is not an admission or a denial by Defendants regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of any law; this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the Complaint; and pursuant to the agreement of the Parties, the right to appeal is ordered waived.

#### 3. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with the Hazardous Waste Control Law, Health and Safety Code Sections 25100-25259; Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Law, Health and Safety Code Sections 25500-25547.8; the Medical Waste Management Act, Health and Safety Code Sections 117600-118360; and the regulations promulgated under these sections.

"Unified Program Agency" or "UPA" is an agency certified by the California Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 6.11 of the Health and Safety Code and California Code of Regulations, Title 27, to implement certain State environmental programs within the local agency's jurisdiction.

"Included Facilities" means Defendants' current and former retail stores. The specific list of the locations of the California Tractor Supply facilities is attached as **Exhibit A-1**. The specific list of the locations of the California Petsense facilities is attached as **Exhibit A-2**.

"Current California Facilities" means Defendants' retail stores and other facilities currently owned or acquired subsequent to the effective date of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, by Defendants within the State of California.

"Participating Agency" means an agency that has been designated by the UPA to administer one or more state environmental programs on behalf of the UPA.

## 4. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

#### 4.1 Applicability

The provisions of this injunction are applicable to Defendants and all partnerships, corporations, and other entities acting under, by, though, on behalf of, or in concert with Defendants with actual or constructive knowledge of this Injunction.

## 4.2 General Injunctive Provision:

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code Sections 25181, 25515.6, 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Defendants are permanently enjoined from violating Health and Safety Code Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the regulations promulgated under these chapters. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment shall relieve Tractor Supply from prospectively complying with any and all applicable laws and regulations, nor shall any term of the Final Judgment extend to Defendants' facilities outside the state of California.

## 4.3 Specific Injunctive Provisions:

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code Sections 25181, 25515.6, 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Defendants are enjoined, restrained and prohibited from doing any of the following:

- 4.3.a. Disposing, or causing the disposal, of Defendant's hazardous waste at a point not authorized by law, in violation of Health & Safety Code Sections 25189 and 25189.2, including, without limitation, to any trash, dumpster, compactor, drain, sink, or toilet at any of the Current California Facilities, and onto the surface or subsurface of the ground at any unauthorized location, or at a landfill or transfer station not authorized to receive hazardous waste;
- 4.3.b. Failing to determine if a generated waste, including but not limited to, items returned by customers to Current California Facilities, items that become waste as a result of container breakage and damage, and wastes generated at Current California Facilities, are hazardous wastes, as required by Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations section 66262.11;

4.3.c. Failing to properly manage, identify the date of accumulation, and label containers of hazardous waste at Current California Facilities, in violation of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66262.34;

- 4.3.d. Failing to prepare and maintain copies of hazardous waste manifests for three (3) years, in violation of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66262.40; and failing to retain documentation demonstrating the applicability of claimed exemptions. As used in this paragraph "manifest" means a shipping document originated and signed by a generator of hazardous waste that contains all of the information required by law and that complies with all applicable federal and state regulations, and includes but is not limited to, receipts;
- 4.3.e. Failing to timely cause to be prepared and filed with the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) a hazardous waste manifest for all hazardous waste that is transported, or submitted for transportation, for offsite handling, treatment, storage, disposal, or any combination thereof, from any Current California Facility as required by Health & Safety Code Section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Section 66262.23 [Use of the Manifest];
- 4.3.f. Failing to timely notify the DTSC by filing an exception report concerning the treatment, storage, or disposal facility's failure to return any executed manifest, as required by Health & Safety Code Section 25160(b)(3);
- 4.3.g. Failing to comply with employee training obligations pertaining to handling of hazardous waste at Current California Facilities, in violation of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66262.34(d) or 40 C.F.R. § 262.34(d)(5)(iii);
- 4.3.h. Failing to properly manage, mark, and store universal waste at each Current California Facility in compliance with the standards for universal waste management found in California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Sections 66273.33 [Universal Waste Management Requirements for Batteries, Lamps, and Mercury-Containing Equipment] through 66273.36 [Personnel Training]; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste as required by Chapter 6.5 of the Health and Safety Code and its implementing regulations in the California Code of Regulations, Title 22, including, but not limited to, Section 66262.34;

- 4.3.i. Failing to keep a record of each shipment of universal waste sent from any Current California Facility, as required by Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations Section 66273.39; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste as required by Chapter 6.5 of the Health and Safety Code and its implementing regulations in the California Code of Regulations, Title 22, including, but not limited to, Section 66262.34;
- 4.3.j. Failing to treat returned or discarded non-empty aerosol cans at Current California Facilities as universal waste or hazardous waste, in violation of California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Chapter 23, section 66273.1 et seq.;
- 4.3.k. Failing to implement, maintain or submit to the unified program agency (as defined in Health and Safety Code sections 25501 and 25502), a complete hazardous materials business plan for each of the Current California Facilities, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25505 and 25508, and section 2650 of Title 19 of the California Code of Regulations [Minimum Standards for Business Plans];
- 4.3.1. Failing to implement, maintain and comply with, an employee training program meeting the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 25505, subdivision (a)(4), and Title 19 of the California Code of Regulations section 2659, pertaining to hazardous materials, and business and area plans, including but not limited to Hazardous Materials Business Plans;
- 4.3.m. Failing to properly manage, mark, store and dispose of damaged and expired medications in violation of Health and Safety Code Sections 117600-118360 and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
- 4.3.n. Failing to contact the transporter and/or the owner or operator of the designated facility which was to receive any hazardous waste from Defendants, to determine the status of the hazardous waste, in the event of non-receipt of a copy of the manifest with the handwritten signature of the owner or operator of the designated facility within thirty-five (35) days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter, as required by Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Section 66262.42; and
- 4.3.o. Transporting, or causing to be transported, any hazardous waste to an unauthorized location in California, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25189.5.

#### 4.4 Compliance Assurance Program

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code Sections 25181, 25515.6, 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Defendant Tractor Supply shall implement the following Compliance Assurance Programs:

- 4.4.a. Defendants will, by July 1, 2017, begin the process for recruiting and hiring one or more employees or consultants for a work schedule to include fifty percent (50%) or more of his or her hours dedicated to Defendants' California Environmental, Health and Safety programs, and will complete this hiring process within six (6) months of the effective date of this Final Judgment.
- 4.4.b. **Training Records -** Defendants shall maintain proof of the training required by California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Section 66265.34(d) and 40 C.F.R. § 262.34(d)(5)(iii) for each employee as follows:
  - 4.4.b.1. For each "Hazardous Waste and Materials Training" and/or "Hazardous Waste Handling Guidelines Training" and/or "Hazardous Waste Management Training," Defendant, Tractor Supply, shall maintain a "Training Roster" identifying the person or electronic learning system providing the training, a listing of the name and employee number of each employee participating in the training, the date of the training, and the employee's signature acknowledging attendance at the training or other documentation from the electronic learning system that the employee completed the electronic training.
  - 4.4.b.2. A copy of the "Training Roster" evidencing each employee's training shall be maintained at each facility location, either in hard copy or electronically, where the employee provides any labor or services. (If an employee provides labor or services at more than one location, a copy of the Training Roster shall be maintained at each facility).
  - 4.4.b.3. On an annual basis, regardless of hire date, all employees will receive updated training as outlined in paragraph 4.4.b.1. Prior to the end of the training period, personnel at Tractor Supply's corporate office shall review the training records for all current Included Facilities to assure each employee has received the training required pursuant to Title 22, Section 66262.34(d) and 40 C.F.R. § 262.34(d)(5)(iii), and that less than one year has elapsed since the employee last received the required training.

4.4.b.4. The facility manager or supervisor shall not permit an employee to provide hazardous-waste related labor or services if the employee has not received hazardous-waste related training appropriate for the employee's job description, or more than one year has elapsed since the employee was last trained as required by Title 22, Section 66262.34(d).

- 4.4.b.5. Defendant Tractor Supply shall maintain a copy of each Training Roster at each employing facility, either in hard copy or electronically, for a period of five (5) years from the date the training was conducted, regardless of the duration of the employee's employment.
- 4.4.b.6. Defendants shall make available within three (3) business days upon request by any UPA Inspector, peace officer, agent of the Department of Justice, Cal EPA, the Department of Toxic Substances Control or District Attorney, all Training Rosters for each facility.

# 5. CIVIL PENALTIES, SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS AND COSTS

#### 5.1 Civil Penalties

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall pay ONE HUNDRED NINETY-FIVE THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$195,400.00) as civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 25189 and 25515, and Business and Professions Code Section 17206, in accordance with the terms of **Exhibits B-1 and B-2**, attached.

# 5.2. Supplemental Environmental Projects

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall pay THIRTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$35,000.00) for supplemental environmental projects identified in, and in accordance with the terms of **Exhibit C**, attached.

# 5.3 Hazardous Waste Minimization and Enhanced Compliance Projects

On or before five years following entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall collectively spend a minimum of EIGHTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$85,000.00) on projects to reduce hazardous waste generation and enhance the performance of Defendants' hazardous waste management in California, pursuant to **Exhibit D**, attached. Expenditures made for these projects prior to the date of entry of this Final Judgment shall be included in any calculation to determine

compliance with this paragraph. These projects are not in mitigation of any penalties sought by the People.

## 5.4 Reimbursement of Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall pay ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$170,000.00) for reimbursement of attorney's fees, costs of investigation, and other costs of enforcement, to the entities identified in, and in accordance with the terms of, **Exhibits E-1 and E-2**, attached.

#### 5.5 Payments and Expenditures

The payment of all civil penalties, reimbursement of cost payments and other expenditures set forth in paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4, above, shall be made by checks and delivered to the District Attorney's Office for the County of Yolo, Attention: David J. Irey, Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney, for distribution pursuant to the terms of this Final Judgment.

#### 6. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all violations and causes of action arising from the facts set forth in the Complaint as to Defendants and Defendants' successors in interests, directors, officers, and employees, and shall be known as "Covered Matters." Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation, any unknown violation, any violation that occurs after the filing of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, and any claim, violation, or cause of action against Defendants' independent contractors or subcontractors.
- 6.2 Reserved Claims also include any claims or causes of action against Defendants for performance of cleanup, corrective action, or response action for any actual past or future releases, spills, or disposals of hazardous waste or hazardous substances that were caused or contributed to by Defendants at or from any of Defendants' "Included Facilities" but are not included as "Covered Matters."
- 6.3 In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People based on any Reserved Claim, Defendants agree that they will not assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting.

Any Claims by Defendants, civil or administrative, against the People or against any agency of the State of California, or any county or city in the State of California, or any UPA, Participating Agency or local agency (collectively "Agencies"), or against any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys, arising out of or related to any Covered Matter are hereby merged into and extinguished by this Final Judgment; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Defendants, Defendants retain any and all rights and defenses against such Agencies, including but not limited to the defense of *res judicata*.

#### 7. <u>EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT</u>

Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended, nor shall it be construed, to preclude the People, or any state, county, city, or local agency, department, board, or any UPA from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation.

#### 8. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision shall not preclude them from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all defenses allowed by law to any such later enforcement.

#### 9. <u>INTERPRETATION</u>

This Final Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties hereto. Accordingly, any and all rules of construction including Civil Code Section 1654 which provides that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction.

# 10. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

This Final Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for herein. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Final Judgment. No oral representations have been made or relied upon other than as expressly set forth herein.

# 11. FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Defendants from meeting any more-stringent requirement that may be imposed by applicable existing law or by any change in the applicable law. To the extent any future statutory or regulatory change makes Defendants' obligations less stringent than those provided for in this Final Judgment, Defendants' compliance with the changed law shall be deemed compliance with this Final Judgment; however, any change in law or regulation shall not reduce or diminish Defendants' obligations to comply with Section 4.4., above.

#### 12. TERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

Defendant Tractor Supply's obligations to engage in a compliance program pursuant to Paragraph 4.4 of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction shall terminate five years after the Effective Date of this Final Judgment.

#### 13. CONTINUING JURISDICTION

The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the injunctive terms of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment.

# 14. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

Defendants shall permit any duly authorized representative of the People to inspect and copy records and documents relevant to determine compliance with the terms of this Final Judgment, provided that the People may not request any internal or third-party audits the Defendants may choose to conduct related to environmental compliance. This paragraph shall not limit the People's authority to access or obtain information, records and documents pursuant to any other statute, or regulation.

# 15. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Defendants shall make no request of the People to pay its attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs, or any other costs of litigation or investigation incurred to date.

# 16. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

The stipulation for entry of this Final Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts.

#### 17. **INCORPORATION OF EXHIBITS**

Exhibits "A-1" through "E-2" are incorporated herein by reference.

#### 18. **MODIFICATION**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

The injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment may be modified only on noticed motion by one of the parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the parties and the approval of the Court.

#### 19. TERMINATION OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Any motion to terminate (as opposed to modify) all or some of the injunctive portions of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction may not be brought prior to the expiration of five (5) years from the date this Final Judgment is filed with the Superior Court. Any motion to terminate all or some of the injunctive portions of this Final Injunction shall state the basis for termination and explain the reasons and rationale why the injunction is no longer necessary. Each party may present evidence establishing compliance and/or noncompliance with the injunctive portions of the Final Judgment. The moving party shall bear the burden of establishing that the injunctive provision(s) in question are no longer necessary to assure compliance with California law. The termination of any injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment shall have no effect on Defendants' obligation to comply with the requirements imposed by applicable statute, regulation, ordinance, or law.

#### 20. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL JUDGMENT

This Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction shall become effective upon entry and Notice of Entry of Judgment is waived.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

FOR THE PEOPLE:

26 DATED: 27

JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California

Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney

1		
2		TODD RIEBE, District Attorney County of Amador, State of California
3		County of Amador, State of Camornia
4	DATED:	Ву:
5		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
6		2 spass 2 tourses through
7	-	MICHAEL. L. RAMSEY, District Attorney County of Butte, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		MARK A. PETERSON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
13	rlalia	
14	DATED: 5 9 1 7	By: STACEY GRASSINI
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17	*	VERN PIERSON, District Attorney County of El Dorado, State of California
18		and the state of t
19	DATED:	Ву:
20		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
21		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
22		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney County of Fresno, State of California
23		County of Fresho, State of California
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		SABRINA D. ASHJIAN Deputy District Attorney
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		

1		
1		TODD RIEBE, District Attorney
2		County of Amador, State of California
3	7/2/	01400.11
4	DATED: <u>5/9/17</u>	ROBERT E. NICHOIS
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		
7	* ************************************	MICHAEL. L. RAMSEY, District Attorney County of Butte, State of California
8		011-57/11
9	DATED: <u>5/9 17</u>	By: Rahm C. Ofelob
10	×	ROBERT E. NICHOLS  Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		MARK A. PETERSON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
13	ž.	or contra cosa, batto or camorina
14	DATED:	Ву:
15		By: STACEY GRASSINI Deputy District Attorney
16		Dopus, District Edition
17		VERN PIERSON, District Attorney
18		County of El Dorado, State of California
19	DATED: 5/4/17	By: 3 a little
20		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
23		County of Fresno, State of California
24	DATED:	Dic
25	DATED.	By: SABRINA D. ASHJIAN
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
	II.	

1	_	
2		TODD RIEBE, District Attorney
	*	County of Amador, State of California
3	DATED	D
4	DATED:	By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		MICHAEL. L. RAMSEY, District Attorney
7		County of Butte, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		MARK A. PETERSON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
13		<b>,</b>
14	DATED:	By:STACEY GRASSINI
15		STACEY GRASSINI Deputy District Attorney
16	1	Deputy District Money
17		VERN PIERSON, District Attorney
18		County of El Dorado, State of California
19	DATED:	Ву:
20	DATED.	ROBERT E. NICHOLS
		Deputy District Attorney
21		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
22		County of Fresno, State of California
23		
24	DATED: 51017	By: SABRINA D. ASHJIAN
25		Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

		*n ×	
1			
2		DWAYNE R. STEWART, District Attorney County of Glenn, State of California	
3.	. 1	$\bigcirc$ 1 $\downarrow$ $\downarrow$ $\bigcirc$ $\downarrow$	
4	DATED: 5/9/17	By Robert C. History	
5		ROBERT E. NICHOLS  Deputy District Attorney	
6			
7		MAGGIE FLEMING, District Attorney County of Humboldt, State of California	
8		- 1 A	
9	DATED: 5/1/17	By: RÖBERT E. NICHOLS	
10		Deputy District Attorney	
11		GILBERT G. OTERO, District Attorney	
12		County of Imperial, State of California	
13	.1.1	145.7.1	
14	DATED: 5/9/17	ROBERT E. NICHOLS For G: Next Ot	
15		Deputy District Attorney	
16		LISA S. GREEN, District Attorney	
17		County of Kern, State of California	
18			
19	DATED:	By:	
20		Deputy District Attorney	
21			
22		KEITH FAGUNDES, District Attorney County of Kings, State of California	
23			
24	DATED: <u>5/9/17</u>	By: Range Checks	
25		Deputy District Attorney	
26		•	
27			
28			
	11	* *	Ţŀ.

1	, ,	
2		DWAYNE R. STEWART, District Attorney
3		County of Glenn, State of California
4	DATED:	By:
5		By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
6		Dopaty District Attorney
7		MAGGIE FLEMING, District Attorney
8	2	County of Humboldt, State of California
9	DATED:	Ву:
10		By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
11		z-tp, z-istrocriticing
12		GILBERT G. OTERO, District Attorney
13		County of Imperial, State of California
14	DATED:	Ву:
15		By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
16	4 4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17		LISA S. GREEN, District Attorney County of Kern, State of California
18		
19	DATED: 5-12-17	By: Jeffy w. be
20	e *	JEFFREY W. NOE Deputy District Attorney
21		- 1 - 1
22		KEITH FAGUNDES, District Attorney County of Kings, State of California
23		County of Kings, State of Camolina
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
26	8	. ,
27		
28		
		14

Ш	
2	STACEY L. MONTGOMERY, District
	Attorney County of Lassen, State of California
3	DATED: 5/9917
4	Bx of w Clark
5	ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
6	
7 8	DAVID LINN, District Attorney County of Madera, State of California
9	
10	DATED: 5/9/17  By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS
11	Deputy District Attorney
12	
13	C. DAVID EYSTER, District Attorney County of Mendocino, State of California
14	
15	DATED: 5/9/17  By: Robert E. NICHOLS
16	Deputy District Attorney
17	LARRY D. MORSE II, District Attorney
18	County of Merced, State of California
19	
20	DATED: 5/9 17  By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS
21	Deputy District Attorney
22	ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
23	County of Napa, State of California
24	
25	DATED: By: PATRICK COLLINS
26	Deputy District Attorney
27	
28	

1		
2		STACEY L. MONTGOMERY, District
3		Attorney County of Lassen, State of California
4	DATED:	
5		By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
6		Deputy District Attorney
7		
8		DAVID LINN, District Attorney County of Madera, State of California
9	DATED:	By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
11		Deputy District Attorney
12		C. DAVID EYSTER, District Attorney
13		County of Mendocino, State of California
14	DATED	7
15	DATED:	By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		LARRY D. MODGE II. District Advances
18		LARRY D. MORSE II, District Attorney County of Merced, State of California
19		
20	DATED:	By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		
23		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
		County of Napa, State of Camornia
24	DATED: 5/9/17	By:
25		PATRICK COLLINS
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		

,	1	
2		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney
3		County of Placer, State of California
4	DATED: 5/10/17	By: Dalle ( )
5		JANE CRUE Deputy District Attorney
6		Deputy District Peter licy
7	Ч	MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
8	ا ما ما	/)
9	DATED: 5/9/17	Ву
10		LAUREN R. MARTINEAU  Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California
13	1.5/	County of Sastamento, State of Camorina
14	DATED:	By:
15	, ,	DOUGLAS WHALEY Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16		
17	/ /	MICHAEL A RAMOS, District Attorney County of San Bernardino, State of California
18	5/6/17	Maril Class
19	DATED:	By: RICK C. LAI.
20	·	Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		BONNIE M. DUMANIS, District Attorney County of San Diego, State of California
23	=101.	Q de A a A
24	DATED: 5/9/17	By: THE CHICKING
25	1	Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL	16 JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

1		
2		TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney County of San Joaquin, State of California
3	-11	1.0.0, 4
4	DATED: <u>5/11/17</u>	By: WWW AUSCH
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		DANDOW BLAND
7		DAN DOW, District Attorney County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By:ERIC J. DOBROTH
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney County of Santa Barbara, State of California
13		
14	DATED: 5/9/17	ROBERT E. NICHOLS
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17	E	JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
18	*	
19	DATED:5/11/17	By: YEN B, DANG
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		TOTAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
22		JEFFREY S. ROSELL, District Attorney County of Santa Cruz, State of California
23		
24	DATED:	By:EDWARD T. BROWNE
25		Assistant District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

• -

1		
2		TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney County of San Joaquin, State of California
3		,,
4	DATED:	By:CELESTE KAISCH
5		CELESTE KAISCH Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		DAN DOW, District Attorney County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
8		
9	DATED: 5/19/17	By:
10	•	ERIC L DOBROTH Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney County of Santa Barbara, State of California
13		2
14	DATED:	Ву:
15		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
18		County of Ganta Clara, State of Camorina
19	DATED:	By: YEN B. DANG
20		YEN B. DANG Deputy District Attorney
21		
22	9	JEFFREY S. ROSELL, District Attorney
23		County of Santa Cruz, State of California
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		EDWARD T. BROWNE Assistant District Attorney
26		a mondaint District Attorney
27		
28		
		17
	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINA	L JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

1		
2		TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney County of San Joaquin, State of California
3	El. l.	1.0.00
4	DATED: 5/11/17	By: Illill Kallik
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		DAN DOW, District Attorney
7		County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
8	DATED:	P
10	DATED.	By: ERIC J. DOBROTH
11		Deputy District Attorney
12		JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney
13	· · · ·	County of Santa Barbara, State of California
14	DATED: 5/9/17	Bridge E ( sichof
15		ROBERT E. NICHOLS / Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
18		
19	DATED:	By: YEN B. DANG
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		JEFFREY S. ROSELL, District Attorney
22		County of Santa Cruz, State of California
23	= 1/1/2017	G Brown
24	DATED: 5/11/3017	By: EDWARD T. BROWNE
26		Assistant District Attorney
27		
28		
	11	

l l	8	
1		
2		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
3	-1/	M1
4	DATED: 05 09/2017	By:
5		ANAND B. JESRANI Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		J. KIRK ANDRUS, District Attorney County of Siskiyou, State of California
8		County of Siskiyou, State of Camorina
9	DATED:	By:
10		J. KIRK ANDRUS Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney County of Solano, State of California
13	,	County of Bolano, State of Camornia
14	DATED:	Ву:
15		DIANE M. NEWMAN Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney County of Sonoma, State of California
18		County of Soliolia, State of Camorna
19	DATED:	Ву:
20		MATTHEW T. CHEEVER Deputy District Attorney
21		,
22		BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney County of Stanislaus, State of California
23		County of Statistaus, State of California
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		RICHARD B. MURY, III Deputy District Attorney
26		zepany z nonner navanej
27	,	
28	3	

$_{1}\parallel$		
2		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By:ANAND B. JESRANI
5		ANAND B. JESRANI Deputy District Attorney
6		Deputy Disaster recoincy
7		J. KIRK ANDRUS, District Attorney County of Siskiyou, State of California
8		
9	DATED: <u>5/9/17</u>	By: Rahat 2. , right for
10		J. KIRK ANDRUS  Deputy District Attorney
11		Dopaty District Princincy
12	*	KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
13		County of Solano, State of California
14	DATED:	By: DIANE M. NEWMAN
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney County of Sonoma, State of California
18		•
19	DATED:	By: MATTHEW T. CHEEVER
20		MATTHEW T. CHEEVER Deputy District Attorney
21		Deputy District retorney
22		BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney
23		County of Stanislaus, State of California
		_ '
24	DATED:	By: RICHARD B. MURY, III
25		Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		
	11	10

- 11		
1		
2		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney
3	2	County of Shasta, State of California
4	DATED:	By:ANAND B. JESRANI
5		ANAND B. JESRANI Deputy District Attorney
6	~	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7		J. KIRK ANDRUS, District Attorney County of Siskiyou, State of California
8		County of Sisklyou, State of Camorina
9	DATED:	By:
10	3	J. KIRK ANDRUS Deputy District Attorney
11		•
12		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney County of Solano, State of California
13		
14	DATED: May 9, 2017	By: Diane Neura
15	0	DIANE M. NEWMAN Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney County of Sonoma, State of California
18		,
19	DATED:	By:MATTHEW T. CHEEVER
20		MATTHEW T. CHEEVER Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney County of Stanislaus, State of California
23		,
24	DATED:	By:
25	=	RICHARD B. MURY, III Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		
		18

1		
2		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
3		County of Stasta, State of Cambrina
4	DATED:	By:
5		By: ANAND B. JESRANI Deputy District Attorney
6		Deputy District Attorney
7		J. KIRK ANDRUS, District Attorney
8		County of Siskiyou, State of California
9	DATED:	Bv.
10		By:
11		Deputy District Attorney
12		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
13		County of Solano, State of California
- 1	DATED	
14	DATED:	By: DIANE M. NEWMAN
15		Deputy District Attorney
16	*	JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney
17		County of Sonoma, State of California
18	5/22/2	0) T A W
19	DATED: 5 22 17	By: Matthew T. Cheever
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney County of Stanislaus, State of California
23		212-1
24	DATED: <u>5-12-17</u>	By: weeky to four TT
25		RICHARD BANURY, III  Deputy District Attorney
26		* · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
27		
28		

1		
2		AMANDA HOPPER, District Attorney County of Sutter, State of California
3	. 1	
4	DATED: 5/4/17	By Low E ( ) field
5		ROBERT E. NICHOLS  Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		GREGG COHEN, District Attorney County of Tehama, State of California
8	-1.1	011-57.
9	DATED: <u>5/9/17</u>	ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		TIM WARD, District Attorney
12		County of Tulare, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By: DARLA J. KAISER
15		Senior Deputy District Attorney
16   17		LAURA L. KRIEG, District Attorney
18		County of Tuolumne, State of California
19	DATED: 5/9/17	Q 1 + 5 Q. I
20	DATED: 0/1/1/	ROBERT E. NICHOLS
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney
23		County of Ventura, State of California
24	DATED.	D
25	DATED:	By: MITCHELL F. DISNEY
26		Senior Deputy District Attorney
27		
	H	

- []		
1		
2		AMANDA HOPPER, District Attorney County of Sutter, State of California
3		County of Suiter, State of Camornia
4	DATED:	Ву:
5		By:  ROBERT E. NICHOLS  Deputy District Attorney
6		Deputy District Peterstry
7		GREGG COHEN, District Attorney
8	- :	County of Tehama. State of California
9	DATED:	By:
		ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
		TIM WARD, District Attorney
12		County of Tulare, State of California
13	5-10	
14	DATED: 5-10-17	DARLA KAISER
15		Senior Deputy District Attorney
16		LAURA L. VIDIGO DI
17		LAURA L. KRIEG. District Attorney County of Tuolumne, State of California
18		
19	DATED:	By:
20		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
23		County of ventura, state of Camornia
24	DATED:	By:
25		MITCHELL F. DISNEY
26		Senior Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		
		10

1		
2		AMANDA HOPPER, District Attorney County of Sutter, State of California
3		County of Suiter, State of Camornia
4	DATED:	By:
5		By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
6		Deputy District Attorney
7	, v	GREGG COHEN, District Attorney
8		County of Tehama, State of California
9	DATED.	Due
	DATED:	By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		TIM WADD District Attornov
12		TIM WARD, District Attorney County of Tulare, State of California
13		φ. 
14	DATED:	By:
15		DARLA J. KAISER Senior Deputy District Attorney
16		, ,
17		LAURA L. KRIEG, District Attorney
18		County of Tuolumne, State of California
19	DATED:	By:
20	DATED.	By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
		Deputy District Attorney
21		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney
22	-	County of Ventura, State of California
23	1 2 2	Carre Maria
24	DATED: May 9, 2017	By: MITCHELL F. DISNEY
25		Senior Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		
		10

#### FOR TRACTOR SUPPLY COMPANY, INC.: 1 2 3 DATED: May 18,2017 4 Ben Parrish Executive Vice President, General 5 Counsel and Corporate Secretary Tractor Supply Company, Inc. 6 7 REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT: 8 9 DATED: May 15, 2017 TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP 10 11 12 Attorneys for Tractor Supply Company, Inc. 13 FOR PETSENSE, INC.: 14 15 DATED: My 8,2017 16 17 Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary 18 Petsense, Inc. 19 20 21 22 REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT: 23 DATED: 11 15, 7017 TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP 24 25 26 meys for Petsense, Inc.

27

IT IS SO OF	RDERED.		
DATED:	JUN 2 3 2017	By:	SAMUELT. McADAM
			JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COUR
4			
77			
*			
	,		
		190	

**Exhibit A-1 - California Tractor Supply Facilities** 

Store Name	Store #	Facility Type	Address	City	County	ZIP	Open Date	Closed Date
Tractor Supply Company	1618		773 SOUTH STATE HWY 49	Jackson	Amador	95642	8/3/2012	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	793	Retail Store	2475 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR PKWY	Chico	Butte	95928	1/3/2006	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	734	Retail Store	2000 FEATHER RIVER BLVD	Oroville	Butte	95965	8/19/2004	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	2145	Retail Store	8800 BRENTWOOD BLVD	Brentwood	Costra Costa	94513	1/24/2017	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1642	Retail Store	1360 BROADWAY	Placerville	El Dorado	95667	7/24/2013	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1325	Retail Store	1630 HERNDON AVE	Clovis	Fresno	93611	3/4/2009	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1960	Retail Store	811 NORTH HUMBOLDT AVE	Willows	Glenn	95988	9/9/2015	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1795	Retail Store	1000 RIVER RANCH	Fortuna	Humboldt	95540	2/13/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1859	Retail Store	1850 BRADSHAW DR	El Centro	Imperial	92243	6/27/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	112	Retail Store	2749 CALLOWAY DR STE 560	Bakersfield	Kern	93312	1/10/2006	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	2128	Retail Store	911 SOUTH CHINA LAKE BLVD	Ridgecrest	Kern	93555	5/20/2017	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1785	Retail Store	480 NORTH MILL ST	Tehachapi	Kern	93561	3/18/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1882	Retail Store	1625 WEST HAYDEN AVE	Hanford	Kings	93230	5/19/2015	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1905	Retail Store	142 ROBS WAY	Susanville	Lassen	96130	12/30/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1848	Retail Store	1565 COUNTRY CLUB DR	Madera	Madera	93638	12/30/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	162	Retail Store	1248 AIRPORT PARK BLVD	Ukiah	Mendocino	95482	7/11/2006	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1280	Retail Store	1700 BELL LN	Atwater	Merced	95301	10/28/2008	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1293	Retail Store	1131 WEST PACHECO BLVD	Los Banos	Merced	93635	6/22/2009	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	2079	Retail Store	418 NAPA JUNCTION RD	American Canyon	Napa	94503- 1281	1/10/2017	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1584	Retail Store	3950 GRASS VALLEY HWY	Auburn	Placer	95602	10/26/2012	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	2134	Retail Store	1060 CALIMESA BLVD	Calimesa	Riverside	92320	1/27/2017	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1836	Retail Store	3741 WEST FLORIDA AVE	Hemet	Riverside	92545	8/5/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1991	Retail Store	18123 COLLIER AVE	Lake Elsinore	Riverside	92530	9/25/2015	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1889	Retail Store	27826 CLINTON KEITH RD	Murrieta	Riverside	92562	1/9/2015	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1750	Retail Store	2195 HAMNER AVE	Norco	Riverside	92860	3/28/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1817	Retail Store	8139 WATT AVE	Antelope	Sacramento	95843	7/2/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1315	Retail Store	10520 TWIN CITIES RD	Galt	Sacramento	95632	2/27/2009	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	2189	Retail Store	2420 WEST MAIN STREET	Barstow	San Bernardino	92311	6/3/2017	N/A

**Exhibit A-1 - California Tractor Supply Facilities** 

Store Name	Store #	Facility Type	Address	City	County	ZIP	Open Date	Closed Date
Tractor Supply Company	2046	Retail Store	12543 MAIN ST	Hesperia	San Bernardino	92345	12/30/2015	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1768	Retail Store	57980 29 PALMS HWY STE A	Yucca Valley	San Bernardino	92284	2/13/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	2010	Retail Store	14140 OLDE HWY 80	El Cajon	San Diego	92021	8/11/2016	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1862	Retail Store	203 HUNTER ST	Ramona	San Diego	92065	8/15/2014	N/A
Fractor Supply Company	1857	Retail Store	360 SOUTH CHEROKEE LN	Lodi	San Joaquin	95240	8/15/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1303	Retail Store	860 NORTH JACK TONE RD	Ripon	San Joaquin	95366	11/11/2008	N/A
Fractor Supply Company	1609	Retail Store	2800 RIVERSIDE AVE UNIT 103	Paso Robles	San Luis Obispo	93446	10/22/2012	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1834	Retail Store	120 TANK FARM RD	San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo	93401	9/30/2016	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1730	Retail Store	221 EAST HWY 246 UNIT 103	Buellton	Santa Barbara	93427	4/19/2016	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1171	Retail Store	6881 CAMERON RD	Gilroy	Santa Clara	95020	11/2/2007	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1955	Retail Store	580 AUTO CENTER DR	Watsonville	Santa Cruz	95076	6/23/2015	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1915	Retail Store	1945 MARX WAY	Anderson	Shasta	96007	5/12/2015	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1167	Retail Store	5450 MOUNTAIN VIEW DR	Redding	Shasta	96003	10/9/2007	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1874	Retail Store	1455 SOUTH MAIN ST	Yreka	Siskiyou	96097	10/1/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1180	Retail Store	2000 NORTH 1ST ST	Dixon	Solano	95620	7/31/2007	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1588	Retail Store	2000 LAKEVILLE HWY STE A	Petaluma	Sonoma	94954	1/24/2012	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1333	Retail Store	1000 AMERICAN WAY	Windsor	Sonoma	95492	11/19/2009	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1145	Retail Store	1580 EAST F ST	Oakdale	Stanislaus	95361	9/18/2007	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	789	Retail Store	201 NORTH WALNUT RD	Turlock	Stanislaus	95380	1/10/2006	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	726	Retail Store	990 THARP RD	Yuba City	Sutter	95993	6/15/2004	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	744	Retail Store	249 SOUTH MAIN ST	Red Bluff	Tehama	96080	7/26/2004	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1883	Retail Store	1120 WEST EL MONTE WAY	Dinuba	Tulare	93618	7/12/2014	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	2185	Retail Store	1755 WEST HENDERSON AVE	Porterville	Tulare	93257	2/3/2017	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1269	Retail Store	1949 EAST PROSPERITY AVE	Tulare	Tulare	93274	6/27/2008	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	1350	Retail Store	14879 MONO WAY	Sonora	Tuolumne	95370	3/10/2010	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	2127	Retail Store	397 WEST LOS ANGELES AVE	Moorpark	Ventura	93021	8/30/2016	N/A
Tractor Supply Company	797	Retail Store	1390 EAST MAIN ST	Woodland	Yolo	95776	9/28/2005	N/A

## Exhibit A-2 - California Petsense Facilities

Store Name	Store #	Facility Type	Address	City	County	ZIP	Open Date	Closed Date
Petsense, Inc.	104	Retail Store	1777 RETHERFORD STREET	Tulare	Tulare	75801	11/25/2005	N/A

#### **EXHIBIT B-1 -- CIVIL PENALTIES**

Agency	Busi Profe	Penalties - ness and essions 200 Penalties	Healt	Penalties - h and Safety 00 Penalties		al of Civil alties Paid to
Amador Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
Butte Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Contra Costa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
El Dorado County District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
Fresno Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	10,000.00	\$	8,000.00	\$	18,000.00
Glenn Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1.000.00
Humboldt Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	*	\$	1,000.00
Imperial Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
Kern Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,750.00	\$	-	\$	1,750.00
Kings Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	S	-	\$	1,000.00
Lassen Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	_	\$	1,000.00
Madera Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
Mendocino Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	_	\$	1,000.00
Merced Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Napa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
Placer Co. District Attorney's Office1	\$	5,000.00	\$	-	\$	5,000.00
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>2</sup>	\$	10,000.00	\$	8.000.00	\$	18,000.00
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>3</sup>	\$	1,750.00	\$	_	\$	1,750.00
San Bernardino Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	5,000.00	\$	-	\$	5.000.00
San Diego Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office⁴	\$	10,000.00	\$	8,000.00	-\$	18,000.00
San Luis Obispo District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Santa Barbara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
Santa Clara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	•	\$	1,500.00
Santa Cruz Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	5,000.00	S	-	\$	5,000.00
Shasta Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	10,000.00	\$	8,000.00	\$	18,000.00
Siskiyou Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$	-	\$	1,000.00
Solano Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>5</sup>	\$	5,000.00	\$	_	\$	5,000.00
Sonoma Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,750.00	\$		\$	1,750.00
Stanislaus Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,750.00	\$	_	\$	1,750.00
Sutter Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	+ -		\$	1,000.00
Tehama Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	\$		\$	1,000.00
Tulare Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>6</sup>	\$	5,000.00	+	-	\$	5,000.00
Tuolumne Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	+	-	\$	1,000.00
Ventura Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	+	•	\$	1,000.00
Yolo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	16,800.00	\$	9,300.00	\$	26,100.00
Totals - Prosecutor Civil Penalties	\$	112,800.00	\$	41,300.00	\$	154,100.00

#### **EXHIBIT B-1 -- CIVIL PENALTIES**

1 - PLACER: The money paid to the Placer District Attorney as costs and penalties, pursuant to this Stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs and to augment the budget of the District Attorney's Office pertaining to the investigation and enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget. 2 - RIVERSIDE Penalties: Business and Professions Code §17200: "Defendant" shall pay \$10,000.00 to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office as civil penalties for violations of Business and Professions Code section 17200. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206(b), said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside; sums to be distributed as follows: 100 percent will be deposited into the consumer protection prosecution account in the General Fund of Riverside County. Health and Safety Code § 25515.2: "Defendant" shall pay \$8,000.00 as civil penalties pursuant to section 25515.2 of the Health and Safety Code to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office. Said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside. 3 - SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as costs and penalties, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs and to augment the budget of the District Attorney's Office pertaining to the investigation and enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget. 4 - SAN JOAQUIN: Business and Professions Code § 17200 Penalties shall be paid to the "Treasurer of San Joaquin County". Penalties allocated to Health and Safety Code § 25500 shall be paid to the "San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office". 5 - SOLANO: Court further orders that these proceeds are designated as non-supplanting funds to be used by the Solano County District Attorney's Office only for the investigation and prosecution of environmental protection cases including, without limitation, those cases that can potentially be brought as unfair competition actions pursuant to B&P Code Section 17200 et seq. 6 - TULARE: Includes Petsense Pursuant to Government Code section 26506, any civil penalties recovered in a civil action "brought jointly in the name of the People of the State of California by the Attorney General, one or more district attorneys, or by one or more city attorneys, or any combination thereof, shall be paid as approved by the court."

## **EXHIBIT B-2 -- CIVIL PENALTIES**

	į			
	Civil F	Penalties -	Total o	f Civil
		and Safety		es Paid To
Agency		§25515.2		tory Agencies
Amador Co Environmental Health Dept.	\$	500.00	\$	500.00
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept., Hazardous Materials Program	\$	250.00		250.00
El Dorado Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$			500.00
Fresno Co Community Health Dept., Environmental Health Division	- \$	4,000.00	\$	4,000.00
Glenn Co Air Pollution Control District	\$	500.00		500.00
Humboldt Co Div. of Environmental Health	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00
Imperial Co CUPA - DTSC	\$	500.00	\$	500.00
Kern Co Bakersfield City Fire Department	\$	200.00	<del></del>	200.00
Kern Co Environmental Health Services Department	\$	300.00	<u> </u>	300.00
Kings Co Environmental Health Services	\$	500.00		500.00
Lassen Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$	500.00	_	500.00
Madera Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$	500.00	<u> </u>	500.00
Mendocino Co Environmental Health Division	\$	500.00	<del>-</del>	500.00
Merced Co Division of Environmental Health	\$	500.00		500.00
Napa Co Dept. of Env. Mngt.	\$	250.00		250.00
Placer Co Environmental Health Division	\$	750.00		750.00
Riverside Co Dept. of Health, Hazardous Materials Division	\$	4,000.00		4,000.00
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$	1,500.00		1,500.00
San Bernardino Co Fire Haz Mat	\$	750.00		750.00
San Diego Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$	250.00	<del></del>	250.00
San Joaquin Co Environmental Health Department	\$	5,000.00		5,000.00
San Luis Obispo CoEnvironmental Health Services	\$	500.00	<del></del>	500.00
Santa Barbara Co Environmental Health Services	\$	250.00		250.00
Santa Clara Co City of Gilroy Fire Dept.	\$	500.00	1 '	500.00
Santa Cruz Co Environmental Health	\$	1,500.00	_	1,500.00
Shasta Co Environmental Health Division	\$	4,000.00		4,000.00
Siskiyou Co Environmental Health Division	\$	500.00	_	500.00
Solano Co Environmental Health Services	\$	750.00	<del></del>	750.00
Sonoma Co Fire & Emergency Services Dept.	\$	250.00		250.00
Sonoma Co Petaluma City Fire Department	\$	250.00		250.00
Stanislaus Co Dept. of Environmental Resources	\$	500.00		500.0
Sutter Co Environmental Health Services Tehama Co Environmental Health Pont	\$	500.00	<u> </u>	500.0
Tehama Co Environmental Health Dept.  Tulare Co Environmental Health*	\$	500.00		500.0
	\$	750.00	1	750.0
Tuolumne Co Environmental Health	\$	500.00	<u> </u>	500.0
Ventura Co Environmental Health Division	\$	250.00	<u>, ,                                    </u>	250.0
Yolo Co Environmental Health	-   \$	6,550.00	\$	6,550.0
Total - Agency Civil Penalties	\$	41,300.00	\$	41,300.0
*TULARE: Includes Petsense				

#### Exhibit C – Supplemental Environmental Projects

#### 1. Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund.

TRACTOR SUPPLY COMPANY, INC., and PETSENSE, INC., shall provide the amount of TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) to be used by the Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund ("CTEPP Fund") for purposes consistent with the mission of the CTEPP Fund.

## 2. Department of Toxic Substances Control Training Fund.

TRACTOR SUPPLY COMPANY, INC., and PETSENSE, INC., shall provide the amount of TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$10,000.00) for Department of Toxic Substances Control staff to attend the California Hazardous Materials Investigators Association Annual Conference, Cal CUPA Forum Annual Training Conference, The Continuing Challenge Conference, McCoy's RCRA Seminar, California Specialized Training Institute Haz Mat Technician and Specialist Training Courses, and the Western States Project Trainings and Conference. This money can include registration, travel, and per diem.

### 3. California District Attorneys Association Statewide Circuit Prosecutor Project.

TRACTOR SUPPLY COMPANY, INC., and PETSENSE, INC., shall provide the amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$15,000.00) to be used by the California District Attorneys Association Statewide Circuit Prosecutor Project for the purposes of providing training consistent with the objectives of the Statewide Circuit Prosecutor Project.

#### Exhibit D

# Tractor Supply's Hazardous Waste Minimization and Enhanced Compliance Projects

Tractor Supply has implemented, or will implement, the below programs designed to reduce hazardous waste generation and enhance the performance of Tractor Supply's hazardous waste management in California to comply with Paragraph 5.3. To implement these programs, on or before five years following entry of this Final Judgment, Tractor Supply will collectively spend a minimum of EIGHTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$85,000), in accordance with Paragraph 5.3.

**Enhanced Waste Characterization Data**. Tractor Supply has contracted with The Wercs to provide hazardous waste determinations of products sold by Tractor Supply. Between expenditures to date as well as future expenditures planned, Tractor Supply currently estimates spending approximately FIFTY-NINE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$59,000) on or before five years following entry of this Final Judgment.

California-Based Colleague. As detailed in Paragraph 4.4.a, Tractor Supply will, by July 1, 2017, begin the process for recruiting and hiring one or more employees or consultants for a work schedule to include fifty percent (50%) or more of his or her hours dedicated to Tractor Supply's California Environmental, Health and Safety programs, and will complete this hiring process within six (6) months of the effective date of this Final Judgment.

#### **EXHIBIT E-1 - COSTS**

Placer Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>1</sup> Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 2,012.50 11,500.00
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>2</sup>	\$ 600.00
San Bernardino Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 6,918.75
San Diego Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 600.00
San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 23,775.00
San Luis Obispo District Attorney's Office	\$ 600.00
Santa Barbara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 600.00
Santa Clara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 600.00
Santa Cruz Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 3,240.00
Shasta Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 13,448.41
Solano Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 3,000.00
Sonoma Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 600.00
Stanislaus Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 600.00
Tulare Co. District Attorney's Office	\$ 1,200.00
Yolo Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>3</sup>	\$ 54,119.95

- 1 PLACER: The money paid to the Placer District Attorney as costs and penalties, pursuant to this Stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs and to augment the budget of the District Attorney's Office pertaining to the investigation and enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.
- 2 SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as costs and penalties, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs and to augment the budget of the District Attorney's Office pertaining to the investigation and enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.
- 3 YOLO: This money shall be paid in two separate checks: (1) one check addressed to the Yolo County District Attorney's Office in the amount of \$29,119.95, and (2) one check addressed to the Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund in the amount of \$25,000.

## **EXHIBIT E-2 - COSTS**

Agency	Total Agend	Costs to y
Fresno Co Community Health Dept., Environmental Health Division	\$	2,895.00
Humboldt Co Div. of Environmental Health	\$	2,775.00
Riverside Co Dept. of Health, Hazardous Materials Division	\$	150.00
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$	975.00
San Bernardino Co Fire Haz Mat	\$	3,750.00
San Joaquin Co Environmental Health Department	\$	3,450.00
Santa Cruz Co Environmental Health	\$	900.00
Shasta Co Environmental Health Division	\$	1,500.00
Yolo Co Environmental Health	\$	937.50
Total - Agency Costs	\$	17,332.50