	11		
1	ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT		
2	Sacramento County District Attorney MICHELLE RESTREPO, SBN 242773		
3	Deputy District Attorney 901 G Street		
4	Sacramento, CA 95814		
5	Telephone: (916) 874-6174		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff, People of the State of California		
7	[Additional Plaintiff's counsel listed as signatories]		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO		
10	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Case No. 34.2016-00236156		
11	Plaintiff, STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL		
12) JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION v.		
13) Exempt from fees per Gov. Code, § 6103		
14	COOKS COLLISION, INC., a California corporation,		
15	Defendant.		
16)		
17	<u></u>		
18			
19	Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, generally appearing through its		
20			
21	attorneys, Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney of Sacramento County; Nancy E. O'Malley,		
22	District Attorney of Alameda County; Diana Becton, District Attorney of Contra Costa County; Jeff		
23	W. Reisig, District Attorney of Yolo County; Krishna A. Abrams, District Attorney of Solano		
24	County; Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney of San Joaquin County; Jackie Lacey, District		
	Attorney of Los Angeles County; Allison Haley, District Attorney of Napa County; Vern Pierson,		
25	District Attorney of El Dorado County; R. Scott Owens, District Attorney of Placer County; Michael		
26	A. Hestrin, District Attorney of Riverside County; Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney of Ventura		
27	County; Edward S. Berberian, Jr., District Attorney of Marin County; Stephen M. Wagstaffe, District		
28	Attorney of San Mateo County; and Jeffrey F. Rosen, District Attorney of Santa Clara County		

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Protection Agency pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 6.11 of Division 20 of the Health and

Safety Code and California Code of Regulations, title 27, to implement certain state environmental programs within the local agency's jurisdiction.

"Facilities" means the stores listed in Exhibit A that Defendant currently owns or operates, and all other stores within the State of California that Defendant owns or operates subsequent to the effective date of this Final Judgment.

"Participating Agency" means an agency that has been designated by the UPA to administer one or more state environmental programs on behalf of the UPA.

4. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

4.1. Applicability

The provisions of this injunction are applicable to Defendant, its successors and assigns, and the following persons and entities with notice of this injunction: Defendant's officers, directors, employees, agents, affiliates, subsidiaries, and parents acting in concert with Defendant.

4.2. General Injunctive Provision

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25515.6, and 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant is enjoined from violating Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and the regulations promulgated under these chapters. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment shall relieve Defendant from prospectively complying with any and all applicable laws and regulations, nor shall any term of this Final Judgment extend to Defendant's facilities outside the State of California.

4.3. Specific Injunctive Provisions

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25515.6, and 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant is enjoined, restrained, and prohibited from doing any of the following:

- 4.3.a. Disposing, or causing the disposal, of hazardous waste at a point not authorized by law, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25189 and 25189.2;
- 4.3.b. Transporting, transferring custody of, or causing to be transported in California any hazardous waste unless the transporter is registered to transport hazardous waste, in violation of

h 6 Si on th

Health and Safety Code section 25163;

- 4.3.c. Failing to determine if a waste generated at the Facilities is a hazardous waste, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.11 and 66260.200(c);
- 4.3.d. Failing to properly manage, identify the date of accumulation, and label containers of hazardous waste at the Facilities, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34;
- 4.3.e. Failing to lawfully and timely dispose of all accumulated hazardous waste at each Facility, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34;
- 4.3.f. Failing to timely cause to be prepared and filed with the Department of Toxic Substance Control ("DTSC") a hazardous waste manifest for all hazardous waste that is transported, or submitted for transportation, for offsite handling, treatment, storage, disposal, or any combination thereof, from any Facility, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.23;
- 4.3.g. Failing to contact the owner or operator of a designated facility that was to receive hazardous waste from Defendant to determine the status of the hazardous waste, in the event Defendant has not received a copy of the manifest signed by all transporters and the facility operator within thirty-five (35) days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42;
- 4.3.h. Failing to timely notify the DTSC by filing an exception report concerning a treatment, storage, or disposal facility's failure to return any executed manifest, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42;
- 4.3.i. Failing to maintain copies of hazardous-waste manifests for three (3) years, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.40;
- 4.3.j. Unlawfully storing, handling, and accumulating hazardous waste, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25123.3 and California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.34 and 66265.173;
 - 4.3.k. Storing hazardous waste onsite beyond the time permitted by law at a Facility that did

not have a hazardous waste storage permit from DTSC, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34, and California Health and Safety Code section 25123.3, subdivision (h);

- 4.3.1. Unlawfully failing to segregate incompatible hazardous-waste items, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.177;
- 4.3.m. Failing to conduct inspections of hazardous waste storage areas at each Facility, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.34 and 66265.174;
- 4.3.n. Failing to comply with employee training obligations pertaining to the handling of hazardous waste at the Facilities, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34(d) and 40 C.F.R. § 262.16(b)(9)(iii), or title 22, section 66265.16, whichever may apply;
- 4.3.o. Failing to immediately report any release or threatened release of a reportable quantity of any hazardous material from any Facility into the environment, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25510;
- 4.3.p. Failing to establish, implement and submit to the responsible "Unified Program Agency" (as defined in Health and Safety Code section 25501), a complete hazardous materials business plan, for each Facility, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25505 and 25507 and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2650;
- 4.3.q. Failing to properly manage, mark, and store universal waste at each Facility in violation of the standards for universal waste management found in California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66273.33 through 66273.36; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste in violation of Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations in California Code of Regulations, title 22, including, but not limited to, section 66262.34;
- 4.3.r. Failing to keep a record of each shipment of universal waste sent from any Facility, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66273.39; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste in violation of Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations in California Code of Regulations, title 22, including, but not limited to, section 66262.34;
- 4.3.s. Failing to treat returned or discarded non-empty aerosol cans at the Facilities as universal waste or hazardous waste, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section

- 4.3.t. Failing to implement, maintain, and comply with an employee-training program in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25505, subdivision (a)(4), and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2659, pertaining to hazardous materials and business and area plans, including, but not limited to, Hazardous Materials Business Plans;
- 4.3.u. Failing to implement, maintain, or submit to the responsible "Unified Program Agency" (as defined in Health and Safety Code section 25501), a complete hazardous materials business plan for each of the Facilities, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25505 and 25508, and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2650;
- 4.3.v. Transporting, or causing to be transported, any hazardous waste to an unauthorized location in California, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25189.5; and
- 4.3.w. Disposing of customer records without first shredding, erasing, or otherwise modifying the personal information in those records to make it unreadable or undecipherable, in violation of Civil Code section 1798.81.

4.4. Compliance Assurance Program

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25515.6, and 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant shall expend ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00) on compliance assurance programs described in this paragraph to augment the injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment.

4.4.a. Compliance Employee. Defendant shall employ one (1) full-time employee, or equivalent, responsible for environmental, health, regulatory, and safety compliance assurance at the Facilities ("Full-Time Employee") for a period of no less than two (2) years after the effective date of this Final Judgment. The Full-Time Employee may be employed by Defendant or a Defendant affiliate, subsidiary, or parent, and may be employed in Defendant's locations within or outside of California. The Full-Time Employee may also have other responsibilities not related to hazardous waste, including, without limitation, environmental, health, regulatory, and safety matters. Defendant shall make good faith efforts to continually staff this position, but it is recognized that there may be an occasional vacancy due to staffing transitions or other staffing

interruptions. Within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Final Judgment, or after the hiring of a new Full-Time Employee, Defendant shall provide notice to the People in the form of a written affidavit stating the name, qualifications, responsibilities, and location of the Full-Time Employee. Such written affidavit shall be noticed pursuant to Paragraph 20 and shall be submitted under penalty of perjury.

4.4.b. Training. Defendant shall ensure that all employees at any of its Facilities are thoroughly familiar with proper waste handling and emergency procedures relevant to their responsibilities during normal facility operations and emergencies, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34(d) and 40 C.F.R. § 262.16(b)(9)(iii), and shall comply with the following for each employee at any of its Facilities:

4.4.b.1. For each training conducted to ensure compliance with Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, Defendant shall maintain documentation identifying the person providing the training, the location where the training was conducted, the name of each employee attending the training, the employee identification number for each employee attending the training, the date of the training, and the employee's signature acknowledging attendance at the training. Alternatively, Defendant may provide training via a computer-based system, in which case it shall maintain electronic data identifying the name of each employee attending the training, the employee identification number for each employee attending the training, the date of the training, and the employee's electronic acknowledgement of training attendance. This documentation and electronic data are referred to here as the "Training Roster."

4.4.b.2. Defendant shall maintain a copy of the Training Roster evidencing each employee's training at each facility where the employee provides any labor or services. Defendant shall, at each Facility, maintain a copy of that Facility's Training Roster for a period of five (5) years from the date the training was conducted, regardless of the duration of the employee's employment. Such records may be maintained electronically. In the event that such records are not available

during a governmental inspection, Defendant shall provide such records to the inspector within five (5) business days.

- 4.4.b.3. Defendant shall review the training records on a quarterly basis to ensure each employee at the Facilities have received the training required under California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34(d) and 40 C.F.R. § 262.16(b)(9)(iii), and that less than one year has elapsed since the employee last received the required training.
- 4.4.b.4. Defendant shall not permit an employee to provide labor or services relating to the storage or disposal of hazardous waste if the employee has not received the required training under California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34(d) and 40 C.F.R. § 262.16(b)(9)(iii), or more than one year has elapsed since the employee was last trained.
- 4.4.b.5. Defendant shall promptly make available upon request by any UPA Inspector, peace officer, agent of the Department of Justice, California Environmental Protection Agency, the DTSC, or District Attorney all Training Rosters and training records for each Facility. In the event that such records are not available during a governmental inspection, Defendant shall provide such records to the inspector within five (5) business days.
- 4.4.b.6. To the extent any one of Defendant's Facilities generates more than 1,000 kg/month of hazardous waste, or 1 kg/month of acute hazardous waste, or 100 kg/month of acute spill residue or soil, then Defendant shall additionally comply with the personnel training requirements contained in California Code of Regulations, title 22 section 66265.16, to the extent applicable, for that particular Facility's employees.

5. CIVIL PENALTIES, SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, AND COSTS

5.1. Civil Penalties

Defendant shall pay NINE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$900,000.00) as civil

penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25189 and 25515 and Business and Professions Code section 17206, in accordance with the terms set forth in Paragraph 5.4 and Exhibits B-1, B-2, and B-3.

5.2. Supplemental Environmental Projects

Within one hundred and eighty (180) days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendant shall pay ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00) for the supplemental environmental project agreed upon by the People and Defendant, which is identified and detailed in Exhibit C.

5.3. Reimbursement of Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

Within five (5) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendant shall collectively pay THREE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$325,000.00) for reimbursement of attorney's fees, costs of investigation, and other costs of enforcement, in accordance with the terms set forth in Paragraph 5.4 and Exhibits D-1 and D-2.

5.4 Payments and Expenditures

All of the payments imposed on Defendant pursuant to Paragraphs 5.1 and 5.3 of this Final Judgment shall be made by check to the payees listed in Exhibits B-2, B-3, D-1, and D-2, in accordance with the payment schedule listed in Paragraph 5.3 and Exhibit B-1 to this Final Judgment, and delivered to the Sacramento County District Attorney's Office, Attention: Michelle Restrepo, Deputy District Attorney, 901 G Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, for distribution.

If Defendant fails to make timely payment of any of the amounts owed under Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4, Defendant shall pay interest on the amount past due at a rate of ten percent (10%) per annum, and a late payment penalty of two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500.00). If Defendant fails to pay any of the amounts owed under Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 within fifteen (15) days of being due, all outstanding payments owed under Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 shall immediately become due and payable and shall bear interest at a rate of ten percent (10%) per annum from the date the payment amount was due under this Final Judgment until the date the full payment is received. The People shall be entitled to pursue all remedies provided by law for the enforcement of this Final Judgment. The People shall also be entitled to all costs of collection, including

reasonable attorney's fees.

6. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT

6.1. This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all violations and causes of action arising from the facts, matters and allegations set forth in the Complaint as to Defendant's Facilities, and shall be known as "Covered Matters."

Facilities.

6.2. Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation, any violation that occurs after the filing of this Final Judgment, any claim, violation, or cause of action against Defendant's independent contractors or subcontractors, and separate independent violations arising out of facts, matters or allegations that are not set forth in the Complaint, whether known or unknown. Reserved Claims also include any claims or causes of action against Defendant for performance of cleanup, corrective action, or response action for any actual past or future releases, spills, or disposals of hazardous waste or

hazardous substances that were caused or contributed to by Defendant at or from any of Defendant's

- 6.3. In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People based on any Reserved Claim, Defendant cannot assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting. This Paragraph does not affect any statute of limitations, if any, which may be applicable to any Reserved Claim, and does not prohibit Defendants from asserting any statute of limitations or other legal or equitable defenses that may be applicable to any Reserved Claim.
- 6.4. Any claims by Defendant, civil or administrative, against the People or against any agency of the State of California, or any county or city in the State of California, or any UPA, Participating Agency or local agency (collectively, "Agencies"), or against any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents, or attorneys, arising out of or related to any Covered Matter are hereby merged into and extinguished by this Final Judgment; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Defendant, Defendant retains any and all rights and defenses against such Agencies.

7. EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended, nor shall it be construed, to preclude the People or any state, county, city or local agency, department, board, or UPA from exercising its authority under any law, statute, or regulation.

8. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision shall not preclude them from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendant retains all defenses to any such later enforcement action.

9. INTERPRETATION

This Final Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties hereto. Accordingly, any and all rules of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment.

10. INTEGRATION

This Final Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for herein. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Final Judgment. No oral representations have been made or relied upon other than as expressly set forth herein.

11. FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Defendant from meeting any more-stringent requirement that may be imposed by applicable existing law or by any change in the applicable law. To the extent any future statutory or regulatory change makes Defendant's obligations less stringent than those provided for in this Final Judgment, Defendant's compliance with the changed law shall be deemed compliance with this Final Judgment; however, any change in law or regulation shall not reduce or diminish Defendant's obligations to comply with Paragraph 4.4.

12. TERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

Unless as otherwise provided for in Paragraph 4.4.a, Defendant's obligations to engage in a compliance program pursuant to Paragraph 4.4 of this Final Judgment shall terminate five years after the Effective Date of this Final Judgment.

13. CONTINUING JURISDICTION

The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the injunctive terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment.

14. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

Defendant shall permit any duly authorized representative of the People to inspect and copy records and documents relevant to determine compliance with the terms of this Final Judgment. This paragraph shall not limit the People's authority access or obtain information, records, and documents pursuant to any other statute or regulation.

15. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Defendant shall make no request of the People to pay its attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs, or any other costs of litigation or investigation incurred to date.

16. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

The stipulation for entry of this Final Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts. For purposes of this Final Judgment, facsimile signatures shall be deemed originals, and the parties agree to exchange original signatures as promptly as possible.

17. INCORPORATION OF EXHIBITS

Exhibits "A" through "E" are incorporated herein by reference.

18. MODIFICATION

The injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment may be modified only on noticed motion by one of the parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the parties and the approval of the Court.

19. TERMINATION OF INJUNCTION

At any time after this Final Judgment has been in effect for five (5) years, and Defendant has paid and expended all amounts required under the Final Judgment, Defendant may file a noticed

1	motion pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 533 and Civil Code section 3424 requesting that		
2	the Court terminate the injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3. After the Final Judgment		
3	has been in effect for seven (7) years, and Defendant has paid and expended all amounts required		
4			
5			
6	20. NOTICE		
7	Unless otherwise specified in this Final Judgment, all notices under this Final Judgment shall		
8	be made in writing, by both email and mail, and addressed to the persons identified in Exhibit E.		
9	Any Party may, by written notice to the other Parties, change its designated notice recipient or notice		
0	address.		
1	21. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL JUDGMENT		
2	This Final Judgment shall become effective upon entry. The Parties need not file a Notice of		
3	Entry of Judgment.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	FOR THE PEOPLE:		
7	ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California		
3	DATED: July 3, 2018 By: M. Musture		
)	MICHELLE RESTREPO		
	Deputy District Attorney		
2			
	NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney County of Alameda, State of California		
.	County of Additional State of California		
	DATED: 6/26/18 By: KEVIN WONG Deputy District Attorney		

	13	
1		DIANA BECTON,
2	2	District Attorney
3	DATED: 6/20/18	County of Contra Costa, State of California
4		By: Street Drawing
5		STACEY GRASSINI
6		Deputy District Attorney
7		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney
8		County of Yolo, State of California
9	DATED:	By:
1.0		DAVID J. IREY
11		Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
12		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
13		County of Solano, State of California
14	DATED:	D
15		By: DIANE M. NEWMAN
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney
18		County of San Joaquin, State of California
19	DATED:	
20	DATED.	By: CELESTE KAISCH
		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
23		osmity of hos Angeles, state of California
24	DATED:	By:
25		DANIEL J. WRIGHT
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		

	II .	
1		DIANA BECTON,
2		District Attorney
3	DATED	County of Contra Costa, State of California
4	DATED:	By:
5		STACEY GRASSINI
		Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California
8		1 Total, State of Camorina
9	DATED: 6/25/19	Ву:
10		DAVID J. IREY
11		Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
12		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
		County of Solano, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	Ву:
15		DIANE M. NEWMAN Deputy District Attorney
16		Table Theories
17		TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney
18		County of San Joaquin, State of California
19	DATED:	
	DATED.	By: CELESTE KAISCH
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney
23		County of Los Angeles, State of California
24	DATED:	By:
25		DANIEL J. WRIGHT
26		Deputy District Attorney
- 11		
27	•	•
28		•

	*1	
1		DIANA BECTON,
2		District Attorney
3	DATED:	County of Contra Costa, State of California
4	DATED:	Ву:
5		STACEY GRASSINI Deputy District Attorney
6		Deputy District Automory
7		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney
8		County of Yolo, State of California
9	DATED:	Ву:
10		DAVID J. IREY
11		Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
12		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
13		County of Solano, State of California
14	DATED: June 21, 2018	By: Dinne Nounce
15		DIANTEM. NEWMAN Deputy District Attorney
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney
18		County of San Joaquin, State of California
19	DATED:	By:
20		CELESTE KAISCH
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney
23		County of Los Angeles, State of California
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		DANIEL J. WRIGHT
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28	·	
11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1		DIANA BECTON,
2		District Attorney
3	T	County of Contra Costa, State of California
4	DATED:	D.,,
5		By:STACEY GRASSINI
		Deputy District Attorney
6		IDEE W. DEVELO D.
7		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By:
10		DAVID J. IREY Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
11		Tabletant Cinci Deputy District Attorney
12		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
13		County of Solano, State of California
14	DATED:	
15	Sittib.	By:
		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney County of San Joaquin, State of California
18		a a
19	DATED: 6/28/18	By: Will Kauch
20		CELESTE KAISCH
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney
23		County of Los Angeles, State of California
- 11	D. 1	
24	DATED:	By:
25		DANIEL J. WRIGHT Deputy District Attorney
26	•	÷ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
7		
8		

	1			
				DIANA BECTON,
	2		•	District Attorney
	3	DATED:		County of Contra Costa, State of California
	4			Ву:
	5			STACEY GRASSINI
	6			Deputy District Attorney
	7			JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney
	8			County of Yolo, State of California
	9 1	DATED:		
.,	Ш			Ву:
10	۱ ا ^ا			DAVID J. IREY
- 11	ı			Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
12	2			KRISHNA A ARRANG DOLLAR
13				KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney County of Solano, State of California
14	D	ATED:		
15				By: DIANE M. NEWMAN
-	11			Deputy District Attorney
16				- State Austicy
17	1			TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney
18				County of San Joaquin, State of California
19	DA	TED:		
20				By: CELESTE KAISCH
21				Deputy District Attorney
22	.			
				JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney
23				County of Los Angeles, State of California
24	DA'	TED:	6.26-18	By: Dank / Wright
25				DANIELY, WRIGHT
26				Deputy District Attorney
27				
28				

	1	ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
:	2	County of Napa, State of California
;	DATED: 6/25/18	
4	4 DATED. 0/23/18	By: PARTICIPATION
5	5	PATRICK COLLINS Deputy District Attorney
6		· · · ·
7		VERN PIERSON, District Attorney
		County of El Dorado, State of California
8	DATED:	Ву:
9		ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		D. C.C.C.
12		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney County of Placer, State of California
13	DATED	
14	DATED:	By:
15		JANE CRUE Deputy District Attorney
16		1 Jan 1991 Letter Telecometry
17		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
18	DATED	
19	DATED:	By:
20		LAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
23		or volume, state of California
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		MITCHELL F. DISNEY
		Senior Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		
1.1		

	1	ALLISON HALEV District Att
	2	ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
	3 DATED:	
	4 DATED:	By: PATRICK COLLINS
	5	Deputy District Attorney
(5	
7	,	VERN PIERSON, District Attorney County of El Dorado, State of California
8		1 Comming
9	DATED: 6/20/18	By: Rome of the following
10		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
11		D SCOTT OTHER TO
12		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney County of Placer, State of California
13	DATED:	
14	DATED:	By:
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17	·	MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
18	DATED	
19	DATED:	By:
20		LAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
23		of Camorna
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		MITCHELL F. DISNEY
26		Senior Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		

1		
1		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
2		County of Napa, State of California
. 3	DATED:	Den
4		By: PATRICK COLLINS
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		
	• .	VERN PIERSON, District Attorney
7		County of El Dorado, State of California
8	DATED:	By:
9		ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		D. GGOTT 0.77
12		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney County of Placer, State of California
ļ	, ,	
13	DATED: 1/20/2018	By: //au
14	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	JANE CRUE
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		MICHAEL A HESTRIN District Att.
17		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
18		
	DATED:	By:
19		LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney
22		County of Ventura, State of California
23		
24	DATED:	By:
	•	MITCHELL F. DISNEY Senior Deputy District Attorney
25		Comor Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

	1	ALTICONIHATER
2	2	ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
3	B DATED:	
4		By: PATRICK COLLINS
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		VERN DIEDSON DOMAN
7		VERN PIERSON, District Attorney County of El Dorado, State of California
8	DATED:	*
9		By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney
12		County of Placer, State of California
13	DATED:	
14	BITTED.	By: JANE CRUE
15		Deputy District Attorney
16	·	
17		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
18		Λ
19	DATED: Sure 21, 2018	By:
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
23		•
24	DATED:	By:
25		MITCHELL F. DISNEY
- 1		Senior Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

1	. ∦	ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
2		County of Napa, State of California
3	DATED:	
4		By: PATRICK COLLINS
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		
7·		VERN PIERSON, District Attorney County of El Dorado, State of California
8	1	, and an extension of Camornia
	DATED:	By:
9		ROBERT E. NICHOLS
10		Deputy District Attorney
- 11		D. SCOTT ONTEN S.
12		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney County of Placer, State of California
13	-	
14	DATED:	By:
		JANE CRUE Deputy District Attorney
15		District Attorney
16		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
17	·	County of Riverside, State of California
18	DATES	
19	DATED:	By:
20		LAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney
21		•
22		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
23		or volume, state of Campornia
	DATED: June 21, 2018	By: Mitchell 7 () concy
24		MITCHELL F. DISNEY
25		Senior Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

1		EDWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., District Attorney
2		County of Marin, State of California
3	DATED: 6/20/18	
4	DATED: 0/20/10	By: Mulles H. Teres ANDRES H. PEREZ
5		Deputy District Attorney
6 7		STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney County of San Mateo, State of California
8	DATED:	By:
9		JOHN E. WILSON
10		Deputy District Attorney In Charge
11		
		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney
12		County of Santa Clara, State of California
13	DATED:	
14	DATED:	By: BUD PORTER
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17	FOR THE DEPTH IN ANY	
	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
18		
19	DATED.	
20	DATED:	By: RICK WOOD
21		President
		COOKS COLLISION, INC.
22		
23	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FOR	M AND CONTENT:
24		•
25		HARTMAN KING PC
l:		
26	D 1 7777	Ву:
27	DATED:	JENNIFER HARTMAN KING
28		Attorneys for Cooks Collision, Inc.
- 11		

. 1 . 7	EDWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., I County of Marin, State of Californ	District Attorney
	3	
	DATED: By:	
	ANDRES H. PEREZ Deputy District Attorney	
6	STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, Dist	utas kas ii
7	7 County of San Mateo, State of Cali	fornia
. 9	DATED: 6 20 18 By:	
10	JUHN E. WILSON	larto.
•		iaige
11	JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attor	ney
12	County of Santa Clara, State of Cali	fornia
13	DATED:	
14	BUD PORTER	magnife skills, to a considerable springer springer
15		
16	16	
1.7	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
18	18	
19	11	
20	DATED: By: RICK WOOD	
21	President President	
22	COOKS COLLISION, INC.	
23	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:	
24		
25	HARTMAN KING DO	•
26	26	
27 28	Attorneys for Cooks Collision 1	nc.
1	· II	

. 1	1 Er	OWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., District Attorney
	-	ounty of Marin, State of California
3	DATED:	·
4	4	ANDRES H. PEREZ
5	5	Deputy District Attorney
	•	o the product and the
7	STE	EPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney inty of San Mateo, State of California
8	- II	The second secon
	DATED:	
9	,	JOHN E. WILSON
10		Deputy District Attorney In Charge
		Deputy District Attorney in Charge
11	11	
12	JEFI Con-	FREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney
	Cour	nty of Santa Illiva, State of California
13	DATED: 6/20/18	Hal Jall
14	Ly.	CUPAN
ľ		BUD PORTER
15	1	Deputy District Attorney
16		, -
17		
1/	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
18		
19		
11	DATED:	
20	By:	
21		RICK WOOD
''		President
22		COOKS COLLISION, INC.
23 1	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AN	
- 11	A THOUSE AS TO POKIN AIN	D CONTENT:
24		
25	HART	TMAN KING PC
· 11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
26	D.,,	
₂₇ C	DATED: By:	CAIAIISTER
- 11	Α	ENNIFER HARTMAN KING
28		attorneys for Cooks Collision, Inc.
11		

1	1	
	2	EDWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., District Attorney County of Marin, State of California
	3 DATED:	By:ANDRES H. PEREZ
	5	Deputy District Attorney
	6 7	STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney County of San Mateo, State of California
10		By: JOHN E. WILSON Deputy District Attorney In Charge
11 12		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney
13 14		County of Santa Clara, State of California By:
15 16		BUD PORTER Deputy District Attorney
17 18	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
19 20	B105-15-9 :Dated:	By:
21		RICK WOOD President
22		COOKS COLLISION, INC.
23	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FOR	RM AND CONTENT:
24		
25		HARTMAN KING PC
2627	DATED: <u>6-22-18</u>	By: JENNIFER HARTMAN KING
28		Attorneys for Cooks Collision, Inc.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2		
3		
4	DATED: By:	
5	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	-
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

EXHIBIT A

Exhibit A COOKS COLLISION FACILITIES

FACILITY NAME	ADDRESS	COUNTY	CLOSED DATE (IF APPLICABLE)
Redwood City	1104 Main St. Redwood City, CA 94063	San Mateo	
Walnut Creek - Pine St.	1414 Pine St. Walnut Creek, CA 94596	Contra Costa	
Sacramento - Lexington St.	2310 Lexington St. Sacramento, CA 95815	Sacramento	
Berkeley	2935 Claremont Ave. Berkeley, CA 94705	Alameda	
Dublin	6091 Dublin Blvd. Dublin, CA 94567	Alameda	
San Rafael	50 Medway Rd. San Rafael, CA 94901	Marin	
San Ramon	2700 Hooper Dr. San Ramon, CA 94583	Contra Costa	
Walnut Creek - Main St.	2659 North Main St. Walnut Creek, CA 94596	Contra Costa	
Roseville	2018 Taylor Rd. Roseville, CA 95678	Placer	
El Dorado Hills	4624 Post St. El Dorado Hills, CA 95762	El Dorado	
Tracy	2830 Auto Plaza Dr. #110 Tracy, CA 95304	San Joaquin	
San Leandro	2763 Teagarden St. San Leandro, CA 94577	Alameda	
acramento - Florin Rd.	5800 Florin Rd., Suite B Sacramento, CA 95823	Sacramento	
⁷ acaville	41 Union Way Vacaville, CA 95687	Solano	
lk Grove - Iron Rock Vay	10143 Iron Rock Way Elk Grove, CA 95624	Sacramento	
oncord	12060 Diamond Way Concord, CA 94520	Contra Costa	
airfield	1851 Woolner Ave. Fairfield, CA 94533	Solano	
apa - Soscol Ave.	.	Napa	7/1/2014
avis - Research Park Dr.	1665 Research Park Dr. Davis, CA 95618	Yolo	
uarte	1718 Highland Ave. Duarte, CA 91010	Los Angeles	
hambra	1130 E. Main St. Alhambra, CA 91801	Los Angeles	4/1/2015

Exhibit A COOKS COLLISION FACILITIES

FACILITY NAME	ADDRESS	COUNTY	CLOSED DATE (IF APPLICABLE)
Canoga Park	7400 Deering Ave. Canoga Park, CA 91303	Los Angeles	,
Riverside	3860 Buchanan St. Riverside, CA 92503	Riverside	
El Segundo	760 Lairport St. El Segundo, CA 90245	Los Angeles	
Westlake Village	31245 La Baya Dr. Westlake Village, CA 91362	Ventura	
Fremont	41041 Albrae St. Fremont, CA 94538	Alameda	
San Carlos	1800 Industrial Way Redwood City, CA 94063	San Mateo	
Sacramento - Fulton Ave.	2163 Fulton Ave. Sacramento, CA 95825	Sacramento	
Napa	906 Enterprise Way Napa, CA 94558	Napa	
Downtown Sacramento - 20th St.	1800 20th St. Sacramento, CA 95816	Sacramento	
	2400 Tower Ave. Sacramento, CA 95825	Sacramento	
Elk Grove - Elkmont Way	9141 Elkmont Way Elk Grove, CA 95624	Sacramento	
Davis - Chiles Rd.	5041 Chiles Rd. Davis, CA 95618	Yolo	
Brentwood	600 Harvest Park Dr. Brentwood, CA 94513	Contra Costa	
Pasadena	1095 E. Colorado Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91106	Los Angeles	
Oakland - 11th St.	149 11th St. Oakland, CA 94607	Alameda	
Oakland - Martin Luther King Jr. Way	1900 Martin Luther King Jr. Way Oakland, CA 94162	Alameda	
	3295 Bernal Ave., Suite B Pleasanton, CA 94566	Alameda	
Santa Clara	3165 De La Cruz Blvd. Santa Clara, CA 95054	Santa Clara	
	5851 Auburn Blvd. Sacramento, CA 95841	Sacramento	

EXHIBIT B-1

Exhibit B-1 SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS - CIVIL PENALTIES

Payment Date		Amount Due
90 days From Date of Entry of Judgment	١ ٠	
180 days From Date of Entry of Judgment	- 2	150,000.00
270 days From Date of Entry of Judgment	13	150,000.00
360 days From Date of Entry of Judgment	- \$	150,000.00
450 days From Date of Entry of Judgment	<u> </u>	150,000.00
450 days From Date of Entry of Judgment	\$	150,000.00
540 days From Date of Entry of Judgment	\$	150,000.00

Total Civil Penalties	
Liotal Civil Fenalties	
	\$900,000.00
	4500,000.00

EXHIBIT B-2

Exhibit B-2
DISBURSEMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES - DISTRICT ATTORNEY

District Attorney's Office	90 days	100			1 2 2 2 2 2	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי			
District Attorney's Office		180 days	270 days	360 days	270 days 360 days 450 days	450 days	540 days	lavs	
Office	Civil	Civil	Civil	Civil	Į.	3			Total Civil
<u> </u>	Penalties -	Penalties -	Civil Donaltion		Penalties				
-	B&P §17200			Penalues - H&S §25189	(after final disbursement)				
Alameda County	Cilaines	reliaines	renaities	Penaities	Penalties	Penalties	Penalties	Penalties	
District Attorney's									
Office	\$9,767.90	\$4,949.73	\$13,914.42	\$20,310.85	\$18,107,10	\$3 371 48	¢27 £3£ £7	00 020 00	
Contra Costa County					2	70,04.70	10.000,120	96,750.00	\$104,657.15
District Attorney's			-						
Office*	\$9,767.85	\$4,949.74	\$13,914.42	\$20,310.85	\$18,107.15	\$3,321.42	\$24,285.72		\$94,657,15
									20000
California District									
Attorneys Association -				***				7-7-1	
Statewide Circuit				•					
Prosecutor Project		\$2,717.30							1000
El Dorado County									\$4,717.30
District Attorney's									
Office		\$2,717.30			***************************************		-		¢2 17
Los Angeles Co. County									\$2,717.30
District Attorney's									
Office		\$5,434.61	\$13,914.42	\$7,824.04				-	537 172 07
	-								757,113.07
Marin County District					**********				
Attorney's Office		\$5,434.61							¢E 424 64
Napa County District									52,454.0I
Attorney's Office		\$5,434.61							\$5 434 61
Placer County District									
Attorney's Office **	\$9,767.85	\$4,949.74	\$13,914.42	\$20.310.85	\$18,107,15	\$3 321 42	¢2// 19E 72	_	1
Riverside County					2000	77:177	77.007/17		\$94,657.15
District Attorney's			·						***************************************
Office ***		\$5,434.61							CE ADA 61

Exhibit B-2
DISBURSEMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES - DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Crvi				Disburseme	Disbursement Date From Date of Entry of Judgment	Date of Entry	of Judgment			
Office Penalties - Office Penalties - Penalti		90 days	180 days	270 days	360 days	450	days	540 0	lavs	
Office B&P \$17200 BP \$17200	Dietrict Attorn	Civil	Civil	Civil	Civil	Civil	Civil			Total Civil
Penalties Pena	District Attorney s	Penalties -	Penalties -	Penalties -	Penalties -	Penalties -	Penalties -	Civil Donaltion		Penalties
mento County Penalties Penalties Penalties Penalties Penalties Penalties ac ***** \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.43 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 loaquin County int Attorney's \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.43 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 Meteocounty int Attorney's \$5,434.61 \$5,434.62 \$3,321.42 \$24,285.72 ict Attorney's \$6,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.86 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 a Clara County int Attorney's \$5,434.61 \$5,434.62 \$3,321.42 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 a Clara County District \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$3,321.42 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 a Clara County District \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$3,321.42 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 a Clara County District \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 <	9	B&P §17200					H&S \$25515			(arter final
amento County rict Attorney's se ***** \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.43 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 loaquin County rict Attorney's rict Attorney's Wateo County rict Attorney's a Clara County District rey's Office \$5,434.61 \$5,434.62 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$24,285.72 Rey's Office \$5,434.61 \$5,434.62 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 rick Attorney's \$5,434.61 \$5,434.62 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.86 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 rick Attorney's #** \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.86 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 rick Attorney's #** #** \$6,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 rick Attorney's #** #** *** *** *** *** *** *		Penalties	Penalties	Penalties	Penalties		Penalties	Penalties	Denalties	aisbai seilleilt)
rict Attorney's \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.43 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 Fe.**** Joaquin County Se.**** Joaquin County Sit County Sit County District Attorney's a Clara County District Attorney's \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 \$14,285.72 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$18,375.00 \$16.00 \$10,5750.00 \$126.750.00	Sacramento County								Cilanco	
boaquin County ict Attorney's e**** \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.43 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 loaquin County ict Attorney's ict Attorney's a Clara County ict Attorney's a Clara County ict Attorney's a Clara County District ney's Office ney's Office County District ney's Office \$5,434.61 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.86 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 ney's Office County District ney's Office So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.86 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District ney's Office County District ney's Office So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District ney's Office County District set Attorney's So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District ney's Office So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District set Attorney's So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District set Attorney's So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District set Attorney's So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 here county District So,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$14,285	District Attorney's									
Doaquin County ict Attorney's ict Attorney's ict Attorney's se ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** *****	Office ****	\$9,767.85	\$4	\$13,914.43	\$20,310,85	\$18.107.15	\$3 321 42	\$14.285.72		74 777 777 77
ict Attorney's \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.43 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$24,285.72 Mateo County ict Attorney's a Clara County ict Attorney's e solution District ney's Office \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 Lac County District ney's Office \$5,434.61 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.86 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 \$14,285.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$18,8321.42 \$14,285.73 \$18,8321.42 \$14,285.73	San Joaquin County							77.003/17		CT'/CQ'490¢
Se *****	District Attorney's								•	
Mateo County ict Attorney's a Clara County ict Attorney's a County District a Sp,767.85 b S4,949.73 b S13,914.42 b S20,310.85 b S4,949.73 b S13,914.42 b S20,310.85 b S3,321.42 b S4,285.73 b S43,250.00 b S78,325.00 b S	Office ****	\$9,767.85		\$13,914.43	\$20,310.85	\$18,107.15	\$3,321.42	\$74,785,72		\$94 657 1E
ict Attorney's e a Clara County ict Attorney's a Clara County ict Attorney's e a Clara County ict Attorney's e io County District ney's Office ** Lara County District ney's Office which is office syncored by the syncore	San Mateo County									CT. /CO/LCA
e dara County ict Attorney's \$5,434.61 \$5,434.62	District Attorney's									
a Clara County ict Attorney's	Office		\$5,434.61	\$5,434.62						¢10 869 73
ict Attorney's e no County District ney's Office ** Lac County District ney's Office are County District ney's Office county District ney's Office \$5,434.61 Analys Office \$5,434.61 County District ney's Office County District ney's Office \$5,434.61 \$5,43	Santa Clara County									4.0000.23
e	District Attorney's							,		
no County District ** ** \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.86 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 ** ** ** ** \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	Office		\$5,434.61							\$5 434 61
ney's Office *** standard State	Solano County District									TOTOLOG
** \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.86 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.72 Lara County District Rey's Office County District Rey's Office S9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$14,285.73 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$3,321.42 \$3,32	Attorney's Office	•				-				
ura County District \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 ney's Office \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 County District \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 ney's Office \$68,375.00 \$78,125.00 \$116,750.00 \$126,750.00 \$23.250.00 \$143.250.00	****	\$9,767.85	\$4,949.73	\$13,914.42	\$20,310.86	\$18,107.15	\$3,321.42	\$14.285.72		\$84 657 15
ney's Office County District County District Sy767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$68,375.00 \$78,125.00 \$116,750.00 \$126,750.00 \$23.250.00 \$143.250.00										
ney's Office \$5,434.61 \$5,434.61 \$2,434.61 \$2,434.61 \$2,434.61 \$2,434.61 \$2,434.61 \$2,434.73 \$2,0310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$14,285.73 \$28,375.00 \$143,285.73 \$143,285.73 \$143,285.73 \$143,285.00 \$143,250.00 <td>Ventura County District</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>************</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Ventura County District							************		
County District \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$68,375.00 \$78,125.00 \$116,750.00 \$150,000.00 \$126,750.00 \$23,750.00 \$143,250.00	Attorney's Office		\$5,434.61							\$5 434 61
ney's Office \$9,767.85 \$4,949.73 \$13,914.42 \$20,310.85 \$18,107.15 \$3,321.42 \$14,285.73 \$18,375.00 \$78,125.00 \$116,750.00 \$150,000.00 \$126,750.00 \$23,250.00 \$143,250.00	Yolo County District									- C. (C.)
\$68,375.00 \$78,125.00 \$116,750.00 \$150,000.00 \$126,750.00 \$23,250.00 \$143,250.00	Attorney's Office	\$9,767.85	\$4,949.73	\$13,914.42	\$20,310.85	\$18,107.15	\$3,321.42	\$14,285.73		\$84,657,15
CONCERT CONCER	Total	\$68,375.00	\$78,125.00	\$116,750.00	\$150,000.00	\$126,750.00	\$23,250.00	\$143,250,00	\$6.750.00	\$713.250.00

^{*} Contra Costa: Court further orders that these proceeds are designated as non-supplanting funds to be used by the Contra Costa County District Attorney's Office for the investigation and prosecution of cases pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq.

investigation and enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs and to augment the budget of the District Attorney's Office pertaining to the ** PLACER: The money paid to the Placer District Attorney as costs and penalties, pursuant to this Stipulation, shall be for the sole and portion of the District Attorney's budget.

Exhibit B-2 DISBURSEMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES - DISTRICT ATTORNEY

civil penalties for violations of Business and Professions Code section 17200. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206(b), said *** RIVERSIDE: Business and Professions Code §17200: "Defendant" shall pay \$5434.61 to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office as sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside; sums to be distributed as follows: 100 percent will be deposited into the consumer protection prosecution account in the General Fund of Riverside County.

17206, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney to augment the enforcement of consumer and environmental protection **** SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

***** SAN JOAQUIN: B&P 17200 Penalties shall be paid to the "Treasurer of San Joaquin County". Penalties allocated to H&S 25515 shall be paid to the "San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office". ***** SOLANO: Court further orders that these proceeds are designated as non-supplanting funds to be used by the Solano County District Attorney's Office only for the investigation and prosecution of environmental protection cases including, without limitation, those cases that can potentially be brought as unfair competition actions pursuant to B&P Code Section 17200 et seq.

State of California by the Attorney General, one or more district attorneys, or by one or more city attorneys, or any combination thereof, shall Pursuant to Government Code section 26506, any civil penalties recovered in a civil action "brought jointly in the name of the People of the be paid as approved by the court."

EXHIBIT B-3

Exhibit B-3 DISBURSEMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES - AGENCY

•	Disbursement Date From Date of Entry of Judgment				
	90 days	180 days	270 days		
Agency	Civil Penalties H&S §25515 Penalties	Civil	Civil Penalties - H&S §25515	Civil Penalties H&S §25189	Total Civil Penalties (after final
Alameda Co Berkeley City Toxics	Charles	renaities	Penalties	Penalties	disbursement)
Management Division		\$4,625.00			4
Alameda Co City of San Leandro		\$4,023.00			\$4,625.00
Environmental Services		\$4,625.00		·	4
Alameda Co Alameda County Department		74,023.00			\$4,625.00
of Environmental Health		\$7,125.00		66.750.00	4
Alameda Co Fremont City Fire Dept., Haz		\$7,123.00		\$6,750.00	\$13,875.00
Mat Unit		\$4,625.00	·	·	
Alameda Co Livermore/Pleasanton Fire		34,025.00			\$4,625.00
Dept., Haz Mat Unit		\$4,625.00			
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept.,		\$4,025.00			\$4,625.00
Hazardous Materials Program		\$23,125.00		·	
Department of Toxic Substances Control		\$23,123.00			\$23,125.00
				440	
El Dorado Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.				\$13,500.00	\$13,500.00
l sept.			Å4 000 00	İ	
Los Angeles Co Fire Health Hazmat			\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Los Angeles Co El Segundo City Fire			\$4,000.00		\$4,000.00
Marin Co Dept. of Public Works, Waste			\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Mngt. Div.			¢4 000 00	İ	
Napa Co Dept. of Env. Mngt.	,		\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Placer Co Roseville City Fire Dept.		\$4,625.00	\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Riverside Co Dept. of Health, Hazardous		34,023.00			\$4,625.00
Materials Division			¢4 000 00		
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt.			\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Dept.	\$57,000.00				
San Joaquin Co Environmental Health	337,000.00				\$57,000.00
Department	\$24,625.00		·	1	
San Mateo Co Environmental Health	724,023.00				\$24,625.00
Division	ĺ		da 222 5 5		_
Santa Clara Co Santa Clara Fire Dept.			\$2,000.00		\$2,000.00
Solano Co Department of Resource			\$1,000.00	<u> </u>	\$1,000.00
Management	ĺ	\$0.350.00			
Ventura Co Environmental Health Division		\$9,250.00			\$9,250.00
Simulation Division			64.000.5	ĺ	_
Yolo Co Environmental Health		¢0.250.00	\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Total	\$81,625.00	\$9,250.00	642.000.55		\$9,250.00
	701,023.00	\$71,875.00	\$13,000.00	\$20,250.00	\$186,750.00

EXHIBIT C

Exhibit C SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Chico State Research Project

COOKS COLLISION will fund a research project (the "Project") at California State University, Chico ("Chico State") that is focused on identifying a means for recycling by-products produced during the vehicle repair process (i.e., converting the waste byproduct into a useful product). The Project will also evaluate the viability of plant-based or biodegradable alternatives to conventional petroleum-based paint strippers and solvents.

The money allocated for this Project, \$150,000.00, will be distributed to, and managed by, the California State University Research Foundation. The Project will be managed by Dr. Joseph P. Greene, Department Chair and Professor, Chico State Department of Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering and Sustainable Manufacturing. Dr. Greene will serve as the Project's manager and point of contact. Dr. Randy M. Miller, Department Chair and Professor, Chico State Department of Physical/Analytical Chemistry, Dr. Nathan Anderson, Assistant Professor of Sustainable Manufacturing, and Dr. Lisa Ott, Assistant Professor of Analytical Chemistry, will also participate in managing and implementing the Project with Dr. Greene.

The Project will involve research projects undertaken by at least one faculty member and one or more students/research assistants at Chico State. The auto body-related products and waste streams that will be the subject of these research projects include:

- 1. Sand paper;
- 2. Sanding dust;
- 3. Paint and paint-related materials (paint, sealers, hardeners, and clear coats);
- 4. Seam sealers; and
- 5. Chemical paint strippers and solvents.

Each research project will include the following:

A. A description of the problem statement pertaining to the materials being researched (e.g., sand paper may be contaminated with zinc).

- B. The stated goal(s) of the research project. Generally, the goals of each research project will be to:
 - i. Identify the components of the waste stream, including hazardous contaminants, through chemical and physical testing.
 - ii. Develop a method and/or prototype device to separate the waste stream into its component parts.
 - iii. Identify a means of recycling the non-hazardous byproducts of the waste stream by using the materials as a substitute ingredient in a useful product.
 - iv. Specific to chemical paint strippers and solvents, the stated goals of the project will be to: a) identify, measure and compare the properties of plant-based/biodegradable alternatives to petroleum-based paint strippers and solvents; and b) evaluate the sustainability of switching to plant-based paint strippers and solvents in terms of, among other things, pollution and hazardous waste reduction.
- C. A final report. The team will summarize the research methodology, results, and conclusions from the project.

In addition to the above research project-specific reports, an annual report will be prepared summarizing the results and conclusions of the research undertaken on the above-referenced subject areas for the preceding calendar year, and describing how the Project's funds were used. This annual report shall be provided to the Plaintiff's representatives identified in the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction by December 1 of each calendar year until all funds have been exhausted.

Within thirty (30) days of completion, the final report referenced in section C shall be provided to the Plaintiff's representatives identified in the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction. This final report shall also be shared with the California Environmental Protection Agency ("CalEPA") and the Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") for possible public dissemination by those agencies. The final report shall also be made available to the public on Cooks Collision's and Chico State's websites.

EXHIBIT D-1

Exhibit D-1 (DISBURSEMENT OF COSTS - DISTRICT ATTORNEY

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	COSTS	
Alameda County District Attorney's Office	\$45,329.29	
Contra Costa County District Attorney's Office	\$44,129.29	
California District Attorneys Association - Statewide	\$600.00	
Circuit Prosecutor Project	φου.υυ	
Los Angeles Co. County District Attorney's Office	\$600.00	
Marin County District Attorney's Office	\$600.00	
Napa County District Attorney's Office	\$600.00	
Placer County District Attorney's Office *	\$44,429.28	
Riverside County District Attorney's Office **	\$600.00	
Sacramento County District Attorney's Office ***	\$43,229.28	
San Joaquin County District Attorney's Office	\$44,429.28	
San Mateo County District Attorney's Office	\$600.00	
Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office	\$600.00	
Solano County District Attorney's Office	\$43,229.29	
Ventura County District Attorney's Office	\$600.00	
Yolo County District Attorney's Office	\$43,229.29	
Total	\$312,805.00	

^{*} PLACER Costs: The money paid to the Placer District Attorney as costs and penalties, pursuant to this Stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs and to augment the budget of the District Attorney's Office pertaining to the investigation and enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

^{**} RIVERSIDE: "Defendant" shall pay \$600.00 as costs to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office. Said sum will be disbursed by the Payment Administrator and paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside.

^{***} SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as costs, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs expended in the enforcement of the consumer protection and environmental laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

EXHIBIT D-2

Exhibit D-2 DISBURSEMENT OF COSTS - AGENCY

Agency	Total Costs to Agency	
Alameda Co Berkeley City Toxics Management	\$180.00	
Division		
Alameda Co City of San Leandro Environmental	\$180.00	
Services		
Alameda Co Alameda County Department of	····	
Environmental Health	\$180.00	
Alameda Co Fremont City Fire Dept., Haz Mat		
Unit	\$180.00	
Alameda Co Livermore/Pleasanton Fire Dept.,	\$180.00	
Haz Mat Unit		
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept.,		
Hazardous Materials Program	\$150.00	
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$3,000.00	
•		
San Joaquin Co Environmental Health	\$9.14F.00	
Department	\$8,145.00	
Total	\$12,195.00	

EXHIBIT E

Exhibit E NOTICES

For the People:

NANCY E. O'MALLEY Alameda County District Attorney KEVIN WONG, SBN 215446 Deputy District Attorney 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 Telephone: (510) 383-8600

DIANA BECTON
Contra Costa County District Attorney
STACEY GRASSINI, SBN 154937
Deputy District Attorney
900 Ward Street
P.O. Box 670
Martinez, CA 94553-0150
Telephone: (925) 957-8604

VERN PIERSON El Dorado County District Attorney ROBERT E. NICHOLS, SBN 100028 Deputy District Attorney 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667 Telephone: (530) 621-6472

ALLISON HALEY
Napa County District Attorney
PATRICK COLLINS, SBN 138114
Deputy District Attorney
931 Parkway Mall
Napa, CA 94559
Telephone: (707) 253-4211

R. SCOTT OWENS
Placer County District Attorney
JANE CRUE, SBN 210122
Deputy District Attorney
10810 Justice Center Drive, Suite 240
Roseville, CA 95678
Telephone: (916) 543-8000

MICHAEL A. HESTRIN
Riverside County District Attorney
LAUREN R. MARTINEAU SBN 250982
Deputy District Attorney
3960 Orange Street, First Floor
Riverside, CA 92501-3707
Telephone: (951) 955-5400

TORI VERBER SALAZAR San Joaquin County District Attorney CELESTE KAISCH, SBN 234174 Deputy District Attorney 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 Telephone: (209) 468-2400

JEFFREY F. ROSEN Santa Clara County District Attorney BUD PORTER, SBN 197277 70 W Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: (408) 299-7400

KRISHNA A. ABRAMS Solano County District Attorney DIANE M. NEWMAN, SBN 179926 Deputy District Attorney 675 Texas Street, 4th Floor, # 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533-6340 Telephone: (707) 784-6800

GREGORY D. TOTTEN
Ventura County District Attorney
MITCHELL F. DISNEY, SBN 138114
Senior Deputy District Attorney
5720 Ralston Street, Suite 300
Ventura, CA 93003
Telephone: (805) 662-1750

JEFF W. REISIG
District Attorney of Yolo County
DAVID J. IREY, SBN 142864
Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
301 Second Street
Woodland, CA 95695
Telephone: (530) 666-8428

For Defendants:

JENNIFER HARTMAN KING Hartman King PC 520 Capitol Mall, Suite 750 Sacramento, CA 95814 HartmanKing@KingWilliamsLaw.com