

October 16, 2021

To the attention of the Redistricting Committee,

Hello, my name is [REDACTED]; I'm a resident of Knights Landing located in the 5th District, a small rural town north of Woodland. I am writing to share that I am against a district map that will include the City of Winters into the 5th district.

Currently, district 5 is the only district to represent an incorporated City and 10 rural towns. Adding the City of Winters would be inequitable in comparison to other areas of the district. No other supervisorial district represents that many towns and cities. By including Winters, a second city in the 5th would place small rural towns such as Dunnigan, Knights Landing, Esparto, Madison, Yolo, Guinda, and Rumsey at a disadvantage. Many of the resources and attention needed in the small towns would be overshadowed by the needs of the cities. In my many years of community work in Knights Landing, we are finally seeing support by a Supervisor who is visible in the community and supporting our efforts to improve services in our small town.

By adding Winters to District 5 area it will reduce the percentage of Latinos in the district. The new district lines should take the Latino community into account and ensure they have meaningful representation. If the majority of the growth is in Woodland, shouldn't small changes be made to increase each area in Woodland? We must caution not to manipulate the district lines in a way that disadvantages the Latino population.

Please keep the district lines similar, make small changes and increase the amount of Woodland residents in the 5th District since that is where most of the growth has occurred. Please consider map drafts #4 and the map drafts submitted by El Concilio. They have done extensive work to analyze the racially polarized voting (RPV) to determine the effect on Latino voting in District 5.

Thank you for your consideration and your service.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]