

Local Mental Health Board Meeting

Monday, March 28th, 2022

Online/Call-in ZOOM

Members Present: Robert Schelen, Carol Christensen, Serena Durand, Inesita Arce, Brad Anderson, Jonathan Raven, Beverly Sandeen, Aleecia Gutierrez, Nicki King, Maria Simas, Xiaolong Li, Warren Hawley, Sue Jones

Members Absent: John Archuleta, Robin Rainwater

CALL TO ORDER

1. **Welcome and Introductions:** meeting called to order at by Vice Chair, Jonathan Raven
2. **Public Comment:** Teresa Comstock CALBHB/C -new intern Zoey here to listen to you and here to support will send link to their services in chat.
3. **Chair Report:** by Jonathan Raven, welcome Warren Hawley. Warren told how he was touched by a middle school child who committed suicide as well as a family member who took a Percocet laced with fentanyl and didn't wake up.
4. **Approval of Agenda:** Moved by Aleecia Gutierrez, 2nd by Carol Christensen

Yea "I"	Nay	Abstention
10	0	0

Motion: With amendment to agenda to table the minutes until next meeting once revisions have been made and shared to the board

Motion: **Approval of Brown Act:**

Yea "I"	Nay	Abstention
13	0	0

Motion: to continue to meet by ZOOM

5. **Member Announcements:**
 - Brad went to Pat Williams dinner and it was nice to see people in person.
 - Jonathan also attended Pat Williams dinner, enjoyed they changed up the format. Thank you to Nami for doing that
 - Kudos to Nami and Yolo for hosting a Webinar for MH Services for Youth
6. **Correspondence:** Letter to the Board from Nicki King
 - Energy efficiencies for Residential Housing, the dilemma is going to come in limited funding for those funds. We will review that proposal for the updates that we are looking at now.
 - Better to do upgrades sooner rather than later because the costs will go up considerably
 - Sue Jones- we asked for more details in expenditures and cost. We asked for it to go at next phase.

- Warren Hawley-are their applicants for funding, who is eligible for it? Karleen is referring to MHSA Funding, there are certain categories that dictate where we use that funding, but we have a surplus right now and we need to determine where those funds are best spent.

Time Set Agenda:

7. Health Campaign Presentation- EMRL Group

Comments on Presentation:

Marilyn Moyle-1st Wednesday event with Nicole Carter it's a free zoom meeting April 6th at 6pm. You can find registration on NAMI website.

Leslie Carroll-concerned we are spending so much money on something very minimal and very flashy

Nicki King-makes MH trivial or that we are simplistic or mocking someone's pain

Sue Jones-how was decision made to make more about self-care as opposed to people further along as opposed to more urgent.

- Intent was to be broader reach on prevention side of house. To promote resilience and wellness

Petrea Marchand-participated in ARP Workgroups in this campaign. One of issues they expressed concern with is having a campaign with real resources available for those reaching out with a need and that we do not duplicate another resource that doesn't already exist.

8. Prop 47 Diversion Programs-Presented by Chris Bulkeley, Ian Evans, Joseph Gocke

Comments on Presentation:

Petrea Marchand with NAMI Yolo-How will this program be maintained if it's successful and how will it be integrating in the 3-year Plan. Need sufficient money in Grant to make sure housing provided is as close to family and friends as possible.

- If you're able to show success you can request additional funding or apply for other grants
- One of challenges is to provide funding for housing and for staff

Consent Agenda

9. Mental Health Directors Report: Presented by Karleen Jakowski

K-12

Aleecia Gutierrez-recall discussion about limited resources and you've held webinar since then have you received feedback?

- No updates, Karleen will check in with Tony Kildare when he returns. Karleen will send over email connecting Aleecia with Tony Kildare to discuss regional workgroups
- May want to have a presentation to share about project with the LMHB

Jonathan Raven-how is it decided who receives funding?

- We engaged in the district to see who was interested in partnering and they were all interested. Contractors were selected through that process

Crisis Now

Ian Evans-it has been so successful in woodland that woodland pd wants another social worker?

- Its not crisis now because we haven't launched crisis now yet its Co-responder, law enforcement officers enjoyed that support. We partner with them with each city providing half of the funding and the rest is funded by MHSA. West Sac chose two, Davis Woodland went with one. One split between sheriff and probation. Woodland PD wanted to increase that but didn't have finding. We found out they had a grant available to help support that and they went for it and were awarded the funding. We have about 17 different funding sources to help sustain this program.
- Encourages the board to hold a more robust presentation on Crisis Now
- Jonathan asked whether we will be moving to the Crisis Now Model of a Crisis Response Team, rather than a co-responder team. Ian responded: We will be moving to the Crisis Response team model. FY22/23 we added funding to help transition to the gold standard model. That model is for a Crisis Response Team, who operate without peace officers, to respond to calls concerning mental health. If there's a public safety issue, peace officers will join the team on the call out. No hard date as to when we will transition but we will have a better idea once we launch Crisis Now

Public Comment on Crisis Now:

Petrea Marchand-wants to add that it would be great to see what total cost of program is and how that matches up with one time and ongoing funding looks like. Can you include how will crisis now integrates with Safe Harbor in the presentation

Linda Wight-losing CIP was some what in part to lack of public knowledge. Encourages that some of the money go to education, social media, billboards so people are prepared and aware of what's available resources.

Theresa Comstock-call your attention to information available on their website: CALBHB/C Crisis Care Continuum Page: <https://www.calbhbc.org/crisis-care-continuum.html>

Safe Harbor

Karleen Jakowski-has heard the concerns from last meeting, wants to pause on action moving forward and reevaluate the data and return to share data once she has a better picture after meeting with YCCC.

Ian Evans-bring a simple graphic of Crisis Care Continuum. We need to do a better job at communicating that moving forward.

Public Comment on Safe Harbor:

Linda Wight-participated in Safe Harbor training-one time she was able to get her son to go from west sac to safe harbor and it was wonderful. As a parent to have a resource to help talk him down from a crisis. I certainly thought that would be a part of Crisis Now. My loved one doesn't always recover in 23

hours sitting in a chair. I want Safe Harbor to be a part of that plan because there may be someone like my loved one who will need more than 23 hours.

Supervisor Provenza-generally speaking in the past I have seen that a good program that's working goes away and there's a request for new shiny project. Caution you to hold on to those programs that are working and are aware of what we have now. Looking forward to hearing more about it.

Regular Agenda

1. **Board of Supervisors Report:** Oscar Villegas appreciate the comments, very informative. Making sure we are cross referencing other services and opportunities to help the public. If you're not growing your dying. Great way to reach our community in different services. Supervisor Provenza-MH Board can help us with an idea of where we want to go, how to coordinate, and that we spend our money most efficiently. It is possible to pull funding forward if there is urgency.
2. **Criminal Justice Update:** Presented by Jonathan-
Hope California I want to mention two items/ One of them is a bill that's running through California legislature which is now called Hope California the concept is allowing individuals who are actually going to be sentenced to a lengthy jail term or state prison term to voluntarily choose to enter treatment program which will be in a residential secured facility. The pilot was called Hope Yolo and it sailed through all committees and assembly floor and senate vote and it was vetoed by governor because he thought involuntary treatment didn't work. It's called Hope California because San Juaquin and Santa Clara are a part of it. The bill went before the Public Safety Committee and it passed on a 5-0 vote. It goes before the Assembly Health Committee tomorrow and I'll be testifying and then we will move before the assembly floor vote.
Care Court-Governors sizable plan based off issues of conservator ship. Set up process of civil court for family members or health provider could make a referral to order ill individuals into treatment and if they didn't comply, they could face conservatorship which is not the best option. Some look at that as not voluntary. There a bill being carried by Senator Tom Umberg is doing it and it even mentions involuntary treatment it was one of the big stumbling blocks to Hope Yolo.
3. **Public Comment on Agenda Items:**
Petrea Marchand-K-12 mental health services that we hosted we had 105 register and 55 show up in ZOOM. We appreciated the presentation and the input. NAMI has taken a position to support the county for schools to match the MHSA money that been put in. NAMI is working with additional partners to develop additional capital facilities proposal for both Yolo Community Care Continuum and Chalks Housing to work with and so hopefully those proposals with be considered for 1 time funding for those projects.
4. **Future Meeting Planning and Adjournment:** Request chair add to next month's agenda COVID issue with respect to meet in person or in hybrid.
5. **Next Meeting:** April 25th, 2022

Meeting Adjourned: 8:00pm