1	JEFF W. REISIG District Attorney of Yolo County		
2	DAVID J. IREY, SBN 142864 FILED		
3	DAVID GREEN, SBN 287176 SFP 1 7 2018		
4	Deputy District Attorney 301 Second Street BY N. PLOWMAN		
5	Woodland, CA 95695 Tolorboro (520) 666, 8428		
6	Telephone: (530) 666-8428 Email: david.irey@yolocounty.org		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, People of the State of California		
8	[Additional Plaintiff's counsel listed as signatories]		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF YOLO		
11	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) Case No. CV 18-1578		
12	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL		
13	v.) JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT) INJUNCTION		
14			
15	WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC., a) Exempt from fees per Gov. Code, § 6103		
16	California corporation; MRS. GOOCH'S NATURAL) FOOD MARKETS, INC., a California corporation;		
17	and WFM-WO, INC., a Delaware corporation,		
18	Defendants.)		
19			
20			
21	Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, generally appearing through its		
22	attorneys, Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney of Yolo County; Nancy E. O'Malley, District Attorney of		
23	Alameda County; Diana Becton, District Attorney of Contra Costa County; Jackie Lacey, District		
24	Attorney of Los Angeles County; Allison Haley, District Attorney of Napa County; R. Scott Owens,		
25	District Attorney of Placer County; Michael A. Hestrin, District Attorney of Riverside County;		
26	Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney of Ventura County; Lisa A. Smittcamp, District Attorney of		
27	Fresno County; Michael N. Feuer, City Attorney of Los Angeles; Dean D. Flippo, District Attorney		
28	of Monterey County; Tony Rackauckas, District Attorney of Orange County; Anne Marie Schubert,		

District Attorney of Sacramento County; Mara W. Elliott, City Attorney of San Diego; Summer Stephan, District Attorney of San Diego County; Joyce E. Dudley, District Attorney of Santa Barbara County; Jeffrey F. Rosen, District Attorney of Santa Clara County; Jill R. Ravitch, District Attorney of Sonoma County; George Gascón, District Attorney of San Francisco County; Dan Dow, District Attorney of San Luis Obispo County; Edward S. Berberian, Jr., District Attorney of Marin County; and Stephen M. Wagstaffe, District Attorney of San Mateo County (collectively, "the People"); and Defendants WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC., MRS. GOOCH'S NATURAL FOOD MARKETS, INC., and WFM-WO, INC., generally appearing through their attorneys, Hartman King PC by Jennifer Hartman King, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. This Court may enter this Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction ("Final Judgment") before the taking of any proof and without trial or adjudication of any fact or law;
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the parties to this Final Judgment;
- 3. This Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the People's Complaint;
- 4. Entry of this Final Judgment is not an admission by Defendants regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of any law;
- 5. This Final Judgment shall be binding upon the People and upon Defendants; this Final Judgment shall in no way bind, or be interpreted to bind, Amazon.com or any of its subsidiaries, affiliates or sister companies, except as otherwise provided herein pursuant to the terms set forth in Paragraph 4.1; and
- 6. The People and Defendants (collectively, "the Parties") waive any right to set aside the Final Judgment through any collateral attack, and further waive their right to appeal from the Final Judgment.

26 | | / / /

27 | | / /

28 ||//

NOW THEREFORE, the People and Defendants having requested that this Court enter this Final Judgment, and the Court having considered the Final Judgment reached between the Parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

1. JURISDICTION

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Final Judgment.

2. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

This Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the Covered Matters (as defined in Paragraph 6 below), and is in the best interest of the public.

3. **DEFINITIONS**

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Final Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Health and Safety Code section 25100 et seq. (Hazardous Waste Control Law), section 25500 et seq. (Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Law), and the regulations promulgated under these sections.

"Certified Unified Program Agency" or "CUPA" is defined in Health and Safety Code sections 25123.7(b) and 25404(a), and means the agency that, pursuant to Chapter 6.11 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, and Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, is certified by the California Environmental Protection Agency with the jurisdictional responsibility and authority to implement and enforce certain state environmental program requirements specified in Health and Safety Code section 25404(c)(1).

"Facilities" means the stores and facilities listed in Exhibit A that Defendants formerly or currently own or operate, and all other Whole Foods Market stores and facilities within the State of California that Defendants, or any respective successor corporation or assignee, owns or operates subsequent to the effective date of this Final Judgment.

"Participating Agency" means an agency that has been designated by the CUPA to administer one or more state environmental programs on behalf of the CUPA.

- 3 -

4. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

4.1. Applicability

The provisions of this injunction are applicable to Defendants and their respective successor corporations or assignees, and all persons, partnerships, corporations, and other entities that have Direct Operational Control over Defendants' hazardous waste program and that are subject to the jurisdiction of the courts in the State of California, acting under, on behalf of, or at the direction of Defendants, or their respective successor corporations or assigns, with notice of this injunction. "Direct Operational Control" shall be interpreted to mean any person, partnership, corporation, or other entity that actively participates in the operation of the hazardous waste programs in Facilities located in the State of California.

4.2. General Injunctive Provision

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25515.6, and 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendants are permanently enjoined from violating Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and the regulations promulgated under these chapters. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment shall relieve Defendants from prospectively complying with any and all applicable laws and regulations, nor shall any term of this Final Judgment extend to Defendants' facilities outside the State of California.

4.3. Specific Injunctive Provisions

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25515.6, and 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendants are enjoined, restrained, and prohibited from doing any of the following:

- 4.3.a. Disposing, or causing the disposal, of hazardous waste at a point not authorized by law, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25189 and 25189.2;
- 4.3.b. Transporting, or causing to be transported, any hazardous waste to an unauthorized location in California, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25189.5;
- 4.3.c. Transporting, transferring custody of, or causing to be transported in California any hazardous waste unless the transporter is registered to transport hazardous waste, in violation of

Health and Safety Code section 25163;

- 4.3.d. Failing to determine if a waste generated at the Facilities is a hazardous waste, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.11 and 66260.200(c);
- 4.3.e. Failing to properly manage, identify the date of accumulation, or label containers of hazardous waste at the Facilities, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34;
- 4.3.f. Failing to lawfully and timely dispose of all accumulated hazardous waste at each Facility, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34;
- 4.3.g. Unlawfully storing, handling, and accumulating hazardous waste, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25123.3 and California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.34 and 66265.173;
- 4.3.h. Failing to conduct inspections of hazardous waste storage areas at each Facility, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.34 and 66265.174;
- 4.3.i. Failing to timely cause to be prepared and filed with the Department of Toxic Substance Control ("DTSC") a hazardous waste manifest for all hazardous waste that is transported, or submitted for transportation, for offsite handling, treatment, storage, disposal, or any combination thereof, from any Facility, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.23;
- 4.3.j. Failing to contact the owner or operator of a designated facility that was to receive hazardous waste from Defendants to determine the status of the hazardous waste, in the event Defendants have not received a copy of the manifest signed by all transporters and the facility operator within thirty-five (35) days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42;
- 4.3.k. Failing to timely notify the DTSC by filing an exception report concerning a treatment, storage, or disposal facility's failure to return any executed manifest, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42;
 - 4.3.1. Failing to maintain copies of hazardous waste manifests for three (3) years, in

,, |

violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.40;

- 4.3.m. Unlawfully failing to segregate incompatible hazardous waste items, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.177;
- 4.3.n. Failing to comply with employee training obligations pertaining to the handling of hazardous waste at the Facilities, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34(d) and 40 C.F.R. section 262.16(b)(9)(iii);
- 4.3.o. Failing to properly manage, mark, and store universal waste at each Facility in violation of the standards for universal waste management found in California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66273.33 through 66273.36; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste as required by Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations in California Code of Regulations, title 22, including, but not limited to, section 66262.34;
- 4.3.p. Failing to keep a record of each shipment of universal waste sent from any Facility, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66273.39; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste in violation of Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations in California Code of Regulations, title 22, including, but not limited to, section 66262.34;
- 4.3.q. Failing to treat returned or discarded non-empty aerosol cans at the Facilities as universal waste or hazardous waste, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66273.1 et seq.;
- 4.3.r. Failing to establish, implement or submit to the responsible CUPA, a hazardous materials business plan for each of the Facilities, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25505, 25507, and 25508, and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2650;
- 4.3.s. Failing to implement, maintain, and comply with an employee training program on hazardous materials in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25505(a)(4), and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2659; and
- 4.3.t. Failing to immediately report any release or threatened release of a reportable quantity of any hazardous material from any Facility into the environment, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25510.

4.4. Compliance Assurance Program

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code section 25181 and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendants shall implement the following compliance assurance programs to augment the injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment:

- 4.4.a. Training. Defendants shall ensure that all employees at any of their Facilities are thoroughly familiar with proper waste handling and emergency procedures, relevant to their responsibilities during normal facility operation and emergencies.
 - 4.4.a.1. For any training conducted in accordance with 4.4.a., Defendants shall maintain documentation sufficient to identify which employees received training and on what dates. Such records may be maintained electronically. Defendants shall maintain any documentation for a period of five (5) years from the date the training was conducted, in a manner that allows retrieval of the records upon request by governmental inspection within five (5) business days.
 - 4.4.a.2. Defendants shall require employees to participate in a training program to familiarize them with hazardous waste handling and emergency procedures, relevant to the employee's responsibilities during normal operations and emergencies, within six (6) months of hire. Employees shall not work in unsupervised positions until they have completed such training program.

 Defendants shall review records quarterly to ensure that this requirement is met.
 - 4.4.a.3. Defendants shall promptly make available upon request by any CUPA Inspector, peace officer, agent of the Department of Justice, California Environmental Protection Agency, the DTSC, or District Attorney all training records maintained for each Facility. In the event that such records are not available during a governmental inspection, Defendants shall provide such records to the requesting body within five (5) business days.
 - 4.4.a.4. To the extent any one of Defendants' Facilities generates more than 1,000 kg/month of hazardous waste, or 1 kg/month of acute hazardous waste, or 100 kg/month of acute spill residue or soil, then Defendants shall additionally

28

comply with the personnel training requirements contained in 22 CCR § 66265.16, to the extent applicable, for that particular Facility's employees.

4.4.b. California-Based Compliance Team.

Defendants shall expend a minimum of FOUR HUNDRED AND FIVE 4.4.b.1. THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$405,600.00), at least EIGHTY ONE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED TWENTY DOLLARS (\$81,120.00) of which shall be spent per year on hazardous materials and hazardous waste compliance services provided by a California-based business with cumulatively at least five (5) years of experience with the applicable requirements of Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code (the "California-Based Colleague"). Defendants shall engage the California-Based Colleague for at least five (5) years after the effective date of this Final Judgment for regulatory compliance counseling, advice and assistance on matters pertaining to Defendants' hazardous waste and hazardous materials compliance program and ensuring compliance with the injunctive terms of this Final Judgment. No funds expended under the terms of this provision shall be used for services related to litigation or enforcement defense-related matters. Within 30 days of entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall serve each person listed in Exhibit B with a statement identifying the name, address, and telephone number of the designated California-Based Colleague. Defendants shall notify each person listed in Exhibit B within 30 days of any subsequent change to the previously identified and designated California-Based Colleague.

4.4.b.2. Defendants shall also collectively employ, for at least five (5) years after the effective date of this Final Judgment, at least one half-time employee, or equivalent, responsible for supporting environmental compliance at the Facilities (the "California Compliance Employee"). The California Compliance Employee shall be familiar with the requirements of this Final Judgment, and shall work pursuant to the advice of the California-Based Colleague in assisting to oversee Defendants' hazardous waste and hazardous materials compliance program. The

California Compliance Employee's responsibilities shall include, among other things, reviewing the Facilities' training records on a quarterly basis to ensure that each employee at each Facility has received the training required under California law and the terms of this Final Judgment, and contacting Facilities to obtain copies of all hazardous materials business plan and hazardous waste inspection reports, notices of violation, notices to comply, and return to compliance statements, if any, issued to or created for the Facilities during the prior calendar year. Defendants may employ the California Compliance Employee beyond half-time for any other purposes, in their sole discretion.

4.4.b.3. Compliance Certification. Within 60 days after the end of each year of the five (5) year period set forth in Paragraph 4.4.b.1., Defendants shall provide the persons listed in Exhibit B with a statement regarding Defendants' compliance with Paragraphs 4.4.b.1 and 4.4.b.2. of this Final Judgment. The statement shall include a summary description of the actions taken by the California-Based Colleague in the previous calendar year, and a copy of all hazardous materials business plan and hazardous waste inspection reports, notices of violation, notices to comply, and return to compliance statements, if any, issued to the Facilities during the prior calendar year and that are in the possession of the California Compliance Employee. The Facilities shall be instructed to make a copy of all such records available to the California Compliance Employee. The statement shall be signed by a responsible corporate officer or the California Compliance Employee and include the following certification:

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all documents submitted herewith; and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete.

5. CIVIL PENALTIES, SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, AND COSTS

5.1. Civil Penalties

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall pay ONE MILLION TWO HUNDRED TWO THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$1,202,800.00) as civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25189 and 25515 and Business and Professions Code section 17206, in accordance with the terms of Exhibits C-1 and C-2.

5.2. Supplemental Environmental Projects

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall collectively pay TWO HUNDRED TWO THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$202,800.00) for supplemental environmental projects identified in, and in accordance with the terms of, Exhibit D.

5.3. Reimbursement of Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall collectively pay TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$237,900.00) for reimbursement of attorney's fees, costs of investigation, and other costs of enforcement, to the entities identified in, and in accordance with the terms of, Exhibits E-1 and E-2.

5.4. Payments and Expenditures

The payment of all civil penalties, reimbursement of cost payments, and other expenditures set forth in Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3, above, shall be made by checks and delivered to the District Attorney's Office for the County of Yolo, Attention: David Irey, for distribution pursuant to the terms of this Final Judgment.

6. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT

- 6.1. This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims, violations and causes of action arising from the matters and allegations set forth in the Complaint as to Defendants' Facilities until the entry of this Final Judgment ("Covered Matters").
- 6.2. Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation, any violation that occurs after the filing of this

22.

Final Judgment; any claim, violation, or cause of action against Defendants' independent contractors or subcontractors; and separate and independent violations arising out of matters or allegations that are not set forth in the Complaint, whether known or unknown. Reserved Claims also include any claims or causes of action against Defendants for performance of cleanup, corrective action, or response action for any actual past or future releases, spills, or disposals of hazardous waste or hazardous substances that were caused or contributed to by Defendants at or from any of Defendants' Facilities.

- 6.3. In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People based on any Reserved Claim, Defendants cannot assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting. This Paragraph does not affect any statute of limitations, if any, which may be applicable to any Reserved Claim, and does not prohibit Defendants from asserting any statute of limitations or other legal or equitable defenses that may be applicable to any Reserved Claim.
- 6.4. Defendants covenant not to pursue any civil or administrative claims against the People or against any agency of the State of California, or any county or city in the State of California, or any CUPA, Participating Agency or local agency (collectively, "Agencies"), or against any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents, or attorneys, arising out of or related to any Covered Matter and arising before entry of this Final Judgment; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Defendants, Defendants retain any and all rights and defenses against such Agencies.

7. EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended, nor shall it be construed, to preclude the People or any state, county, city or local agency, department, board, or CUPA from exercising its authority under any law, statute, or regulation.

8. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision shall not preclude them from later enforcing the

same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all defenses to any such later enforcement action. Prior to taking any action to enforce the injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment by civil contempt or pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17207, the People shall provide Defendants with at least ten (10) days' notice before filing any such action.

9. INTERPRETATION

This Final Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties hereto. Accordingly, any and all rules of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment.

10. INTEGRATION

This Final Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for herein. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Final Judgment. No oral representations have been made or relied upon other than as expressly set forth herein.

11. FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Defendants from meeting any more-stringent requirement that may be imposed by applicable existing law or by any change in the applicable law. To the extent any future statutory or regulatory change makes Defendants' obligations less stringent than those provided for in this Final Judgment, Defendants' compliance with the changed law shall be deemed compliance with this Final Judgment; however, any change in law or regulation shall not reduce or diminish Defendants' obligations to comply with Paragraph 4.4.

12. TERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

Defendants' obligations to engage in a compliance program pursuant to Paragraph 4.4 of this Final Judgment shall terminate five (5) years after the Effective Date of this Final Judgment provided that Defendants first demonstrate that they paid all amounts owed per Exhibits C-1, C-2, E-1, and E-2, and expended all amounts required by Exhibit D.

13. NOTICES

Unless otherwise specified in this Final Judgment, all notices under this Final Judgment shall be made in writing, by both email and mail, and addressed to the persons identified in Exhibit B.

Any Party may, by written notice to the other Parties, change its designated notice recipient or notice address.

14. CONTINUING JURISDICTION

The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the injunctive terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment.

15. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

Defendants shall permit any duly authorized representative of the People to inspect and copy records and documents relevant to determine compliance with the terms of this Final Judgment. This paragraph shall not limit the People's authority access or obtain information, records, and documents pursuant to any other statute or regulation.

16. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Defendants shall make no request of the People to pay their attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs, or any other costs of litigation or investigation incurred to date.

17. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

The stipulation for entry of this Final Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts. For purposes of this Final Judgment, facsimile signatures shall be deemed originals, and the parties agree to exchange original signatures as promptly as possible.

18. INCORPORATION OF EXHIBITS

Exhibits "A" through "E-2" are incorporated herein by reference.

19. MODIFICATION

The injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment may be modified only on noticed motion by one of the parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the Parties and the approval of the Court.

20. TERMINATION OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION

At any time after this Final Judgment has been in effect for five (5) years, and Defendants

1	have paid and expended all amounts required under the Final Judgment, Defendants may move to		
2	terminate the injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure		
3	section 533 and Civil Code section 3424. After this Final Judgment has been in effect for seven (7)		
4	years, and Defendants have paid and expended all amounts required under the Final Judgment, the		
5	injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 will terminate automatically.		
6	21. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL JUDGMENT		
7	This Final Judgment shall become effective upon entry. The Parties need not file a Notice of		
8	Entry of Judgment.		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
10	FOR THE PEOPLE:		
11			
12	JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney		
13	County of Yolo, State of California		
14	DATED: 9/6/18 By:		
15	CONVIDEREY		
16	Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney		
17			
18	ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California		
19			
20	DAIFD: 91/16 By:		
21	DOUGLAS WHALFY Supervising Deputy District Attorney		
22			
23	NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney		
24	County of Alameda, State of California		
25	DATED:		
26	By:		
27	Assistant District Attorney		
28			

1	have paid and expended all amounts required under the Final Judgment, Defendants may move to		
2	terminate the injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure		
3	section 533 and Civil Code section 3424. After this Final Judgment has been in effect for seven (7)		
4			
5	injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 will terminate automatically.		
6	21. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL JUDGMENT		
7	This Final Judgment shall become effective upon entry. The Parties need not file a Notice of		
8	Entry of Judgment.		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
10	FOR THE PEOPLE:		
11			
12	JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney		
13	County of Yolo, State of California		
14	DATED: By:		
15	DAVID J. IREY Assistant Chief Dometry District Ave		
16	Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney		
17			
18	ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California		
19			
20	DATED: By:		
21	DOUGLAS WHALEY Supervising Deputy District Attorney		
22			
23	NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney		
24	County of Alameda, State of California		
25	G-22-18 (2.//)		
26	DATED: 5-23-18 By: KENNETH A. MIFSUD		
27	Assistant District Attorney		
28			
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

- 11		
1		DIANE BECTON, District Attorney
2		County of Contra Costa, State of California
3	DATED: 6/1/8	By: Muc N. Dussin
4		STACEY N. GRASSINI
5	,	Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney County of Fresno, State of California
8		County of Fresho, State of Camornia
9	DATED:	By:
10		SABRINA D. ASHJIAN Deputy District Attorney
11		_ opacy
12	ž ==	MOUATI M PRIJED C'. Au
13	*	MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney City of Los Angeles, State of California
14		
15	DATED:	By:
16		Deputy City Attorney
17		
18		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney
19		County of Los Angeles, State of California
20	D 1 (1177)	
21	DATED:	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
22		Deputy District Attorney
23		
	Ä	EDWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., District Attorney
24		County of Marin, State of California
25	DATED:	Ву:
26		ANDRES H. PEREZ
27		Deputy District Attorney
28		

1 2		DIANE BECTON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
3 4 5	DATED:	By:STACEY N. GRASSINI Deputy District Attorney
6 7		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney County of Fresno, State of California
8 9 10	DATED: <u>5 29 2018</u>	By: A.
11 12 13		MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney City of Los Angeles, State of California
14 15 16	DATED:	By: JESSICA B. BROWN Deputy City Attorney
17 18		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
202122	DATED:	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT Deputy District Attorney
232425		EDWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., District Attorney County of Marin, State of California
26 27 28	DATED:	By: ANDRES H. PEREZ Deputy District Attorney

1 2	e v	DIANE BECTON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
3	DATED:	Ву:
5		STACEY N. GRASSINI Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney County of Fresno, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By:
10		SABRINA D. ASHJIAN Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney
13		City of Los Angeles, State of California
14	1 1.	
15	DATED: 42118	JESSICA B. BROWN
16		Deputy City Attorney
17	, k	
18		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney
19		County of Los Angeles, State of California
20	DATED:	By:
21	A Addition of the Addition of	DANIEL J. WRIGHT
22		Deputy District Attorney
23		
24		EDWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., District Attorney County of Marin, State of California
25		County of Marin, State of Camornia
26	DATED:	Ву:
27		ANDRES H. PEREZ
1		Deputy District Attorney
28		

=		DIANE BECTON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
		,
DATED:		By:
		STACEY N. GRASSINI Deputy District Attorney
		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
		County of Fresno, State of California
D. 4 7777		_
DATED:		By:SABRINA D. ASHJIAN
		Deputy District Attorney
		MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney
		City of Los Angeles, State of California
DATED:		By:
		JESSICA B. BROWN
		Deputy City Attorney
		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angêles, State of California
	1/12/2	1/1/1
DATED:	6/15/2018	By: May / Might
	×	DANIEL J. WRIGHT Deputy District Attorney
		1 JV
		EDWARD & DEDDERIAN IN Division
		EDWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., District Attorney County of Marin, State of California
DATED:		By:ANDRES H. PEREZ
		Deputy District Attorney
	DATED:	DATED:

1 2		DIANE BECTON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
3	DATED:	By:STACEY N. GRASSINI
4		STACEY N. GRASSINI Deputy District Attorney
5		
6		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
7	N	County of Fresno, State of California
8	DATED:	D
9	DATED:	By:SABRINA D. ASHJIAN
11	* 1	Deputy District Attorney
12		
13		MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney City of Los Angeles, State of California
14		
15	DATED:	By:
16		Deputy City Attorney
17		
18		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney
19		County of Los Angeles, State of California
20	DATED:	By:
21	DATED.	DANIEL J. WRIGHT
22		Deputy District Attorney
23	9	
24		EDWARD S. BERBERIAN, JR., District Attorney County of Marin, State of California
25		
26	DATED: 6/14/18	By: Muches H. Leve
27	-	ANDRES H. PEREZ Deputy District Attorney
28	¥	

1 2 3 4 5 5	DATED: May 29, 2018	DEAN D. FLIPPO, District Attorney County of Monterey, State of California By: ANNE M. MICHAELS Assistant District Attorney
7 8		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
9 10 11	DATED:	By: PATRICK COLLINS Deputy District Attorney
12 13 14		TONY RACKAUCKAS, District Attorney County of Orange, State of California
15 16	DATED:	By: WILLIAM G. FALLON Deputy District Attorney
17 18 19		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney County of Placer, State of California
202122	DATED:	By: JANE CRUE Deputy District Attorney
232425		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
26 27 28	DATED:	By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney

1 2			DEAN D. FLIPPO, District Attorney County of Monterey, State of California
3	DATED		D.
4	DATED:		By:ANNE M. MICHAELS
5			Assistant District Attorney
6			
7			ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
8			County of Napa, State of California
9	DATED:	5/21/18	By: 7 / / / /
10			PATRICK COLLINS Deputy District Attorney
11			Deputy District Attorney
12			
13	R3		TONY RACKAUCKAS, District Attorney County of Orange, State of California
14			<i>y y y y y y y y y y</i>
15	DATED:		Ву:
16			WILLIAM G. FALLON Deputy District Attorney
17			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ĺ			R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney
18			County of Placer, State of California
19			
20	DATED:		By:
21			Deputy District Attorney
22			
23			MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
24	134		County of Riverside, State of California
25			
26	DATED:		By:LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
27			Deputy District Attorney
28			

	II	
1		DEAN D. FLIPPO, District Attorney
2		County of Monterey, State of California
3	DATED.	By:
4		ANNE M. MICHAELS
5	,	Assistant District Attorney
6		
7	· ·	ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
8		or rapa, state of Camonia
9	DATED:	Ву:
10		PATRICK COLLINS Deputy District Attorney
11		
12	x 1	TONY RACKAUCKAS, District Attorney
13		County of Orange, State of California
14	DATED. May 24 2018	hy
15	DATED: /Nay 34, 2018	By: WILLIAM G. FALLON
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		
18		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney
19		County of Placer, State of California
20	DATED:	Ву:
21		JANE CRUE Deputy District Attorney
22		- The state of the
23		MICHAELA LIFCTODI DOLLAR
24		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
25		
26	DATED:	By:LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
27		Deputy District Attorney
28		

1 2		DEAN D. FLIPPO, District Attorney County of Monterey, State of California
3	D 1 5000	
4	DATED:	By:ANNE M. MICHAELS
5		Assistant District Attorney
6		
7		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
8		County of Napa, State of California
9	DATED:	By:
10		By: PATRICK COLLINS Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		TONY RACKAUCKAS, District Attorney
13	7	County of Orange, State of California
14	DATED:	
15	DATED:	By:WILLIAM G. FALLON
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		
18	* 1	R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney County of Placer, State of California
19	* * * · ·	
20	DATED: 5/21/18	By: / Jan Um
21	, ,	JANÉ CRUE Deputy District Attorney
22		
23	,	MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
24 25		County of Riverside, State of California
26	DATED:	By:
27		LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
28		Deputy District Attorney

	3	₩	
1			DEAN D. FLIPPO, District Attorney County of Monterey, State of California
2			Country of Monterey, State of Camornia
3	DATED:		Ву:
4			ANNE M. MICHAELS Assistant District Attorney
5			, toolocant Biotrice / televinoy
6		•	ALLICON HALTY District Attacks
7			ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
8		~	
9	DATED:		By:PATRICK COLLINS
10			Deputy District Attorney
11			
12	V.		TONY RACKAUCKAS, District Attorney
13			County of Orange, State of California
14	U.		
15	DATED:	-	By:WILLIAM G. FALLON
16			Deputy District Attorney
17	A Property and the Control of the Co	•	
18			R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney
19	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		County of Placer, State of California
20	DATED:	•	By:
21	-		JANE CRUE Deputy District Attorney
22		•	Deputy District Attorney
23			
24			MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
25		11 -	. 1
26	DATED:	May 29, 2018	By: MARTNEAU
27		\bigcirc	UNEN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney
28		-	
	1.1		

- 1		
1		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney
2		City of San Diego, State of California
3	DATED: May 22, 2018	By: Mulinet Hough
4	9 7 7 7 7 8	MICHAEL R. HUDSON
5		Deputy City Attorney
6		
7		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney County of San Diego, State of California
8		January Charles of Carlothia
9	DATED:	Ву:
10		ELIZABETH MCCLUTCHEY Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		DAN DOW, District Attorney
13		County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
14	DATED	_
15	DATED:	By:ERIC J. DOBROTH
16		Assistant District Attorney
17		
18		STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney
19		County of San Mateo, State of California
20	DATED:	Ву:
21		JOHN E. WILSON Deputy District Attorney in Charge
22		Deputy District Attorney in Charge
23		IOVCE E DIDI EV Discito Att
24		JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney County of Santa Barbara, State of California
25	1	
26	DATED:	By:CHRISTOPHER DALBEY
27		Deputy District Attorney
28	<i>(c)</i>	

i		
1		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney
2		City of San Diego, State of California
3	DATED:	Ву:
4		MICHAEL R. HUDSON
5		Deputy City Attorney
6		
7		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney County of San Diego, State of California
8		
9	DATED: <u>5/23//8</u>	By:
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		DAN DOW, District Attorney
13		County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
14		
15	DATED:	By:ERIC J. DOBROTH
16		Assistant District Attorney
17		
18	£	STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney
19		County of San Mateo, State of California
20	DATED:	D ₁₁₁
21	DIXIBD.	By:
22	9	Deputy District Attorney in Charge
23		
24	100	JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney
25	N.	County of Santa Barbara, State of California
26	DATED:	By:
27		CHRISTOPHER DALBEY
28		Deputy District Attorney
20		

1 2		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney City of San Diego, State of California
3	DATED:	By: By:
4		Deputy City Attorney
5	¥	
6		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
7		County of San Diego, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By: ELIZABETH MCCLUTCHEY
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		DAN DOW, District Attorney
13		County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
14	DATED: 5/22/	18
15	DATED:	ERIC T. DO BROTH
16		Assistant District Attorney
17		
18		STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney
19		County of San Mateo, State of California
20	DATED:	Ву:
21		JOHN E. WILSON Deputy District Attorney in Charge
22		Deputy District Attorney in Charge
23	×	
24		JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney County of Santa Barbara, State of California
25		
26	DATED:	Ву:
27		CHRISTOPHER DALBEY Deputy District Attorney
28		
20		

1	,	
Berrie		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney
2		City of San Diego, State of California
3	DATED:	Ву:
4		MICHAEL R. HUDSON
5		Deputy City Attorney
6		
7		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney County of San Diego, State of California
8		county of Sun Diego, State of Currorma
9	DATED:	Ву:
10		By: ELIZABETH MCCLUTCHEY Deputy District Attorney
11		Doparty District Actorney
12		
13		DAN DOW, District Attorney County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
14		
15	DATED:	Ву:
16		ERIC J. DOBROTH Assistant District Attorney
17		·
18	,	STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney
19		County of San Mateo, State of California
	-1	1 a botto
20	DATED:	By: JOHN E. WILSON
21	,	Departy District Attorney in Charge
22		
23		JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney
24		County of Santa Barbara, State of California
25	5.4900	
26	DATED:	By:CHRISTOPHER DALBEY
27		Deputy District Attorney
28		

	MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney City of San Diego, State of California
DATED:	By:MICHAEL R. HUDSON
	Deputy District Attorney
u .	
	SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
	County of San Diego, State of California
DATED	By:
	ELIZABETH MCCLUTCHEY
	Deputy District Attorney
	DAN DOW, District Attorney County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
	country of San Bails Conspo, State of Camonia
DATED:	Ву:
	ERIC J. DOBROTH Assistant District Attorney
	- 1001000000 2 1001100 1 10001100 j
2	COURTED A MA COMA PRE D' A.
	STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney County of San Mateo, State of California
DATED:	By:
	JOHN E. WILSON Deputy District Attorney in Charge
*	JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney
9	County of Santa Barbara, State of California
Glas la m	
DATED: <u>0/40/20/0</u>	By: ARISTOPHER DALBEY
	Deputy District Attorney
h.	

1 2			JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
3	DATED:	8/13/18	By: Yen Dang
4	DRILD.	0/10/10	YEN B. DANG
5	_		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
6			
7			GEORGE GASCÓN, District Attorney County of San Francisco, State of California
8			Did land
9	DATED:	8/13/18	By: GREGORY ALKER
10			Assistant District Attorney
11			
12			JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney
13	4		County of Sonoma, State of California
14	DATED:		By:
15			MATTHEW T. CHEEVER Deputy District Attorney
16			Deputy District Ittorney
17	2		CRECORVED TOTTEN D'
18	,		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
19			
20	DATED:		By: MITCHELL F. DISNEY
21			Senior Deputy District Attorney
22			
23			
24			
26			
20		1 ES	
28			
20			

1		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
2 3	DATED	
4	DATED:	By: YEN B. DANG
5		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
6		
7	*	GEORGE GASCÓN, District Attorney
8		County of San Francisco, State of California
9	DATED:	Ву:
10		GREGORY ALKER Assistant District Attorney
11		Assistant District Attorney
12	9 1	
13	, .	JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney County of Sonoma, State of California
14		
15	DATED: 714/18	By: Markon T. Cl
		MATTHEW T. CHEEVER Deputy District Attorney
16	1 ·	
17		
18	A	GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
19	ı	
20 .	DATED:	Ву:
21		MITCHELL F. DISNEY Senior Deputy District Attorney
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		

1 2		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
3	DATED:	D
4	DATED:	By: YEN B. DANG
5		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		GEORGE GASCÓN, District Attorney
8		County of San Francisco, State of California
9	DATED:	Ву:
10		GREGORY ALKER
		Assistant District Attorney
11		
		JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney
13		County of Sonoma, State of California
14	DATED:	By:
15	DATED.	MATTHEW T. CHEEVER
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		
18		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney
19	'	County of Ventura, State of California
20	DATED: 8/17/2018	By: Mitchell Coney
21		MITCHELL F. DISNEY
22	,	Senior Deputy District Attorney
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
40		

1	FOR DEFENDANT WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC.:
2	
3	
4	DATED: 9/12/18 By: 15/
5	ALBERT PERCIVAL Associate General Counsel: Legal Operations,
6	Compliance and Financial Transactions WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC.
7	WIZE TOODS WARE CALIFORNIA, INC.
8	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
9	
10	HARTMAN KING LLP
11	By: 11 + 1.
12	DATED: 9/12/18 JENDIFER HARTMAN KING
13	Attorneys for WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC.
14	
15	FOR DEFENDANT MRS. GOOCH'S NATURAL FOOD MARKETS, INC.:
16	
17	
19	DATED: 9/12/18 By: ALBERT PERCIVAL
20	Associate General Counsel: Legal Operations
21	Compliance and Financial Transactions MRS. GOOCH'S NATURAL FOOD MARKETS,
22	INC.
23	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
24	TO TOIGHTHED CONTENT.
2.5	HARTMAN KING LLP
26	
27	DATED: 9/12/18 By: JENNIFER HARTMAN KING
8	Attorneys for MRS. GOOCH'S NATURAL FOOD MARKETS, INC.

FOR DEFENDANT WFM-WO, INC.:
DATED: 9/12/18 By: 45
ALBERT PERCIVAL Associate General Counsel: Legal Operations,
Compliance and Financial Transactions WFM-WO, INC.
REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
HARTMAN KING LLP
DATED: 9/12/18 By: JENNIFER HARTMAN KING
Attorneys for WFM-WO, INC.
THE ECOLOGICAL STREET,
IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED: SEP 1 7 2018 Ry: THOMAS E. WARRINER
DATED: By: THOMAS E. WARRINER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT



Exhibit A

Address	City	County
1025 Gilman Street	Berkeley	Alameda
3000 Telegraph Ave	Berkeley	Alameda
5200 Dublin Blvd	Dublin	Alameda
3111 Mowry Avenue	Fremont	Alameda
230 Bay Place	Oakland	Alameda
2085 Diamond Blvd, Suite 125	Concord	Contra Costa
3502 Mt Diablo Blvd	Lafayette	Contra Costa
6035 Giant Rd	Richmond	Contra Costa
100 Sunset Dr	San Ramon	Contra Costa
1333 E Newell Ave	Walnut Creek	Contra Costa
2941 Ygnacio Valley Road	Walnut Creek	Contra Costa
650 W Shaw Ave	Fresno	Fresno
239 N Crescent Dr	Beverly Hills	Los Angeles
760 South Sepulveda Blvd	El Segundo	
331 N Glendale Ave	Glendale	Los Angeles
6550 E Pacific Coast	Long Beach	Los Angeles
1050 Gayley Ave	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
11666 National Blvd		Los Angeles
11737 San Vicente Blvd	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
2520 Glendale Blvd	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6350 W 3rd St	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
770 S Grand Ave	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
19340 Rinaldi St	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
	Northridge	Los Angeles
3751 E Foothill Blvd	Pasadena	Los Angeles
465 S Arroyo Pkwy	Pasadena	Los Angeles
12746 Jefferson Blvd	Playa Vista	Los Angeles
405 North Pacific Coast Hwy	Redondo Beach	Los Angeles
24130 Valencia Blvd	Santa Clarita	Los Angeles
2121 Cloverfield Blvd	Santa Monica	Los Angeles
1425 Montana Ave	Santa Monica	Los Angeles
2201 Wilshire Blvd	Santa Monica	Los Angeles
500 Wilshire Blvd	Santa Monica	Los Angeles
12905 Riverside Dr	Sherman Oaks	Los Angeles
4520 Sepulveda Blvd	Sherman Oaks	Los Angeles
18700 Ventura Blvd., Unit 190	Tarzana	Los Angeles
2655 Pacific Coast Hwy	Torrance	Los Angeles
225 Lincoln Blvd	Venice	Los Angeles
5000 Pacific Blvd	Vernon	Los Angeles
1955 E 48th St	Vernon	Los Angeles
2370 E 48th St	Vernon	Los Angeles
2376 E 48th St	Vernon	Los Angeles

Exhibit A

Address	Address City	
2307 E 49th St	Vernon	Los Angeles
7871 Santa Monica Blvd	West Hollywood	Los Angeles
21347 Ventura Blvd	Woodland Hills	Los Angeles
414 Miller Ave	Mill Valley	Marin
731 East Blithedale	Mill Valley	Marin
790 De Long Avenue	Novato	Marin
340 Third St	San Rafael	Marin
800 Del Monte Center	Monterey	Monterey
3682 Bel Aire Plaza	Napa	Napa
3301 Imperial Hwy	Brea	Orange
7881 Edinger Ave., Suite A-150	Huntington Beach	Orange
8525 Irvine Center Drive	Irvine	Orange
283 Broadway St	Laguna Beach	Orange
23932 Aliso Creek Road	Laguna Niguel	Orange
415 Newport Center Dr	Newport Beach	Orange
2847 Park Ave	Tustin	Orange
1001 Galleria Blvd	Roseville	Placer
44-459 Town Center Way	Palm Desert	Riverside
270 Palladio Pkwy	Folsom	Sacramento
4315 Arden Way	Sacramento	Sacramento
2600 Via De La Valle #100	Del Mar	San Diego
687 South Coast Highway 101	Encinitas	San Diego
8825 Villa La Jolla Drive	La Jolla	San Diego
711 University Ave	San Diego	San Diego
1150 Ocean Ave	San Francisco	San Francisco
1765 California St	San Francisco	San Francisco
2001 Market Street	San Francisco	San Francisco
3950 24th St	San Francisco	San Francisco
399 4th St	San Francisco	San Francisco
450 Rhode Island St	San Francisco	San Francisco
690 Stanyan St	San Francisco	San Francisco
2101 Jerrold Ave	San Francisco	San Francisco
1531 Froom Ranch Way, San Luis	San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo
1250 Jefferson Ave	Redwood City	San Mateo
1010 Park Place	San Mateo	San Mateo
3761 State Street	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara
1690 South Bascom Ave	Campbell	Santa Clara
20955 Stevens Creek	Cupertino	Santa Clara
4800 El Camino Real	Los Altos	Santa Clara
15980 Los Gatos Blvd	Los Gatos	Santa Clara
774 Emerson St	Palo Alto	Santa Clara

Exhibit A

Address	City	County			
1140 Blossom Hill Rd	San Jose	Santa Clara			
777 The Alameda	San Jose	Santa Clara			
2732 Augustine Drive, Suite 1600	Santa Clara	Santa Clara			
621 E Washington St	Petaluma	Sonoma			
1181 Yulupa Ave	Santa Rosa	Sonoma			
390 Coddingtown Mall	Santa Rosa	Sonoma			
6910 McKinley St	Sebastopol	Sonoma			
201 West Napa St	Sonoma	Sonoma			
650 Town Center Drive	Oxnard	Ventura			
740 N Moorpark Rd	Thousand Oaks	Ventura			
500 First St	Davis	Yolo			



Exhibit B

NOTICES

For the People:

City Attorney of Los Angeles
 c/o Jaclyn Romano
 Deputy City Attorney
 200 N. Main St., CHE, 5th Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90012
 Email Address: Jaclyn.Romano@lacity.org

District Attorney of Yolo County

 Co David Irey
 Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
 Second Street
 Woodland, CA 95695
 Email Address: David.lrey@yolocounty.org

District Attorney of Contra Costa
 County c/o Stacey Grassini
 Deputy District Attorney
 900 Ward Street
 P.O. Box 670
 Martinez, CA 94553
 Email Address: SGrassini@contracosta.org

For the Defendants:

 Jennifer Hartman King Hartman King PC
 520 Capitol Mall, Suite 750
 Sacramento, CA 95814
 Email Address: JHartmanKing@HartmanKingLaw.com

John H. Hempfling II
 Associate General Counsel, Litigation
 Whole Foods Market Services, Inc.
 828 W. 6th Street, Suite 200
 Austin, TX 78703
 Email Address: John.Hempfling@wholefoods.com

EXHIBIT C-1

EXHIBIT C-1 -- CIVIL PENALTIES

Agency Alameda Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	86,500.00	\$	-	\$	39 Penalties -	Age:	86,500.00
Alameda Co. District Attorney's Office Contra Costa Co. District Attorney's Office*	+		\$		T	-	1 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Fresno Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	85,500.00	+	87,500.00	\$		\$	173,000.00
	\$	3,750.00	\$	-	\$		\$	3,750.00
Los Angeles City Attorney's Office	\$	86,500.00	\$		\$	-	\$	86,500.00
Los Angeles Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	50,300.00	\$	-	\$		\$	50,300.00
Marin Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	15,000.00	\$		\$		\$	15,000.00
Monterey Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,750.00	\$	-	\$		\$	3,750.00
Napa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,750.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,750.00
Orange Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	86,500.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	86,500.00
Placer Co. District Attorney's Office** (see below)	\$	3,750.00	\$	_	\$		\$	3,750.00
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office*** (see below)	\$	3,750.00	\$		\$	-	\$	3,750.00
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office**** (see below)	\$	86,500.00	\$	-	\$	_	\$	86,500.00
San Diego City Attorney's Office	\$	86,500.00	\$		\$	-	\$	86,500.00
San Diego Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,750.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,750.00
San Francisco Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	86,500.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	86,500.00
San Luis Obispo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,750.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,750.00
San Mateo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	7,500.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7,500.00
Santa Barbara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,750.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,750.00
Santa Clara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	26,000.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	26,000.0
Sonoma Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	22,000.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	22,000.0
Ventura Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	7,500.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7,500.0
Yolo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	83,000.00	\$	87.500.00	\$	2.500.00	\$	173,000.0

*Contra Costa: Court further orders that these proceeds are designated as non-supplanting funds to be used by the Contra Costa County District Attorney's Office for the investigation and prosecution of cases pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq.

** PLACER: The money paid to the Placer District Attorney as penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney to augment the enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

*** RIVERSIDE Penalties: Business and Professions Code §17200: "Defendant" shall pay \$3,750.00 to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office as civil penalties for violations of Business and Professions Code section 17200. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206(b), said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside; sums to be distributed as follows: 100 percent will be deposited into the consumer protection prosecution account in the General Fund of Riverside County.

**** SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney to augment the enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

Pursuant to Government Code section 26506, any civil penalties recovered in a civil action brought jointly in the name of the People of the State of California by the Attorney General and any combination of one or more district attorneys or city attorneys shall be paid as approved by the Court.

EXHIBIT C-2

EXHIBIT C-2 -- CIVIL PENALTIES

Agency	Hea	Penalties - Ith and Safety 500 Penalties	Heal		Pena	
Alameda Co Berkeley City Toxics Management Division	\$	3,000.00	\$	-	\$	3,000.00
Alameda Co Fremont City Fire Dept., Haz Mat Unit	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Alameda Co Environmental Health Services	\$	3,000.00	\$	-	\$	3,000.00
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept., Hazardous Materials Program	\$	16,000.00	\$	_	\$	16,000.00
Department of Toxic Substances Control*(see below)	\$	-	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
Fresno Co Community Health Dept., Environmental Health Division	\$	1,500.00	\$	_	\$	1,500.00
Los Angeles Co El Segundo Fire Dept.	\$	1,500.00	\$		\$	1,500.00
Los Angeles Co Glendale City Fire Dept., Environ. Mgmt. Center	\$	1,500.00	\$	_	\$	1,500.00
Los Angeles Co Long Beach Environmental Health	\$	1,500.00	\$		\$	1,500.00
Los Angeles Co Vernon Environmental Health	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Los Angeles Co Fire Health Hazmat	\$	31,500.00	\$		\$	31,500.00
Marin Co Dept. of Public Works, Waste Mngt. Div.	\$	6,000.00	\$	_	\$	6,000.00
Monterey Co Environmental Health Division	\$	1,500.00	\$	_	\$	1,500.00
Napa Co Dept. of Env. Mngt.	\$	1,500.00	\$	_	\$	1,500.00
Orange Co Environmental Health**(see below)	\$	7,500.00	\$	_	\$	7,500.00
Placer Co Roseville City Fire Dept.	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Riverside Co Dept. of Health, Hazardous Materials Division	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$	13,000.00	\$	-	\$	13,000.00
San Diego Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$	16,000.00	\$		\$	16,000.00
San Francisco Co City & County Public Health Dept.	\$	12,000.00	\$	-	\$	12,000.00
San Luis Obispo Co Environmental Health Services	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
San Mateo Co Environmental Health Division	\$	3,000.00	\$	-	\$	3,000.00
Santa Barbara Co Environmental Health Services	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Santa Clara Co City of Santa Clara Fire Department	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Santa Clara Co Dept. of Environmental Health, Haz Mat Compliance Div.	\$	9,000.00	\$		\$	9,000.00
Sonoma Co City of Healdsburg/City of Sebastopol JPA	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Sonoma Co Fire & Emergency Services Dept.	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Sonoma Co Petaluma City Fire Department	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Sonoma Co Santa Rosa City Fire	\$	3,000.00	\$	-	\$	3,000.00
Ventura Co City of Oxnard Fire Dept.	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Ventura Co Environmental Health Division	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Yolo Co Environmental Health	\$	22,000.00	\$	2,500.00	\$	24,500.00
Total - Agency Civil Penalties	\$	172,000.00	\$	7,500.00	\$	179,500.0

^{*} DTSC: Defendants shall pay Plaintiff the sum of \$5,000.00 as and for civil penalties, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25192. Said payment shall be made payable to the Department of Toxic Substances Control-Toxic Substance Control Account and delivered to the Yolo County District Attorney's Office Consumer Fraud and Environmental Protection Division.

^{**}ORANGE: \$7,500.00 is restricted to the Orange County Health Care Agency/Environmental Health and is to be placed in a special revenue account. These funds are to be used for the enhancements of the Hazardous Waste Program for special projects and other uses as determined by the Director of Environmental Health. Said payment shall be made in the form of a check made payable to the County of Orange/Auditor-Controller.

EXHIBIT D

Exhibit D – Supplemental Environmental Projects

1. Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund.

WHOLE FOODS MARKET CALIFORNIA, INC., a California corporation; MRS. GOOCH'S NATURAL FOOD MARKETS, INC., a California corporation; and WFM-WO, INC., a Delaware corporation (DEFENDANTS) shall provide the amount of Forty-Two Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars (\$42,500.00) payable to the Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund ("CTEPP Fund") to be used for purposes consistent with the mission of the CTEPP Fund.

2. California Certified Unified Program Agency Forum Projects.*

DEFENDANTS shall provide the amount of Twenty-Seven Thousand and Eight Hundred Dollars (\$27,800.00) payable to the CUPA Forum Environmental Protection Trust Fund ("CUPA Trust Fund"), which is administered and to be used by the California Certified Unified Program Agency Forum, for purposes consistent with the mission of the CUPA Trust Fund.

3. California District Attorneys Association Circuit Prosecutor Project.*

DEFENDANTS shall provide the amount of Thirty-Seven Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars (\$37,500.00) payable to the California District Attorneys Association – Circuit Prosecutor Project ("Circuit Prosecutor Project") for purposes consistent with the objectives of the Circuit Prosecutor Project.

4. California District Attorneys Association Environmental Project.*

DEFENDANTS shall provide the amount of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) payable to the California District Attorneys Association – Environmental Project ("Environmental Project") to be used by the Environmental Project for the

purpose of providing training consistent with the objectives of the Environmental Project.

5. Western States Project.*

DEFENDANTS shall provide the amount of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) payable to the Western States Project to be used by the Western States Project for an environmental enforcement newsletter and the development and maintenance of a webpage with information about the Regional Environmental Enforcement Associations.

6. California Hazardous Material Investigators Association (CHMIA).*

DEFENDANTS shall provide the amount of Fifteen Thousand

Dollars (\$15,000.00) payable to the California Hazardous Materials Investigators

Association to fund partial scholarships for attendance and participation at their annual training conference.

7. Environmental Enforcement and Training Account.

DEFENDANTS shall provide the amount of Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) payable to the "Secretary for California Environmental Protection Agency" for deposit in the Environmental Enforcement and Training Account as set forth in Penal Code Section 14300 et seq., for purposes consistent with the mission of the Environmental Enforcement and Training Act of 2002.

^{*} If the payment provided by the DEFENDANTS is accepted by a designated entity, the designated entity shall provide, until the exhaustion of the funds, annual letter reports describing the specific use of the funds. The annual letter reports shall be submitted to the Plaintiff's representatives identified in this Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction.

EXHIBIT E-1

EXHIBIT E-1 -- COSTS

	=		
Agency	Total Costs to Agenc		
Alameda Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	11,700.00	
Contra Costa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	48,800.00	
Fresno Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Los Angeles City Attorney's Office	\$	22,500.00	
Los Angeles Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Marin Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Monterey Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Napa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Orange Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	6,150.00	
Placer Co. District Attorney's Office* (see below)	\$	900.00	
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office** (see below)	\$	900.00	
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office*** (see below)	\$	10,500.00	
San Diego City Attorney's Office	\$	10,650.00	
San Diego Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	2,400.00	
San Francisco Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	24,300.00	
San Luis Obispo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
San Mateo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Santa Barbara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Santa Clara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Sonoma Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,650.00	
Ventura Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	900.00	
Yolo Co. District Attorney's Office**** (see below)	\$	71,305.00	
Total - Prosecutor Costs	\$	220,755.00	

^{*} PLACER: The money paid to the Placer District Attorney as costs, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs expended in the enforcement of the consumer protection and environmental laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

^{**} RIVERSIDE Costs: "Defendant" shall pay \$900.00 as costs to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office. Said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside.

^{***} SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as costs, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs expended in the enforcement of the consumer protection and environmental laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

^{****} YOLO: This money shall be paid in two separate checks: (1) one check addressed to the Yolo County District Attorney's Office in the amount of \$51,305.00, and (2) one check addressed to the Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund in the amount of \$20,000.00.

EXHIBIT E-2

EXHIBIT E-2 -- COSTS

Agency	Total Costs to Agency
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept., Hazardous Materials Program	\$ 1,800.00
Department of Toxic Substances Control	\$ 2,550.00
Orange Co Environmental Health * (see below)	\$ 300.00
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$ 3,000.00
San Diego Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$ 3,750.00
San Francisco Co City & County Public Health Dept.	\$ 3,000.00
Yolo Co Environmental Health	\$ 2,745.00
Total - Agency Costs	\$ 17,145.00

*ORANGE: \$300.00 is restricted to the Orange County Health Care Agency/Environmental Health and is to be placed in a special revenue account. These funds are to be used for the enhancements of the Hazardous Waste Program for special projects and other uses as determined by the Director of Environmental Health. Said payment shall be made in the form of a check made payable to the County of Orange/Auditor-Controller.