## CCP – Performance Measure Development

## Status on CCP Programs

Department	Program	Received (Y/N)	Status
District Attorney	Victim Witness	Y	Draft
District Attorney	High Tech-CCP N		TBD
District Attorney	Offender Accountability N		TBD
Library	Literacy-DRC N		Draft in Process
Probation	Pre-trial Supervision	rial Supervision Y	
Probation	Probation Supervision	N	TBD
Probation	DRC Contract	N	TBD
Probation/HHSA	AB109 Treatment Funding	Y	Draft
Public Defender	Social Worker Program	Y	Completed
Sheriff	Maintain Jail Beds in Leinberger/75 Additional AB109 Inmate Beds	Y Draft	
Sheriff	Electronic Monitoring	Y	Draft

## **EXAMPLE: Pre-Trial Probation Program**

Pre-Trial Probation Program		Probation	Dan Fruchtenicht x5343		
Program Purpose	Prevent further law violations of individuals on pretrial release and ensure appearance in court.				
Program Information	In 2009, Yolo County established a Probation pre-trial program to alleviate the overcrowding in Yolo County's jails. The program assigns probation officers to conduct risk assessments of recently booked offenders using the University of Cincinnati's ORAS tool. The tools is utilized to determine an offender's risk to violate the terms of the program and is the basis for a probation officer's recommendation to the Courts during an arraignment hearing on whether an individual is suitable for the pre-trial program. Once released, Probation supervises those offenders to ensure that they attend all court hearings, begin required treatment services and do not commit new crimes.				
PM1: How much did we do?					
Staff	# FTE				
Customers Units of Service	<ul> <li># of eligibility/sustainability assessments</li> <li># of recommendations for participation in the pretrial services program</li> <li># of individuals supervised</li> <li>Average # of days on pre-trial supervision</li> <li># and % of individuals supervised that are assessed as: <ul> <li>high risk</li> <li>moderate risk</li> <li>low risk</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
PM2: How well did we do it?					
2.1	# of individuals supervised per FTE (workload)				
2.2	Cost savings: \$ per person daily incarceration cost x total # of jail bed days avoided				
2.3	# and % of individuals who made their first court appearance after pretrial release				
PM3: Is anyone better off?					
3.1	# and % of individuals who commit a new criminal offense (misdemeanor or felony) while on pretrial supervision				
3.2	# and % of individuals w issued for arrest	of individuals who miss a presentence court appearance with bench warrant or arrest			