

Davis/Woodland/Yolo County Continuum of Care (CA-521)

### **General HPAC Meeting Agenda**

Wednesday, September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2019 (1:00-2:00pm) Yolo County HHSA – Gonzales Building – Community Room

	Meeting Agenda	
Agenda Item	Approximate Time	Speaker/Facilitator
<ul> <li>Review and Approve Meeting Agenda</li> <li>ACTION ITEM: Approve 9/11/19 Agenda</li> </ul>	1:00-1:02 (2 Minutes)	Ryan Collins, HPAC Chair
2. Introductions	1:02-1:30 (13 Minutes)	All
<ul> <li>FY2019 – CoC Public Hearing         <ul> <li>Subcommittee Recommendations</li> </ul> </li> <li>Public Comment</li> <li>Discussion of Non-Conflicted Member Agencies</li> <li>ACTION ITEM: Adopt final rank and tier placement of CoC project applications</li> </ul>	1:15-2:00 (45 Minutes)	Emily Meza, HPAC Secretary
4. Next Meeting & Adjournment	2:00	Ryan Collins, HPAC Chair

## **Upcoming Meetings and Events**

- General HPAC Meeting September 25<sup>th</sup>, 2019 9:00am-12:00 pm Civic Center Galleria Room 157, 1110 West Capitol Avenue, West Sacramento, CA 95691
- HPAC Technical Subcommittee September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2019 9:00-11:00 am Yolo County Library Davis– Blanchard Room 315 E. 14<sup>th</sup> Street, Davis, CA 95616



## FY 2019 Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition Project Selection Subcommittee Meeting Notes August 30, 2019

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

Janis Holt, Yolo County Housing Alysa Meyer, Legal Services of Northern California Veronica Williams, Volunteers of America Chris Bulkeley, Yolo County District Attorney's Office Kathy Trott, Woodland Opportunity Village

### **STAFF TO COMMITTEE**

Emily Meza, Homeless Services Analyst, Yolo County Health and Human Services Agency Kim Heuvelhorst, Homeless Services Analyst, Yolo County Health and Human Services Agency

### **APPLICATION SUBMISSION**

Applications were due by 11:59pm on August 22, 2019. As of that time, five applications for renewal projects and two applications for new projects were submitted.

Applications Submitted in FY2019 CoC Local Competition						
Organization	Application	Project	<b>Housing Type</b>	Amount		
City of Davis/ Davis Community Meals and Housing	Renewal	Transitional Housing	ТН	\$66,282		
City of Woodland/ 4th and Hope	Renewal	Reallocation (2015) PSH	PSH	\$152,936		
City of Woodland/ 4th and Hope	Renewal	Consolidated PSH	PSH	\$91,191		
City of Woodland/ 4th and Hope	Renewal	Bonus (2016)	PSH	\$24,761		
City of Woodland/ 4th and Hope	New (CoC Bonus)	Bonus (2019)	PSH	\$26,804		
Empower Yolo	New (DV Bonus)	Domestic Violence Bonus	RRH	\$53,609		
Yolo Community Care Continuum	Renewal	Supported Housing	PSH	\$146,527		
			Total:	\$562,110		

### REALLOCATION, SCORING, AND RANKING PROCESS

Subcommittee members received the application packets on Monday, August 26, 2019, and had four (4) days to individually score each project on a 75-point scale. The Subcommittee met as a group on Friday, August 30, 2019. The Subcommittee conducted a 20 minute interview with each of the applicants to address any questions. Interviews occurred as follows:

- 9:15-9:35- Bill Pride, Davis Community Meals and Housing
- 9:35-9:55- Michele Kellogg and James McLeod, Yolo Community Care Continuum
- 9:55-10:15- Lynette Irlemeier, Empower Yolo
- 10:15-10:35- Doug Zeck and Amara Pickens, Yolo Wayfarer Center, dba Fourth and Hope; Dan Sokolow, City of Woodland



## FY 2019 Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition Project Selection Subcommittee Meeting Notes August 30, 2019

After the interviews were completed, the Subcommittee reviewed the individual scores of each project and developed aggregate scores for each project. Next, the Subcommittee considered whether reallocation of underperforming renewal projects was necessary, in accordance with the FY2019 CoC Project Selection Process. As no project scored lower than 55 points, no project was recommended for reallocation nor development of a Corrective Action Plan. Then, the Subcommittee decided on a final rank for each project. Tier 1 or Tier 2 placement was determined based on the rank of each project.

The Subcommittee's recommended rank and tier placement for each project are listed below.

Rank and Tier Placement as Recommended by CoC Project Selection Subcommittee						
Rank	Applicant	Project	Amount Score			
TIER 1						
1	Yolo Community Care Continuum	Supported Housing	\$146,527	70.8		
2	City of Woodland/ 4th and Hope	Consolidated PSH	\$91,191	65.6		
3	City of Davis/ Davis Community Meals	Transitional Housing	\$66,282	61.6		
4	City of Woodland/ 4th and Hope	Reallocation (2015) PSH -	\$148,795	64.6		
TIER 2						
			<sup>-</sup> \$4,141			
5	Empower Yolo	Domestic Violence Bonus	\$53,609	64.0		
6	City of Woodland/ 4th and Hope	Bonus (2019)	\$26,804	62.0		
7	City of Woodland/ 4th and Hope	Bonus (2016)	\$24,761	55.8		

TOTAL: \$562,110

#### NOTES ON RANKING DETERMINATIONS

The Subcommittee began the initial discussion regarding the rank of each project by placing all projects in order by score. All seven projects scored within 15 points of each other, and the Subcommittee decided to move the project ranking for one project based on a discussion about community need.

- The Yolo Community Care Continuum (SHP) project was the highest scoring project (70.8 points). The Subcommittee ranked this project as **number 1** (placed in tier 1).
- The City of Woodland/ Fourth and Hope (Consolidated PSH) project was the second highest scoring project (65.6 points). The Subcommittee ranked this project up as **number 2** (placed in tier 1).
- The City of Davis/Davis Community Meals (Transitional Housing) was the sixth highest scoring project (61.6 points). The Subcommittee chose to move this project up in ranking to number 3 (placed in tier 1) based on geographic need. The Subcommittee determined that keeping beds available in Davis (the city in Yolo County that historically has among the lowest housing vacancy and the highest rental rates) was critical, and that keeping a small number of transitional housing units available in Yolo County was crucial given the high number of unsheltered persons currently living in Yolo County. The current countywide unsheltered count is estimated at approximately 61% of the overall homeless population.
- The City of Woodland/Fourth and Hope (Reallocation (2015) PSH) project was the third highest scoring project (64.6 points). The Subcommittee ranked this project as **number 4** (straddling tier 1 and tier 2).



## FY 2019 Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition Project Selection Subcommittee Meeting Notes August 30, 2019

- The Empower Yolo (Domestic Violence Bonus) project was the fourth highest scoring project (64.0 points). The Subcommittee ranked this project as **number 5** (placed in tier 2).
- The City of Woodland/Fourth and Hope (Bonus 2019) project was the fifth highest scoring project (62.0 points). The Subcommittee ranked this project as **number 6** (placed in tier 2).
- The City of Woodland/Fourth and Hope (Bonus 2016) project was the seventh highest scoring project (55.8 points). The Subcommittee ranked this project as **number 7** (placed in tier 2).



# FY 2019 Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition Project Selection Subcommittee Meeting Notes August 30, 2019

### **ATTACHMENT A: AGGREGATED PROJECT SCORES**

Scoring Criteria	Total Points Available	Davis Community Meals Transitional Housing (\$66,282)	Fourth and Hope Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing (\$91,191)	Fourth and Hope Reallocation (2015) Permanent Supportive Housing (\$152,936)	Fourth and Hope Bonus (2016) (\$24,761)	Fourth and Hope Bonus (2019) (\$26,804)	Empower Yolo Domestic Violence Bonus Project (\$53,609)	Yolo Community Care Continuum Supported Housing Project (\$146,527)
Type of Project	10	8.2	9.2	8.6	9.4	9	8.4	9.8
Low Barriers	10	8.4	10	9.6	9.2	9.6	9.6	9.6
Serving Priority Populations	5	4.2	5	5	4.6	5	4	5
PROGRAM DESIGN SUB TOTAL:	25	20.8	24.2	23.2	23.2	23.6	22	24.4
Housing Stability and Exits	10	8.2	9.6	9.6	8.4	8	8.8	9.2
Income	10	9.2	7.6	7.4	5	7.6	8	9.6
Mainstream Benefits	10	7.2	7	7.2	5	6.6	8	9
Bed Utilization	5	2.8	5	5	2.6	3	4	5.4
PROGRAM PERFORMANCE SUB TOTAL:	35	27.4	29.2	29.2	21	25.2	28.8	33.2
HPAC Participation	10	8.4	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	8.8	9.6
Drawdown Rates and Fund Utilization	5	5	3	3	2.4	4	4.4	3.6
GRANT MANAGEMENT SUB TOTAL:	15	13.4	12.2	12.2	11.6	13.2	13.2	13.2
TOTAL:	75	61.6	65.6	64.6	55.8	62	64	70.8