

California Emergency Solutions Grant (CESH) and Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP) Project Selection Subcommittee Meeting Notes November 15, 2018

### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

Edye Kuyper, CommuniCare Health Centers Elaine Lytle, Yolo County Health and Human Services – Service Center Branch Janis Holt, Yolo County Housing – (*not present at meeting, but provided scores*) Koy Saechao, Turning Point Community Programs Michele Kellogg, Yolo Community Care Continuum

### **STAFF TO COMMITTEE**

Emily Meza, Homeless Services Analyst, Yolo County Health and Human Services Agency Kim Heuvelhorst, Homeless Services Analyst, Yolo County Health and Human Services Agency

#### AVAILABLE FUNDING

The Project Selection Subcommittee was tasked with making funding recommendations for two different grants: the Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP), and the California Emergency Solutions Grant (CESH). The total funds available to allocate to individual projects is the total grant allocation minus a five percent administrative cost.

Available funding for each grant is described in the chart below:

Grant	Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP)	California Emergency Solutions and Housing (CESH)
Total Allocation	\$1,341,828	\$863,524
5% Administrative Costs	\$67,091	\$43,176
Total Available for Projects	\$1,274,737	\$820,348

### SCORING AND FUNDNG RECOMMENDATION PROCESS

Subcommittee members received the application packets on Friday, November 2, 2018, and had thirteen (13) days to individually score each project on a 90-point scale. The Subcommittee met as a group on Thursday, November 15, 2018.

First, the Subcommittee reviewed the scores and discussed the merits of each project individually, and then developed aggregate scores for each project. Then, the Subcommittee made the following final funding recommendations.

<sup>137</sup> N Cottonwood St, Ste 1500, Woodland, CA 95695 | Staff Contact: Emily Meza | Emily.Meza@yolocounty.org | (530) 666-8553



## California Emergency Solutions Grant (CESH) and Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP) Project Selection Subcommittee Meeting Notes November 15, 2018

The Subcommittee's recommended funding distribution for the HEAP grant is listed below:

Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP)						
Organization	Project Name	Amount	Score			
City of Davis	Pathways to Employment	\$129,000	69.5			
Davis Community Meals	Creekside Permanent Supportive Housing	\$198,900	82.5			
Empower Yolo	Rapid Re-housing & Homelessness Prevention	\$67,454	83			
Fourth & Hope	Purchase of Property for Emergency Shelter	\$688,047	80.25			
IRWS Davis	Salary for Overnight Staff	\$21,336	80.25			
Resources for Independent Living	Youth Transition Services Program	\$70,000	69			
Yolo County Children's Alliance	Homeless Services Program	\$100,000	75			
	Tatal	61 274 727				

Total \$1,274,737

California Emergency Solutions and Housing (CESH)						
Organization	Project Name	Amount	Score			
City of Davis	Flexible Fund	\$173,834	77.75			
City of Woodland	Flexible Fund	\$140,000	75.75			
Davis Community Meals	Creekside Permanent Supportive Housing	\$150,000	77.25			
Empower Yolo	Rapid Re-housing & Homelessness Prevention	\$101,425	77			
Fourth & Hope	Emergency Shelter Services	\$150,000	85.25			
IRWS Davis	HMIS Fees	\$5,089	85			
Resources for Independent Living	Transition-Homeless Diversion	\$0	68.75			
Yolo County Children's Alliance	Homeless Services Program	\$100,000	72.25			
	Total	\$820,348				

The Subcommittee's recommended funding distribution for the CESH grant is listed below:

### NOTES ON FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

The Subcommittee evaluated the projects for funding based on a variety of factors, including: score, whether an agency applied for the same activity under both grants, whether similar activities were proposed by more than one agency, and community need.

 The HEAP funding recommendations were based on prioritizing the highest amount of funds for the Fourth & Hope application as the proposed activity would meet a huge community need for more emergency shelter beds. However, the Subcommittee was hesitant to fully fund the Fourth & Hope application as it would account for the total allocation available to Yolo County and the project was in the beginning stages of development. The Subcommittee determined it was best to fund as many projects as possible with the HEAP funding while still prioritizing



### California Emergency Solutions Grant (CESH) and Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP) Project Selection Subcommittee Meeting Notes November 15, 2018

emergency shelter beds. As such, all of the other HEAP applications were partially funded except Resources for Independent Living and the Interfaith Rotating Winter Shelter of Davis.

- The CESH funding recommendations reflect the Subcommittee's decision to fully fund all projects except the lowest scoring (Resources for Independent Living) and the City of Davis application. The funding recommendation for City of Davis is slightly less than requested due to a duplication of services funded under the City of Woodland application and concerns that oversight of the flexible fund spending was not as robust.
- Resources for Independent Living (RIL) scored the lowest in each grant and therefore, only one project was recommended for funding (HEAP – Youth Transition Services Program) as it was the only project that specifically serves the youth population, one of the target populations of the HEAP grant.
- Both the HEAP and CESH applications from the Interfaith Rotating Winter Shelter of Davis (IRWS) were recommended to be fully funded due to the nominal amounts applied for in comparison to other projects and a better than average score under each grant.
- For Empower Yolo, only the CESH application was recommended for full funding as both applications were for the same proposed activities. The Subcommittee determined the partial funding amount for the HEAP grant by subtracting Empower Yolo's total CESH funding recommendation from the amount requested under HEAP.
- For the Yolo County Children's Alliance (YCCA), only the CESH application was recommended for full funding as both applications were for the same proposed activities. The Subcommittee determined the partial funding amount for the HEAP grant by subtracting YCCA's total CESH funding recommendation from the amount requested under HEAP.
- The City of Davis application for HEAP was recommended for partial funding at the amount described in their application for funding project activities at Level 1.
- The City of Woodland application for CESH was recommended at full funding as it was the only application submitted by the City of Woodland.
- The Davis Community Meals and Housing application for HEAP funding was recommended for partial funding, reduced by 1 year of housing subsidies to be provided by the program.



California Emergency Solutions Grant (CESH) and Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP) Project Selection Subcommittee Meeting Notes

November 15, 2018

ATTACHMENT A: SUMMARY OF AGGREGATED PROJECT SCORES & FUNDING AMOUNTS

	Total	City of Davis	City of Woodland	Davis Community Meals	Empower Yolo	Fourth & Hope	IRWS Davis	Resources for Independent Living	Yolo County Children's Alliance
Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HE	AP)								
Project	7 projects	Pathways to Employment	N/A	Creekside Permanent Supportive Housing (Rental Subsidies)	Rapid Rehousing & Homeless Prevention	Purchase of Property for Emergency Shelter	Salary for Overnight Staff	Youth Transition Services Program	Homeless Services Program
Average Score (90 points possible)	77.1	69.5	N/A	82.5	83	80.25	80.25	69	75
Amount Requested	\$2,297,743	\$250,000	N/A	\$245,700	\$168,879	\$1,341,828	\$21,336	\$70,000	\$200,000
Recommended Funding Amount	\$1,274,737	\$129,000	N/A	\$198,900	\$67,454	\$688,047	\$21,336	\$70,000	\$100,000
California Emergency Solutions and Ho	California Emergency Solutions and Housing (CESH)								
Project	8 projects	Flexible Fund	Flexible Fund	Creekside Permanent Supportive Housing (Operating Reserve)	Rapid Rehousing & Homeless Prevention	Emergency Shelter Services	HMIS Fees	Transition- Homeless Diversion	Homeless Services Program
Average Score (90 points possible)	77.38	77.75	75.75	77.25	77	85.25	85	68.75	72.25
Amount Requested	\$983 <i>,</i> 830	\$200,000	\$140,000	\$150,00	\$101,425	\$150,000	\$5,089	\$137,316	\$100,000
Recommended Funding Amount	\$820,348	\$173,834	\$140,000	\$150,00	\$101,425	\$150,000	\$5,089	\$0	\$100,000
Total Recommended Funding	\$2,095,085	\$302,834	\$140,000	\$348,900	\$168,879	\$838,047	\$26,425	\$70,000	\$200,000