Yolo County Advisory Redistricting Commission

Monday October 18, 2021 5:00PM

Note: this meeting is being agendized to allow Commission Members and the public to participate in the meeting via teleconference, pursuant to the AB 361, which authorizes local legislative bodies to hold public meetings via teleconference and to make public meetings accessible telephonically or otherwise electronically to all members of the public.

Teleconference Options to join Zoom meeting:

By PC: https://yolocounty.zoom.us/j/92129127880

Meeting ID: 921 2912 7880

By Phone: 1 408 638 0968 US Meeting ID: 921 2912 7880

<u>AGENDA</u>

- 1. Call to order
- 2. Public Statements and Comments
- 3. Correspondence
- 4. Approve September 20, 2021 meeting minutes*
- 5. Receive presentation of initial draft supervisorial district maps, provide feedback, and solicit public comment*
- 6. Adjournment

*Action Item

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing agenda was posted no later than 5:00 PM on Friday, October 15, 2021 on the bulletin board at the east entrance of the Erwin Meier Administration Center, 625 Court Street, Woodland, California

By__

Julie Dachtler, Senior Deputy Clerk

NOTICE

If requested, this agenda can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Federal Rules and Regulations adopted in implementation thereof. Persons seeking an alternative format should contact the Secretary of the Committee for further information. In addition, a person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to participate in a public meeting should telephone or otherwise contact the County Administrator's Office as soon as possible and at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. The County Administrator's Office may be reached at (530) 666-8150 or at the following address:

625 Court Street, Room 202 Woodland, CA 95695



MINUTES Yolo County Advisory Redistricting Commission

September 20, 2021

The Yolo County Advisory Redistricting Commission met on the 20th day of September, 2021, via <u>teleconference</u> at 5:00 p.m. pursuant to the Governor's Executive Order N-29-20 (March 17, 2020), available at the following <u>link</u>.

Members Present:	Skip Davies (arrived late), Yaminah (Mia) Durham, Vanessa Errecarte, Matthew Hargrove, Marco Lizarraga, Arina Ushakova, Bapu Vaitla
Staff Present:	Ron Martinez, County Counsel and subbing for Alexander Tengolics and Clerk Julie Dachtler
Consultants:	Chris Chaffee

1. Call to Order

Roll call was conducted by the Clerk.

2. Public Statements and Comments:

Rachel Long addressed the Advisory Redistricting Commission during public comment. Additionally, the Clerk was asked to include comments from the Chat box, as noted here, from Charla Parker: Following up on Rachael's comments, we believe Winters and Esparto should be included with rural areas, not Davis. Also, the Daily Democrat they will no longer be delivering the paper to their community, so it is getting harder to get messages to rural residents. Lastly, she said it would be helpful if instructions were provided at the beginning of the meeting on how to raise your hand, especially for those residents who are new to participating.

Commissioner Lizarraga let his fellow Commissioners know that he will be making a redistricting presentation per the request of the League of Women Voters and he wanted to remind them that as they share and/or make presentations to the community about redistricting, just to be careful as to what is presented, so no one is mis-lead.

3. Correspondence

There was no correspondence.

4. Approve August 23, 2021 meeting minutes*

Minute Order No. 21-20: Approved the August 23, 2021 meeting minutes as submitted.

MOVED BY: Vaitla / SECONDED BY: Hargrove AYES: Durham, Errecarte, Hargrove, Lizarraga, Ushakova, Vaitla. NOES: None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: Davies.

5. Receive presentation on communities of interest and hold a public hearing to receive public testimony*

Kate Laddish, Rachel Long, Rebecca Spiva, Kyle and Charla Parker addressed the Commissioners on this item.

Received presentation on communities of interest as presented by Chris Chaffee and held a public hearing to receive public testimony. Mr. Chaffee explained they should be receiving the final data by the end of today and then he would forward the draft maps to the County at least 7 days prior to the next meeting (by September 27th), which can then be uploaded to the website. He noted that Davis, Woodland and West Sac are too large and will need to be split. He reviewed the few draft maps submitted by members of the community in DistrictR, the website to create County District maps. Mr. Chaffee encouraged the Commissioners to play with DistrictR and submit their own Community of Interest (COI) Maps and to review them to make sure what they hear in their neighborhoods and their communities are being reflected in both the DistrictR side of maps but also in the public discussion. He went on further to say that the members, as representatives, are a vital part of this process that they should participate by submitting COI testimony.

The Commissioners were wondering how the public can participate in polling once draft maps are available on the website. Mr. Martinez said he would reach out to Alexander Tengolics, liaison to this committee, to see how this can be done and to also check about agendizing this topic at the next meeting.

Mr. Chaffee noted that Census Blocks, the official geographies provided by the Census, can create challenges in DistrictR, but ultimately we need to just follow the Census Block Lines.


6. Adjournment

Minute Order No. 21-21: Adjourned to the next meeting on Monday, October 4, 2021 at 5:00 p.m. via Zoom.

MOVED BY: Durham / SECONDED BY: Davies AYES: Davies, Durham, Errecarte, Hargrove, Lizarraga, Ushakova, Vaitla. NOES: None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: None.

Minutes prepared by: Julie Dachtler, Senior Deputy Clerk, Board of Supervisors







2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	44,271	44,376	42,120	44,248	41,907
Deviation	887	992	-1,264	864	-1,477
Deviation %	2.0%	2.3%	-2.9%	2.0%	-3.4%
Other	22,886	26,360	17,203	24,003	18,844
Other %	51.7%	59.4%	40.8%	54.2%	45.0%
Latino	12,961	7,617	19,812	10,456	21,102
Latino %	29.3%	17.2%	47.0%	23.6%	50.4%
Asian	6,341	9,239	4,359	8,574	1,368
Asian %	14.3%	20.8%	10.3%	19.4%	3.3%
Black	2,083	1,160	746	1,215	593
Black %	4.7%	2.6%	1.8%	2.7%	1.4%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	<u> </u>				
	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	27,761	36,192	24,666	27,840	28,071
Other CVAP	17,469	22,111	12,947	18,690	16,783
Other CVAP %	62.9%	61.1%	52.5%	67.1%	59.8%
Latino CVAP	5,611	5,413	9,118	4,226	10,002
Latino CVAP %	20.2%	15.0%	37.0%	15.2%	35.6%
Asian CVAP	2,968	7,808	2,130	4,076	912
Asian CVAP %	10.7%	21.6%	8.6%	14.6%	3.3%
Black CVAP	1,713	861	472	848	374
Black CVAP %	6.2%	2.4%	1.9%	3.0%	1.3%





District 1




Population De	eviation Devia	ation % Oth	er Other %	6 Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiar	n % Black	Black %
44,271	887 2	.0% 22,8	36 51.7%	12,961	29.3%	6,341 14.3	% 2,083	4.7%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	6 Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
27,761	17,469	62.9%	5,611	20.2%	2,968	10.7%	1,713	6.2%

2020 Census









District 2


Total CVAP

36,192

22,111

61.1%

5,413



Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP %

7,808


21.6%


861


2.4%

15.0%

2020 Census












District 3




Population D	Deviation D	eviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	k Black %
42,120	-1,264	-2.9%	17,203	40.8%	19,812	47.0%	4,359 10.	3% 746	1.8%
Total CVAP	Other CV	AP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
24,666	12,947	52.5	%	9,118	37.0%	2,130	8.6%	472	1.9%

2020 Census












District 4




Population [Deviation Devi	ation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	K Black %
44,248	864 2	2.0% 2	24,003	54.2%	10,456	23.6%	8,574 19.4	i% 1,215	2.7%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CV	4P % [_atino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
27,840	18,690	67.1%)	4,226	15.2%	4,076	14.6%	848	3.0%

2020 Census












District 5




Population [Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiar	ר % Black	Black %
41,907	-1,477	-3.4%	18,844	45.0%	21,102	50.4%	1,368 3.39	% 593	1.4%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
28,071	16,78	3 59.	8%	10,002	35.6%	912	3.3%	374	1.3%


2020 Census













2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	44,977	43,636	41,354	44,423	42,013
Deviation	1,696	355	-1,927	1,142	-1,268
Deviation %	3.9%	0.8%	-4.5%	2.6%	-2.9%
Other	22,429	26,144	16,785	24,879	18,872
Other %	49.9%	59.9%	40.6%	56.0%	44.9%
Latino	15,579	7,356	19,542	8,035	21,188
Latino %	34.6%	16.9%	47.3%	18.1%	50.4%
Asian	5,124	9,021	4,303	10,046	1,378
Asian %	11.4%	20.7%	10.4%	22.6%	3.3%
Black	1,845	1,115	724	1,463	575
Black %	4.1%	2.6%	1.8%	3.3%	1.4%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	27,689	35,477	24,179	28,943	28,242
Other CVAP	17,389	21,701	12,596	19,420	16,892
Other CVAP %	62.8%	61.2%	52.1%	67.1%	59.8%
Latino CVAP	6,469	5,191	9,044	3,613	10,052
Latino CVAP %	23.4%	14.6%	37.4%	12.5%	35.6%
Asian CVAP	2,433	7,734	2,078	4,727	923
Asian CVAP %	8.8%	21.8%	8.6%	16.3%	3.3%
Black CVAP	1,398	850	460	1,183	376
Black CVAP %	5.0%	2.4%	1.9%	4.1%	1.3%





District 1




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
44,977	1,696	3.9%	22,429	49.9%	15,579	34.6%	5,124 11.4	-% 1,845	4.1%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other C	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
27,689	17,38	89 62.	8%	6,469	23.4%	2,433	8.8%	1,398	5.0%

2020 Census












District 2




Population [Deviation Devi	iation % Othe	er Other %	6 Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	in % Black	k Black %
43,636	355 C	0.8% 26,14	4 59.9%	7,356	16.9%	9,021 20.	7% 1,115	2.6%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
35,477	21,701	61.2%	5,191	14.6%	7,734	21.8%	850	2.4%

2020 Census












District 3




41,354 -1,927 -4.5% 16,785 40.6% 19,542 47.3% 4,303 10.4% 724 Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black Black CVAP Black CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP	1.8%
Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Blac	
	.ck CVAP %
24,179 12,596 52.1% 9,044 37.4% 2,078 8.6% 460	1.9%

2020 Census












District 4




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian A	Asian %	Black	Black %
44,423	1,142	2.6%	24,879	56.0%	8,035	18.1%	10,046	22.6%	1,463	3.3%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVA	P% Bla	ack CVAP	Black CVAP %
28,943	19,42	0 67.	1%	3,613	12.5%	4,727	16.3%		1,183	4.1%

2020 Census












District 5




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
42,013	-1,268	-2.9%	18,872	44.9%	21,188	50.4%	1,378	3.3%	6 575	1.4%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other (CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian (CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
28,242	16,89	2 59.	8%	10,052	35.6%	923	3.	3%	376	1.3%


2020 Census













2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	42,652	42,618	43,403	44,019	43,711
Deviation	-629	-663	122	738	430
Deviation %	-1.5%	-1.5%	0.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Other	22,090	24,405	17,566	25,411	19,637
Other %	51.8%	57.3%	40.5%	57.7%	44.9%
Latino	12,394	10,072	19,765	7,640	21,829
Latino %	29.1%	23.6%	45.5%	17.4%	49.9%
Asian	6,160	7,147	5,033	9,987	1,545
Asian %	14.4%	16.8%	11.6%	22.7%	3.5%
Black	2,008	994	1,039	981	700
Black %	4.7%	2.3%	2.4%	2.2%	1.6%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	26,125	33,718	25,541	30,625	28,521
Other CVAP	16,690	20,483	13,420	20,772	16,634
Other CVAP %	63.9%	60.7%	52.5%	67.8%	58.3%
Latino CVAP	5,230	6,296	8,725	3,758	10,360
Latino CVAP %	20.0%	18.7%	34.2%	12.3%	36.3%
Asian CVAP	2,845	6,238	2,377	5,396	1,037
Asian CVAP %	10.9%	18.5%	9.3%	17.6%	3.6%
Black CVAP	1,360	700	1,018	699	490
Black CVAP %	5.2%	2.1%	4.0%	2.3%	1.7%





District 1




Population E	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiar	ר % Black	Black %
42,652	-629	-1.5%	22,090	51.8%	12,394	29.1%	6,160 14.4	% 2,008	4.7%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other (CVAP % l	_atino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
26,125	16,69	0 63.	9%	5,230	20.0%	2,845	10.9%	1,360	5.2%

2020 Census












District 2




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
42,618	-663	-1.5%	24,405	57.3%	10,072	23.6%	7,147 16.	3% 994	2.3%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other (CVAP % I	_atino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
33,718	20,48	3 60	.7%	6,296	18.7%	6,238	18.5%	700	2.1%

2020 Census












District 3




43,403 122 0.3% 17,566 40.5% 19,765 45.5% 5,033 11.6%	1,039	2.4%
Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % E	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
25,541 13,420 52.5% 8,725 34.2% 2,377 9.3%	1,018	4.0%

2020 Census












District 4




44,019 738 1.7% 25,411 57.7% 7,640 17.4% 9,987 22.7% 981 2.2% Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP % Asian CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % Black CVAP % 2.3% 30,625 20,772 67.8% 3,758 12.3% 5,396 17.6% 699 2.3%	Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian A	sian %	Black	Black %
	44,019	738	1.7%	25,411	57.7%	7,640	17.4%	9,987 2	22.7%	981	2.2%
30,625 20,772 67.8% 3,758 12.3% 5,396 17.6% 699 2.3%	Total CVA	P Other C	VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAF	9% Bla	ack CVAP	Black CVAP %
	30,625	20,75	72 67	.8%	3,758	12.3%	5,396	17.6%		699	2.3%

2020 Census












District 5




43,711 430 1.0% 19,637 44.9% 21,829 49.9% 1,545 3.5% 700	1.6%
Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black	ack CVAP %
28,521 16,634 58.3% 10,360 36.3% 1,037 3.6% 490	1.7%


2020 Census













2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	42,762	42,689	43,614	44,075	43,782
Deviation	-622	-695	230	691	398
Deviation %	-1.4%	-1.6%	0.5%	1.6%	0.9%
Other	22,139	24,429	20,581	25,436	16,711
Other %	51.8%	57.2%	47.2%	57.7%	38.2%
Latino	12,436	10,107	18,326	7,660	23,419
Latino %	29.1%	23.7%	42.0%	17.4%	53.5%
Asian	6,163	7,148	3,737	9,988	2,845
Asian %	14.4%	16.7%	8.6%	22.7%	6.5%
Black	2,024	1,005	970	991	807
Black %	4.7%	2.4%	2.2%	2.2%	1.8%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	- J				
	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	26,125	33,718	26,845	30,625	27,217
Other CVAP	16,690	20,483	15,903	20,772	14,151
Other CVAP %	63.9%	60.7%	59.2%	67.8%	52.0%
Latino CVAP	5,230	6,296	8,171	3,758	10,915
Latino CVAP %	20.0%	18.7%	30.4%	12.3%	40.1%
Asian CVAP	2,845	6,238	1,784	5,396	1,631
Asian CVAP %	10.9%	18.5%	6.6%	17.6%	6.0%
Black CVAP	1,360	700	987	699	521
Black CVAP %	5.2%	2.1%	3.7%	2.3%	1.9%





District 1




Population [Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiai	n % Black	Black %
42,762	-622	-1.4%	22,139	51.8%	12,436	29.1%	6,163 14.4	-% 2,024	4.7%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
26,125	16,69	0 63.	9%	5,230	20.0%	2,845	10.9%	1,360	5.2%

2020 Census












District 2




				Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
42,689 -695	-1.6%	24,429	57.2%	10,107	23.7%	7,148 16.'	7% 1,005	2.4%
Total CVAP Oth	er CVAP Othe	er CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
33,718 2	0,483	60.7%	6,296	18.7%	6,238	18.5%	700	2.1%

2020 Census












District 3




Population Deviation Deviation % Other Other % Latino Latino % Asian Asian % Black Black % 43,614 230 0.5% 20,581 47.2% 42.0% 8.6% 2.2% 18,326 3,737 970 Total CVAP Other CVAP Other CVAP % Latino CVAP Latino CVAP % Asian CVAP Asian CVAP % Black CVAP Black CVAP % 26,845 15,903 59.2% 8,171 30.4% 1,784 6.6% 987 3.7%

2020 Census












District 4




Population [Deviation Dev	iation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
44,075	691	1.6%	25,436	57.7%	7,660	17.4%	9,988	22.7%	6 991	2.2%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian (Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
30,625	20,772	67.8	3%	3,758	12.3%	5,396	17.	6%	699	2.3%

2020 Census










District 5



Population Devi	iation Devia	ation % Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	in % Black	Black %
43,782 3	98 0	.9% 16,711	38.2%	23,419	53.5%	2,845 6.5	5% 807	1.8%
Total CVAP O	ther CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
27,217	14,151	52.0%	10,915	40.1%	1,631	6.0%	521	1.9%

2020 Census

