



County of Yolo

PLANNING AND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

John Bencomo
DIRECTOR

292 West Beamer Street
Woodland, CA 95695-2598
(530) 666-8775 FAX (530) 666-8728
www.yolocounty.org

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Dunnigan Advisory Committee

Dunnigan Fire House
29145 Main Street, Dunnigan, CA

7:00 P.M. on Wednesday, August 20, 2008

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Adoption of Minutes
 - Special Meeting July 7th
 - Regular Meeting July 16th
3. Public Comments
4. Correspondence
5. Invited Speaker: Supervisor Matt Rexroad
6. Committee Reports

DRAINAGE	SAFETY
PRISON	WATER
ROADS	

7. Discussion Items:
 - Newsletter report
 - Rooster – Update
 - Incorporation Information
8. Planning Commissioner's Update
9. Future Agenda Items
10. Adjourn

The meeting is open to all interested parties who live and/or own property in the Dunnigan Community and surrounding area. Individuals having questions pertaining to the meeting may contact Don Rust at (530) 666-8835.

***** NOTICE *****

If requested, this agenda can be made available in appropriate formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Federal Rules and Regulations adopted in implementation thereof. Persons seeking an alternative format should contact the Yolo County Planning and Public Works Department. In addition, any persons with a disability who require a modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to participate in a public meeting should telephone or otherwise contact Don Rust as soon as possible and preferably at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. Don Rust may be reached at 530-666-8835 or Yolo County Planning and Public Works Department 292 West Beamer Street Woodland, CA 95695.

Unapproved Minutes
Dunnigan Advisory Committee
Wednesday, July 16, 2008

Dunnigan Fire House
29145 Main Street
Dunnigan, Ca 95937

Call to order: 7:05pm

ATTENDANCE

- 15 members in attendance, quorum present
- 29 members, residents and guests were present at this meeting

MINUTES

Chairman Williams called for the adoption of the June 18th minutes. No corrections or additions were brought to the floor. Chairman Williams called for a motion to approve the minutes.

Motion by Shirley Gooch; Seconded by Willard Ingraham to approve the minutes.

Vote: Yes 15; No 0; Abstain 0; Motion passed, minutes of June 18th approved.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Chairman Williams called for public comments.

- W. Ingraham mentioned the lack of speed limit signs in the Hardwoods as well as the large trucks traveling roads that are not prepared to handle them. Secretary Kirkland suggested the Hardwood's issues be placed on the Safety Committee's agenda.
- V. Lovell added no big rigs with trailers should be in Hardwoods, she also mentioned the need for a Neighborhood Watch. Placed on Safety Committee's agenda.
- W. Gullatt suggested we move our August meeting to CFE. Comments from members referenced we had voted on our meetings being at CFE from May through Oct. August meeting will be held at CFE.
- E. Linse commented on two items relating to the prison, he mentioned the three acre site in Woodland adjacent to the prison which was identified as not adequate; the site was too small. He questioned why when multi-story examples are being displayed; if you have 15 acres on one story, you could do 5 stories over 3 acres and accomplish the same thing. Paula Gutierrez at the July 15th meeting had indicated there wouldn't be enough parking; response was, go to 7 stories, two layers being used for parking. He is doubtful she has any experience in architecture or in judging sites. They just took a "NO" which is what they wanted to hear. Eric requested we prepare a letter addressing that point, perhaps it should come from the prison committee. Chairman Williams indicated the Prison committee will be making a presentation and we will take it up then.

- A comment was made about the signal light at Road 8 and 99W not rotating properly. This is the first signal light in the unincorporated area of the county therefore the county roads department is not really up to speed on how to program the light. When they were first installed a Traffic Light Co. set up the program, since then they have been reprogrammed to rotate on a 15-20 second cycle. The asphalt detectors were deactivated. There is no one in the county roads department who is trained to program these lights, every time a change is needed they have to call the company who installed them.
- B. Weber complimented the committee on there good work at the July 15th meeting. He then questioned a comment made by one of our committee members that sounded like a land deal. He directed the question to Mel Smith.
- M. Smith responded by stating the comment was at stimulating the re-opening of the site selection process, in the interest of property rights, any owner that wishes to submit their property to the CDCR for consideration should have that right. The county has the responsibility to examine the strengths and weakness of every site that is submitted. He indicated there are sites elsewhere in the county that would come out better on the evaluation list than the ones currently being proposed in Dunnigan/Zamora. All state, county's, cities, public agencies have a CEQUA requirement to evaluate alternative locations. They have not done this, he wanted to get on the record was their willingness to evaluate alternative locations. They did acknowledge they would be willing to do this; our next step is to submit alternate locations. These locations do not have to be in our area, there may be property owners in other parts of the county willing to submit their site for consideration. He indicated Ray Groom has a criteria list for the sitting of these locations. He re-affirmed his intent to open the door to reactivating the evaluation of all proposed sites.
- B. Weber responded with doubt, Mel Smith confirmed his property was not up for consideration nor is it intended to be. That satisfied B. Weber's concern.
- A resident indicated Supervisor Chamberlain mentioned to him last night they would be interested in looking at other sites.
- W. Ingraham stated we are not against this project just the site selection.
- M. Schaad referenced the approval of the cement company coming from West Sac to Speckles, at that time Speckles indicated they were only going to use half of the property they purchased, there has to be another parcel unused and that's on 113 abutting Woodland, she felt this property should be added to the list.

Chairman Williams complemented the Prison Committee for their work. He referenced the bill AB 900 which states the re-entry facility is preferred in an urban area so the collaborative effort with the surrounding community would be more beneficial. The requirements they are looking for are absent in this area, this was expressed in many, many ways effectively last evening. He also indicated he heard comments at both meetings referencing if the county persisted there would be a lawsuit. He feels the county is serious; they want the \$30m dollars as well as the \$800 per inmate they just learned they would receive in the first year only. Chairman Williams in summarizing stated the county has a lot of reasons to keep looking and our presentation to the prison representatives of the requirements listed in AB 900 was done quite well.

- V. Lovell stated we don't have the requirements so why are they still pursuing the sites? That's what I don't understand.
- Chairman Williams stated the impression he received from the prison representatives indicated no sites have been evaluated to date. Taking them at their word, they have not done any formal evaluation; there is enough evidence to look elsewhere.
- Resident concern; when the people protest the action and it goes through anyway it's like a waste of their time. He referenced the comments made about speed limits and signal lights, if this goes through we are going to have all kinds of problems. We are going to be babysitters and that's not going to work, it's not only the inmates who have committed felonies that are housed there, but it's also the trash that comes to visit them. That makes us vulnerable, with our garage doors open and doors unlocked, this makes for a bad situation, people will be in fear. We do not have the law enforcement that is readily available in the cities, we are a good half hour away. Why am I here talking to you about this when you feel the way I do, did I miss the meeting? Chairman Williams indicated he had missed last night's joint community meeting.

The residents were not aware of how to find out about these meetings. Secretary Kirkland as well as other committee members indicated the agenda for every meeting was posted through out the community. The Post Office is one sight to check, DAC meetings are held the third Wednesday of every month and any special meetings will be posted. Chairman Williams asked for any additional public comments, none were brought to the floor, Public Comments were closed.

CORRESPONDENCE

Chairman Williams asked for correspondence, No correspondence has been received. Correspondence closed.

INVITED SPEAKER

Supervisor Matt Rexroad was unable to attend.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

- **Drainage:** Secretary Kirkland indicated a letter had been sent to Mr. Murphy asking for permission to clean the ditch off of Road 7. This will help correct the drainage issue in that area, also, Brian Stucker was to contact Steve Morgan, Wildlands, to broach the subject of extending the Road 7 ditch straight ahead on to his property. The other part of this problem, the county is to start working on August 1st, this is the ditch located on the east side of 99W that is supposed to flow south to Byrd Creek. Also an email will be sent to the county requesting permission to work in the county right of way along Road 99W. Once this area is corrected and the flood situation is eliminated we will move on to other areas in Dunnigan that also have flooding problems. Brian indicated he had spoken to Steve Morgan who would be willing to look into that possibility as he wants the water. W. Ingraham asked the committee to look into the Road 4 at 99W area as it floods badly. Committee will look into this area as well.

- **Roads:** No report; did not have the opportunity to meet. Don Rust indicated if we provide him a list of two locations we want cleaned up the roads department will clean it up. Once those two spots are done we can submit two more. About every three months would work; they will try to slowly clean things up.
- **Safety:** No report; did not have the opportunity to meet. E. Linse indicated two concerns about water, if there are any cuts in the flow from the canal we would be in terrible shape for fighting fires, we have no fire hydrants. He then referenced the mobile home park next to Campers Inn; a hydrant is very much needed in that area.
- **Water:** B. Weber presented the report. The county's position on water as we understand it; is in three steps; (1) concern with flood protection, (2) where the surface water is coming from for irrigation purposes, (3) the monitoring of ground water through wells. The County, for what ever reason, wants to place flow meters on private wells to determine how much water each well is using. Chairman Williams indicated if the county persists with the ideas of monitoring wells this will be the next big item to deal with after the prison. This will be even more encompassing than the prison issue. M. Smith referenced the Board of Supervisors meeting, the afternoon session, one resident commented on the draft for the ground water ordinance. The County Counsel completely confirmed the draft ground water ordinance was so flawed it could not even be used as a template. She indicated she knew of a person who was well rounded in these types of issues and suggested the Board postpone this ordinance until the beginning of October. At that time they will begin seeking public input, especially from the Farm Bureau, on a new draft for the ground water ordinance. This was approved by the Board 3 to 2. Public notice must be given before they proceed on this ordinance.
- **Prison:** W. Gullatt recapped the progress being made by the prison committee. The committee will meet again on July 18th. She then referenced the meeting of July 15th, a comment was made that the state was looking to spend \$160M on this facility alone. She questioned whether or not the Governor was aware of the amount to be spent on this facility alone, as the money will come out of the Prison Reform Budget. She asked if the committee would be interested in having her contact the Governor and asking him to attend one of our meetings, we have nothing to lose by asking, perhaps opinions would change.
- E. Linse indicated Zamora representatives should be asked their opinion also. He stated he was not as optimistic as others about these people having been swayed by our presentation. We have 630 registered voters in Dunnigan and 130 in Zamora, totaling 750 registered voters, probably in the county there is over 100 thousand. He spoke with Kathy and Paula after the meeting and he indicated they were not deterred at all. He questioned Paula's credentials with reference to some of her comments. He also wondered why the property mentioned around the existing jail was not adequate. Why was this property dismissed? Eric indicated he wasn't sure how many of these facilities the state was going to build, why not see two up and running before spending all this money. He mentioned his phone call asking when the deadline was and was given August 21st. There stories do not mix they are further along then they are saying.

Motion by B. Stucker to write a letter to the governor requesting him to attend a meeting, **Seconded by W. Ingraham.** Comments:

- E. Linse re-iterated by moving forward on the incorporation idea it will step on the county's toes; we need signatures of 500 registered voters to go forward with this. Incorporation would threaten their sales tax revenues in the future, their interested in the development of Dunnigan for the sales tax revenues. And that's one of the few things we can do to protect Dunnigan's future even if it takes time to accomplish.

Vote: Yes 15; No 0; Abstain 0, Motion carried.

- M. Smith indicated at this point in time we are going against a pet project of the Board of Supervisors and if we are successful in stopping the re-entry facility, it will incur the wrath of the Board of Supervisors, they have never really given Dunnigan much credence. For our own survival we must embrace the idea of a incorporation initiative and signature drive that Eric Linse is speaking about.
- Chairman Williams stated the last time we went through this process in the early 90's we suggested to the Board of Supervisors that a revenue sharing plan be put in place for what ever additional revenue was gained to assure Dunnigan would not be left out.
- M. Smith indicated those 500 signatures could be new registered voters. We could initiate a petition and signature drive just for Dunnigan.
- Chairman Williams stated the process would be long and it would have to go through LAFCO and starting the process doesn't hurt a thing.
- V. Lovell concerned about the bad points, Chairman Williams indicated when the time comes there will be a considerable amount of study and all concerns will be addressed. He went on to indicate he will research the process prior to our next meeting.
- E. Linse stated we are not rich in services now, the list of goals and desires should be frugality, in his opinion the economy is going to be in poor shape for three years or so, maybe many more, in the mean time if we need road work done we should be able to rent that work from any municipality or from any county because their all going to be needing money.
- W. Gullatt indicated CDC has offered to come and speak to us; it is very evident that the state and the county dropped the ball in terms of notifying the public, so now their trying to be nice. Think about this.
- W. Gullatt we need to continue with media coverage, Sac Bee and the Daily Democrat were both in attendance at last nights meeting.
- Post card drive in process, postcards to be sent to the Secretary of the Dept of Corrections and the Supervisors. Community donations provided us with \$248.00 to purchase these cards. Idea is to flood the county and state with these cards.
- W. Gullatt indicated a lot of positive feed back has been received; residents are pleased with the efforts being made by the Dunnigan and Zamora committees on this issue. She asked the committee for any suggestions to keep the momentum going.
- V. Lovell reference material she obtained from the internet, a list of ten counties in California who applied for the re-entry program and it also list the four counties

who were approved for phase one. Yolo County was number 1. Article was about Shasta County residents opposing the re-entry facility. Chairman Williams thanked Wilma for her report and indicated post cards could be signed at the back of the room as well as the petitions.

PRESENTATION

Chairman Williams introduced Don Rust. Mr. Rust presented a map of the pick up boundaries identified in the county proposal. The map identifies each parcel in the pick up area. Don explained each area of the map.

- Chairman Williams to recap the county is coming in, their going change the garbage pick up and set up a boundary. He then asked Don Rust to continue with the explanation of the map and service area.
- He indicated the entire area on the map colored brown is zoned residential and is in the pick up area. There are some areas that are outside. The pick up area boundary starts at Country Road 88 on the west side and covers from County Road 6 up to Road 4. If you are outside the pick up area but you are near the road and there are several other residents that are interested they will start expanding the area.
- He asked for questions, Wilma asked about County Road 2 which is way outside of the pick up area. Don indicated it depends on the number of people interested in the service whether the area can be included. At present it really is the dense area of Dunnigan that is going to be the pick up area. They will start expanding it out if there is interest in the service.
- Question was asked about the cost; Don indicated approximately \$24.50 per month. Contract is with Waste Management at present, but it's through the integrated waste system through public works.
- Question whether Waste Management will go outside of the area; not right now; as I stated earlier, if you are outside this area and if there are other people interested and a route can be created they will consider including expanding the service. If you are out of the area and your garbage is currently being picked up you will continue to be picked up.
- Waste Management will be the company picking up trash in Yolo County.
- Not finalized as yet through the Board of Supervisors, possible start date will be September.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

- **Newsletter:** No report at this time
- **Roosters:** Don Rust noted the seven properties identified; 4 in residential area and 3 in Ag.
- Four properties in the residential area were sent letters about their roosters, three residents have replied asking for additional time. An additional 60 days was granted to remove the roosters, after which fines would be imposed and a lien placed against their property if they failed to pay the fines. Fine is \$500.
- Forth person has not yet responded through certified mail, they are working on another avenue

- No solution to the Ag district as yet as they were not in the county code. Crowing foul allowed in the agricultural areas.
- Yolo County Animal Control Services is working on amending the ordinance to correct the problem.
- E. Linse mentioned the problem with the amendment was the county wanted to work with surrounding counties to come up with a joint decision on the rooster problem. Don indicated you are not going to get an ordinance passed that includes all counties participation; Yolo County has to create prepare their own ordinance.
- If nothing has been done by Sept., suggests sending a letter to the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors asking why the problem has not been rectified.

PLANNING COMMISSIONERS UPDATE

No Planning Commissioners were in attendance

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Committee members suggested Supervisor Rexroad and Thomson be invited to our next meeting, after discussion on the pros and cons, it was decided to defer the Prison concerns to the sub committee to keep us updated on the county process as well as come up with some alternative sites to be recommended.

- Follow up on Prison sub committee progress
- Starting with the next meeting, August, Sept. and Oct. meetings will be held at Country Fair Estates.
- Explore incorporation

ADJOURN

Chairman Williams called for a motion to adjourn.

Motion by Betty Elliott; Seconded by Williard Ingram; all in favor, meeting adjourned at 8:30 pm.

A \$75.00 donation was received from a resident in attendance to help defer cost of materials for the Prison issue.

Respectfully Submitted
Deanna Kirkland, Secretary
Dunnigan Advisory Committee

Unapproved Minutes
Dunnigan Advisory Committee
Special Meeting - Prison
Monday, July 7, 2008

Dunnigan Fire House
29145 Main Street
Dunnigan, Ca 95937

Call to order: 7:05pm

ATTENDANCE

- 18 members in attendance, quorum present
- 111 members, residents and guests were present at this meeting

INTRODUCTION

Chairman Williams thanked the person who provided the public address system and the additional fans for this meeting. He went on to introduce himself and the concept of the Advisory Committees in the unincorporated areas of the county. He listed the other communities in the area that have advisory committees.

Chairman Williams indicated this was a special meeting requested by the ad hoc committee on the Prison issue. As this is not a regular meeting night we will dispense with the normal agenda and go to the special meeting agenda for this evening.

GUEST SPEAKER

Chairman Williams introduced Jim Nielsen. Mr. Nielsen has served on the state prison board, he is a former State Senator and currently he is running for State Assembly District 2 seat. Chairman Williams indicated Mr. Nielsen is very familiar with the prisons and what the prison system is trying to do. He also is the head of a group in California to protect property rights. Chairman Williams then turned the mic over to Jim Nielsen.

Mr. Nielsen indicated he had the privilege of representing us in the Senate for many years; he left the senate in 1990 and went on to head the Board of Prison Terms for California which is now called the Board of Parole hearings. While in the Senate he served as the Senator of Public Safety, criminal justice matters were of the utmost importance. In 1982 he wrote the Victims Bill of Rights. He indicated that he never imagined years later he would head the Board of Prison Terms and play such a major role in victim issues.

- Not here to criticize or condemn, certainly not the County Supervisors with one exception and that's procedural, or the Sheriff. Will offer some criticism towards the adult corrections agency for their ideas.
- County is faced with a half way house (re-entry facility)
- 90% of the inmates in state prison will get out, that's the population we would be looking at with a re-entry facility here.
- In the past inmates would be sent back to the county they were committed in, since 1999 they go to county of their last legal residence.
- Half way house in itself is a good idea, it provides for transition into the community and there is some support for inmates in this facility, meaning drug treatment, vocational and educational training
- We should concern ourselves with the early release, early discharge and summary parole inmates. It will let a lot of people who are not ready to be released, out into society, this is a high problem.
- The difficulties facing us now is; rehabilitation while incarcerated is not sufficient, the short period of time in a re-entry facility with the provided treatment is not going to alter criminal tendencies.
- Secretiveness of the project not good, the public needed to be informed and included in the process. A clear assessment of what's to take place should be given, the public should have their say; in the end it's truthful in the process.
- This is a prime example of how some in Yolo County hide the truth; it is not the way this county used to work based on his 45 years here.
- Department of Correction and Rehabilitation is the same way, he does not approve of what is taking place.
- Key officials in this district should be informed as well as the residents about what is being proposed in their district (i.e. Assemblyman, Senator, Supervisors, etc.) Criteria as to where that re-entry facility needs to be placed should be available.
- The CDCR is trying to plant a dynamic target of conditions under which the county's can get jail money, our sheriff needs money for jail space as well as the state, bonds are issued for this purpose which will make more housing available for criminal offenders.
- Conditions that the state imposes on the counties sometimes borders on extortion
- Has spoken with county supervisors and sheriffs all over California, district attorneys as well, in an attempt to discourage them from making deals with the state at this point in time. Standards for counties to accept these facilities need to be consistent state wide.
- One of his fears is the term cost cutting, the State Dept. of Finance, the State Dept. of Corrections and Rehabilitation, would really like to have state inmates become local responsibility. It's called Summary Parole which he is still fighting.
- Prison Board can not act under Summary Parole to reverse inmate parole; the only consequence to a summary parolee is local prosecution which is much more

costly. Counties can not afford nor can they possibly prosecute all of them, so many of them are going to get by with their behavior.

- The state indicated there will be vocational training in these facilities, well, he doesn't believe it because he has seen too many hollow promises from the state for too many years.
- Believes the re-entry facilities all over California will be no more than another place to do time.
- No such thing as a low level offender, few will get better, some will not get any worse but most are going to do more and worse.
- In the foreseeable future these facilities will be run by the CDCR, but don't be surprised if some day when the state doesn't have any money the whole program gets turned over to the county.
- **Question:** what has he been warning county leaders about? Don't take the hollow promise the state will give you the money as well as provide for rehabilitation and it will be there forever. Three weeks ago the Senate took away all the money for rural law enforcement, took \$32M out of the budget. Some may come back but not all, that's how quick things can happen.
- **Critical thing,** what are the terms of conditions that the contract with the CDCR is for, getting the jail money or getting the half way house (re-entry facility), the state goes by priority for the distribution of the available funds, if you agree to the re-entry facility, you are very high on the list, if not you drop way to the bottom.
- You don't know all the terms and condition, the state will give itself a broad latitude, the Dept. of Finance will insist on it.
- Inmates won't necessarily be only from Yolo County, others may be sent.
- **Question:** What are the CDCR and the Dept of Finance really up to? Get people out of prison. Even if 5 billion more dollars were put into rehabilitation today, the population that were dealing with about 120,000 on parole and 170,000+ in prison, many of them you could not change anyway, perhaps 10% to 20% we are 5 – 8 years away from making a dent in changing their criminal behavior.
- The real answer is to start with the proper education of children and then we have to hope for the future 10-15 years out.

Mr. Nielsen then asked for any questions from the audience. A lot of good questions were brought to the floor. Residents stated their concerns and Mr. Nielsen addressed their questions. He stressed that as a community we need a loud voice. Contact the media, radio talk shows, get your message out loud and clear. Contact the CDCR, the Governor and your legislators. V. Lovell presented a very effective statement referencing the Dunnigan community, the concerns, we have worked hard towards designing a better community and placing a prison in our area is very wrong.

Chairman Williams thanked Mr. Nielsen for the information he provided this evening, he then called a five minute recess.

PRISON REPORT

W. Gullatt explained what has been done so far on this issue.

- Gathered signatures on petition against the prison

- Created flyers to inform the public about the proposed prison
- Flyers were distributed through out Dunnigan, Zamora and Arbuckle; they started at one end of 99W and went all the way to Arbuckle going into every business.
- Prepared a contact list of politicians and representatives for residents to contact

What the committee is recommending is as follows:

- Obtain media coverage to spot light this problem
- Post signs, example provided
- Mail flyers to all Dunnigan residents, we don't have a budget to be able to go forwarded with this, there are 462 boxes at our local post office.
- Encourage everyone to write their Supervisors, the Governor, Senator etc.
- The county has already notified the newspapers and told their side

She went on to emphasis the meeting in Zamora on July 15th, need community to turn out and support the effort to stop this facility.

- Chairman Williams contacted the Daily Democrat without response.
- Encouraged everyone to flood the newspapers with letters voicing their opinion.
- Funds needed from the community to send out information flyers. County will not let the committee donate to projects.
- A resident in attendance indicated the county as a whole does not really know what is going on. She went on to reference Susanville, who now wishes they had not accepted the prison. Speaking with people in Woodland, they are not aware of the process taking place
- AB 900 gives the cities the option to turn down these facilities; because we live in the unincorporated area we do not have the same option.
- Resident comment, county voted to approve the re-entry facility 5-0, the county solicited this facility, stay on point and explain that we do not have the necessary infrastructure, Supervisor Rexroad commented they were very interested in the 505 corridor. He felt their main interest was on that corridor, resident suggested they consider Davis or Winters.
- W. Gullatt reminded the question before us to night is how we go forward to stop this.

Chairman Williams interrupted at this time, thanked people for their comments. He referenced the committee has received a lot of public input; the sub committee has described what has been done so far and what they are planning to do. The sub committee would like some ratification and direction from the total committee to proceed. He then turned to the committee for comments:

- E. Linse has sympathy for supervisors having to deal with a \$30M offer; I would like them to have some sympathy and even better respect for us. To do this we need to begin the process of incorporation. AB 900 allows the incorporated cities to say no thank you, we don't have that option but, I want us to open that door, to do that requires 500 signatures of registered voters, Dunnigan has approximately 630 registered voters, about 130 in Zamora. This could begin a serious process that may gain us some respect that we don't have now as far as the county is concerned.

- Has prepared a petition, he will be available later for anyone that is interested. My ideas concerning it are frugality, open space and a special community and he feels we should begin the process.

Chairman Williams indicated anyone who wished to participate there is a sign up sheet at the table in the back so the prison committee can contact you. He then addressed the committee members stating you have heard the report from the prison committee, so comments please, give us a direction to go in.

- Secretary Kirkland indicated emotions have to be left out, we have to proceed with facts,
- **fact** we have no law enforcement, **fact**, county does not have the resources to provide us with law enforcement out here, **fact**, we have no local government, **fact**, we have no community services, unless you wish to consider the post office, the general store and maybe Pilot, **fact**, we can't offer any jobs, **fact**, we have no water system, no sewer system, we have a volunteer Fire Department that's strictly by volunteer, we have no funds here to pay for a full time Fire Department.
- the General Plan will not be completed until Fall of 2009, and we don't know if what we have requested for Dunnigan is going to be included in the General Plan and won't know until that time.
- 10 years down the road maybe a different story because 300 new jobs sounds good, but we are not 10 years down the road we are here now,
- We need to do everything to point out the reasons why this is not a good idea.
- AB 900 states this facility needs to be in an urban area like Woodland, West Sac, Davis or Winters. Those communities can offer what AB 900 requests, Dunnigan can not offer this, not for maybe 10 -15 years down the road.
- We need to ratify the actions of the prison committee and the board needs to vote for the committee to go forward.

Chairman Williams, asked for more comments, prison committee has gone ahead and is encouraging that they start a petition, make signs, they requested this meeting to see if they were proceeding in the right direction. Is this the way we want to proceed or are there any other questions.

Motions by Shirley Gooch, to have the committee continue forward on the process they have been working on and encourage community support.

Second by Willard Ingraham.

Chairman Williams called for discussion to the motion.

A question was raised about picketing the Supervisors meeting, this is not allowed in the building, outside okay. Chairman Williams then ask Secretary Kirkland to re state the motion. Motion for the prison committee to continue on course and to gather community support to move forward with this.

Vote: Yes, 18, No. 0, Abstain, 0. Motion Passed

Chairman Williams asked for closing comments from the audience.

- A. Sargent disagrees that this is a done deal, site locations not written in stone, referenced his property in Redding; they came up there and had a couple of proposed sights. People let their opinions be known and the Board of Supervisors

- backed off. Encouraged everyone to go out and push the Supervisors, again we must be rational, propose alternatives, if they are dead set on going forward they need to be more realistic. Zamora/Dunnigan is not the place to build anything like this. Push back hard, tell them to select better locations. Need support from the County Supervisors, believes Supervisor Chamberlain supports us.
- J. Rexroad need to stress how inappropriate it would be for the state to site this facility here, county Board of Supervisors will say that's the states problem if there is no water, sewer or wells. I believe we should stress what Jim Nielsen said this evening, he doesn't believe the County Board of Supervisors has a clue as to what their getting into as far as possible future required county services. They don't have a clue, their buying a pig in a poke, and this is one of the things we should concentrate on. The \$30M dollars, maybe peanuts as to what their obligating the county to do down the line.
 - Secretary Kirkland indicated, yes, their going to get \$30M, and one contract will wipe out that money by the time the Monroe facility is through,
 - The re-entry facility is going to be here for eternity and the county is going to have cost associated with it.
 - County does not realize what they are getting into, they need to look a lot deeper and not jump, just because somebody dangled \$30M.
 - There has to be more to it than that, I strongly suggest to Supervisor Chamberlain and the rest of the Supervisors, lets not jump into this, lets look into it, we are already having problems in the county with our budget, we don't have resources to even have a deputy out here anymore, they took our resident deputy away from us, what did they give us, they gave us a dummy in a car.
 - W. Gullatt keep in mind the Dunnigan/Zamora area is being sold for \$30M. So if you think that we shouldn't fight and you want to back off of this, keep that in mind.

Chairman Williams thanked everyone for attending this evening. He went on to reference the meeting in Zamora on July 15th. He closed the meeting with this thought; he has never seen Zamora and Dunnigan so close together. If this prison goes through we will probably make the Guinness book of records, the prison is supposed to have 500 inmates and 300 employees which means it would be 800+ people, that's about the number of people who live in Dunnigan now, so we could say at any one time that half the number of people in Dunnigan are in prison.

Meeting Adjourned at 8:37 pm.

For the record \$248.00 in donations were received towards the mailing of information flyers.

Respectfully Submitted
Deanna Kirkland, Secretary
Dunnigan Advisory Committee