

# **COUNTY OF YOLO**

Health and Human Services Agency

## Community Services Action Board

# Quarter 2 – 2018 – Performance Measure Report

#### Food Bank

<u>Vision</u>: To have fewer people in Yolo County experience hunger and food insecurity <u>Outcomes</u>:

		Quarter 1 Jan-Mar	Quarter 2 Apr-June	Quarter 3 Jul-Sept	Quarter 4 Oct-Dec		
Perfe	ormance Measure 1: How much did we do?						
1.1	<ul> <li># of pounds of food drive products distributed through Partner Agencies</li> <li>Goal: 95,000 lbs./year</li> </ul>						
	Pounds Distributed in Quarter	13,893	27,647				
	Pounds Distributed to Date	13,893	41,540				
	% of Goal	14.6%	44%				
Perfe	ormance Measure 2: How well did we do it?						
2.1	<ul> <li># of households receiving food assistance through Pa</li> <li>Goal: 126,800 (duplicated)</li> </ul>	rtner Agenci	es				
	# of households served in Quarter	26,970	18,736				
	# of households served to Date	26,970	45,706				
	% of Goal	21.3%	36%				
Perfe	ormance Measure 3: Is anyone better off?						
3.1	<ul> <li>% (#) of households who reported improved food security</li> <li>Goal: None; Year 1 = Baseline Data</li> </ul>						
	# (%) of households	not yet recording	not yet recording				

## Fourth and Hope

<u>Vision</u>: To provide persons experiencing homelessness with a safe and nurturing living environment as they search for permanent housing

#### Outcomes:

		Quarter 1 Jan-Mar	Quarter 2 Apr-June	Quarter 3 Jul-Sept	Quarter 4 Oct-Dec		
Perf	ormance Measure 1: How much did we do?						
	<ul> <li># of participants provided emergency shelter</li> <li>Goal: 400 individuals/year</li> </ul>						
1.1	Participants Served in Quarter	106	117				
	Participants Served to Date	106	225				
	% of Goal	27%	56%				
Perf	ormance Measure 2: How well did we do it?						
	Average length of stay for leavers (people who left dur remained past the timeframe) in days • Goal: < 90 days	ing the time	period) and s	stayers (peop	ole who		
2.1	Ave length of stay for leavers/stayers in Quarter	65 days	78 days				
	Ave length of stay for leavers/stayers to date	65 days	71.5 days				
	% of Maximum Time	72%	79%				
Perfe	ormance Measure 3: Is anyone better off?						
	<ul> <li># (%) of participants who secured permanent housing a</li> <li>Goal: 200, (50% of total 400 participants served)</li> </ul>	at program e	exit				
3.1	# (%) of participants exit to permanent housing in Quarter	15 (14%)	21 (18%)				
	# (%) of participants exit to permanent housing to Date	15 (14%)	36 (16%)				
	% of Goal	8%	18%				
	# (%) of participants who increased and/or maintained their non-cash benefits including CalFresh and Medi-cal						
	• Goal: 320 (80% of total 400 participants served)			-	-		
3.2	# (%) participants increased/maintained in Quarter	76 (72%)	91 (78%)				
	# (%) participants increased/maintained to Date	76 (72%)	167 (74%)				
	% of Goal	24%	52%				
	<ul> <li># (%) of participants who increased their total income</li> <li>Goal: 80 (20% of total 400 participants served)</li> </ul>						
3.3	# (%) of participants who increased income in Quarter	8 (8%)	15 (13%)				
	# (%) of participants who increased income to Date	8 (8%)	23 (10%)				
	% of Goal	10%	29%				

## Meals on Wheels

<u>Vision:</u> To have fewer seniors in Yolo County experience food insecurity <u>Outcomes:</u>

		Quarter 1 Jan-Mar	Quarter 2 Apr-June	Quarter 3 Jul-Sept	Quarter 4 Oct-Dec		
Perfo	ormance Measure 1: How much did we do?						
	<ul> <li># of low-income seniors served (unduplicated)</li> <li>Goal: 75/year</li> </ul>						
1.1	Seniors Served in Quarter	55	9				
	Seniors Served to Date	55	64				
	% of Goal	73%	85%				
Perfo	ormance Measure 2: How well did we do it?						
	<ul> <li># of individuals offered referral to support resources</li> <li>Goal: 75/year</li> </ul>						
2.1	Individuals Offered Referral in Quarter	55	9				
	Individuals Offered Referral to Date	55	64				
	% of Goal	73%	85%				
Perfo	ormance Measure 3: Is anyone better off?						
	<ul> <li># (%) of individuals who reported improved daily nutri</li> <li>Goal: 75 individuals, (100% of total seniors served)</li> </ul>						
3.1	# (%) of individuals reporting improvement in Quarter	55 (100%)	9 (100%)				
	# (%) of individuals reporting improvement to Date	55 (100%)	64 (100%)				
	% of Goal	73%	85%				
	<ul> <li># (%) of individuals who reported decreased food insecurity</li> <li>Goal: 63 individuals, (84% of total seniors served)</li> </ul>						
3.2	# (%) of individuals reporting in Quarter	25 (45%)	5 (8%)				
	# (%) of individuals reporting to Date	25 (45%)	30 (47%)				
	% of Goal	40%	48%				
	<ul> <li># (%) of individuals who improved nutritional intake</li> <li>Goal: 37 individuals, (50% of total seniors served)</li> </ul>						
3.3	# (%) of individuals who improved in Quarter	39 (71%)	3 (33%)				
	# (%) of individuals who improved to Date	39 (71%)	42 (66%)				
	% of Goal	105%	114%				

## Yolo County Children's Alliance

Vision: To improve the lives of low-income persons who are unstably housed or experiencing homelessness in Yolo County

#### Outcomes:

		Quarter 1 Jan-Mar	Quarter 2 Apr-June	Quarter 3 Jul-Sept	Quarter 4 Oct-Dec	
Perfo	ormance Measure 1: How much did we do?					
	<ul> <li># of participants provided housing related assistance</li> <li>Goal: 40 individuals/year</li> </ul>					
1.1	Individuals Served in Quarter	180	116			
	Individuals Served to Date	180	296			
	% of Goal	450%	740%			
Perfo	ormance Measure 2: How well did we do it?					
	Average length of time from program placement to pla • Goal: < 120 days	cement in pe	ermanent hou	using		
2.1	Ave length of time to permanent housing	25 days	28 days			
	% of Maximum Time	21%	23%			
Perfo	ormance Measure 3: Is anyone better off?					
	<ul> <li># (%) of participants who secured permanent housing</li> <li>Goal: 32 households, (80% of total 40 individuals served)</li> </ul>					
3.1	# (%) of participants exit to permanent housing in Quarter	6 (3%)	6 (5%)			
0.1	# (%) of participants exit to permanent housing to Date	6 (3%)	12 (4%)			
	% of Goal	19%	38%			
	<ul> <li># (%) of participants who increased and/or maintained their non-cash benefits including CalFresh and Medi-cal</li> <li>Goal: 32, (80% of total 40 individuals served)</li> </ul>					
3.2	# (%) participants increased/maintained in Quarter	27 (15%)	16 (14%)			
	# (%) participants increased/maintained to Date	27 (15%)	43 (15%)			
	% of Goal	84%	134%			
	<ul> <li># (%) of participants who increased their total income</li> <li>Goal: 8 (20% of total 40 individuals served)</li> </ul>					
3.3	# (%) of participants who increased income in Quarter	2 (1%)	2 (2%)			
	# (%) of participants who increased income to Date	2 (1%)	4 (1%)			
	% of Goal	25%	50%			

#### Davis Community Meals and Housing

<u>Vision</u>: To provide persons experiencing homelessness with a safe and nurturing living environment as they search for permanent housing

#### Outcomes:

		Quarter 1 Jan-Mar	Quarter 2 Apr-June	Quarter 3 Jul-Sept	Quarter 4 Oct-Dec		
Perfo	ormance Measure 1: How much did we do?						
	<ul> <li># of families served in the Family Transitional Housing Prog</li> <li>Goal: 10 families/year</li> </ul>	<ul> <li># of families served in the Family Transitional Housing Program (FTHP)</li> <li>Goal: 10 families/vear</li> </ul>					
1.1	Families Served in Quarter	3	4				
	Families Served to Date	3	7				
	% of Goal	30%	70%				
Perf	ormance Measure 2: How well did we do it?						
2.1	Average length of stay for leavers (people who left the FTHP during the time period) and stayers (people who remained in the FTHP past the timeframe) in days <ul> <li>Goal: &lt; 18 months</li> </ul>						
2	Ave length of stay for leavers/stayers	8.5 months					
	% of Maximum Time	47%					
Perfo	ormance Measure 3: Is anyone better off?						
	<ul> <li># (%) of households in FTHP who secured permanent housing at program exit</li> <li>Goal: 8 households, (80% of total 10 families served)</li> </ul>						
3.1	# (%) of households who exit to permanent housing in Quarter	1 (33%)	1 (33%)				
	# (%) of households who exit to permanent housing to Date	1 (33%)	2 (29%)				
	% of Goal	12.5%	25%				
	# (%) of households in FTHP who increased and/or maintained their non-cash benefits including CalFresh and Medi-cal						
	• Goal: 8 (80% of total 10 families served)						
3.2	# (%) households increased/maintained in Quarter	3 (100%)	4 (100%)				
	# (%) households increased/maintained to Date	3 (100%)	7 (100%)				
	% of Goal	38%	88%				
	<ul> <li># (%) of households in FTHP who increased their total income</li> <li>Goal: 2 (20% of total 10 families served)</li> </ul>						
3.3	# (%) of households who increased income in Quarter	1 (33%)	1 (33%)				
	# (%) of households who increased income to Date	1 (33%)	2 (29%)				
	% of Goal	50%	100%				